ST. MARY'S CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL

P.O. Box: 52232, DUBAI - U.A.E.

Tel.: +(971) 4 3370252 Fax: +(971) 4 3368119

E-mail: maryscol@emirates.net.ae website: www.stmarysdubai.com



مدرسة القديسة مريم الكاثوليكية الثانوية مدر ١٣٢٢، بد - الإمان الدينة النحرة

بريد الكتروني : maryscol@emirates.net.ae موقع ويب : www.stmarysdubai.com هاتف: ۳۳۷۰۲۵۲ ؛ ۹۷۱+ فاکس: ۳۳۲۸۱۱۹ ؛ ۹۷۱+

OUR REF:

TRN: 100483609200003

DATE: Monday 19th October 2020

To: Parents of students in Years Two, Four, Six, Seven, Nine and Twelve - GL CAT4 EXAMINATION AND GL PASS (Pupil Attitudes to Self and School) - YEARS 6, 8, 10 & 12. (2020-2021)

Dear Parents,

Greetings from St. Mary's Catholic High School, Dubai. It is that time of the year for our students to complete the GL Cognitive Ability Test CAT4 which is mandated by KHDA for the year groups mentioned above. As part of our commitment to the National Agenda targets, and with a view to tracking progress and identify gaps in learning, our school will participate compulsorily in the GLS-CAT4 online tests. GL Education, one of the leading research organizations in the world, develops this test and provides a range of Assessment services in many countries and works in close tandem with the KHDA here in Dubai. These are mandatory assessments by KHDA and feature in your Parent-School Contract as well.

The entry fee is AED 65/- for the test, the exact amount of which must be paid ONLY VIA THE SKIPLY APP NO LATER THAN 28TH OCTOBER 2020. This amount has been included in the Parent Contract as well. Year 12 was only included this year after the KHDA Parent-School Contract was sent out. Please note that PASS does not carry any charge. CAT4 is designed to assess the four main abilities that underpin intellectual development and influence attainment. It measures four areas of reasoning:

- Quantitative
- Verbal
- Non-verbal and
- Spatial, a skill important for success in STEM subjects and an ability that is not measured by any other reasoning test for schools.

CAT4 is an assessment of reasoning that helps identify pupils' developed abilities and likely academic potential. *CAT4* is not about knowledge recall and requires no preparation, offering all students the same opportunity to show their underlying ability.

What is CAT4?

The Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT4) is a diagnostic assessment that is designed to help students and their teachers understand how they learn and what their academic potential might be. It assesses how students think in areas that are known to make a difference to learning.

While many tests focus on a child's attainment in core subjects, CAT4 is designed to give schools a much broader, more rounded view of each child, their potential and how they learn. Results help teachers decide about the pace of learning that is right for a student and whether additional support or challenge is needed.

Tasks involve thinking about shapes and patterns (Non-Verbal Reasoning), words (Verbal Reasoning), numbers (Quantitative Reasoning) and some questions are answered by mentally generating and transforming visual images (Spatial Ability).

CAT4 is based on years of research and development. The current edition took five years to develop and the involvement of 25,000 students across the UK. It is a well-known assessment in schools; teachers value its ability to provide an understanding of what a child is capable of rather than defining them by their understanding of a body of knowledge in particular subjects.

How are CAT4 Results used?

CAT4 provides teachers with information that can form the basis for discussions about how best a student can learn and reach his or her potential in school.

Teachers are provided with an individual profile of learning preference along with a range of indicators of likely future performance. Used with other information (such as results from Key Stage 2 SATs, for example), these data can support teaching and learning for all children.

Can students practice for CAT4?

GL strongly advises against any kind of practice ahead of a CAT4 test as this will alter the reliability of test scores. The point of CAT4 is that it is not a test of learnt knowledge and it needs to be as unaffected as possible by any external factors, such as practice. Think of it like an eye test; if you practice ahead of an eye test and memorise the card, your diagnosis may not be correct and valuable information may be missed.

CAT4 is a timed assessment and is administered under formal conditions. However, each section of the assessment includes introductory information with practice examples, and these will familiarise the children with the style and format of the questions. These sections are not timed and may be repeated.

Is additional time allowed for children with SEND?

CAT4 is a timed assessment and additional time is not permitted as this will affect the reliability of the results. CAT4 is not just about what and how it tests but how the questions are answered within a set timeframe. The timed element makes it diagnostically very powerful.

CAT4 subtests are generously timed and most children reach the final questions, however there may have been a minority of students during the standardisation process who would have obtained higher scores if they had been given more time. Therefore, giving extra time to a particular student could give an inflated view of their relative ability when comparing their results with the CAT4 norms.

SAMPLE QUESTIONS TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF QUESTION TYPES

https://www.tests.com/practice/cognitive-abilities-practice-test

Students who have joined the school this year in the year groups being tested, and have done the CAT4 exam last year in your previous school, are requested to kindly submit your CAT4 results to your class teachers via email.

Kindly note the schedule for your child's examination : ALL CHILDREN MUST BE PRESENT ONLINE ON THE DAYS SCHEDULED FOR THEIR EXAMS.

CLASS	DATE	DAY
YEARS 6, 8 10 AND 12 PASS	5 th NOVEMBER	THURSDAY (9:00 AM - 11:00 AM)
YEAR 2	6 TH NOVEMBER	FRIDAY (9:00 AM -11:30 AM) We have chosen a Friday morning to ensure that at least one parent is at home to facilitate the technology and assist the children in the event of any technological issues. The Class teachers and IT support staff will be there to assist you and your children.
YEAR 4 & YEAR 6	12th NOVEMBER	THURSDAY (9:00 -11:30 AM)
YEAR 7, 9 & 12	19 TH NOVEMBER	THURSDAY (9:00 -11:30 AM)

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1) TESTWISE MINIMUM SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS
- 2) CAT4 BOOKLET
- 3) CAT4 QUESTION TYPES

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Paul Asir Joseph (Principal)

What are the minimum system requirements?

To use Testwise effectively, you will should to ensure you are running it on software and hardware that fulfills our minimum system requirements.

Web Browsers

Hardware Device	Web Browser
Desktop PC / Laptop	MS Internet Explorer 9 and above Google Chrome Mozilla Firefox
Apple MAC	Apple Safari
Apple iPad	Apple Safari
Android Tablet	Google Chrome

Unless specified you should ensure you have the latest version of each web browser.

You should also ensure that Javascript is enabled and your browser window is maximised.

Please note: The admin pages of the platform have not been optimised for tablet use.

The following tests/surveys can be taken on a tablet device:

- CAT4
- PASS

Screen Resolutions

Purpose	User Type	Screen Resolution
Use basic functionality within Testwise	Teacher role School Admin role Cluster Admin role	1366 x 768 (100% zoom)
Take Tests within the Test Lobby	Students	1024 x 768 (100% zoom)

File types

The following file types should be allowed to be downloaded: .mp3 .and .zip.

Whitelisting

Any firewall, content or proxy filtering done at the school must be allowed access to the following URLs:

- · www.testingforschools.com
- help.testingforschools.com
- https://hyvebranding.blob.core.windows.net/local/gl-brand/apps-gl.png

Pop-up blockers

Please ensure pop-up blockers are disabled for Testwise.

*.testingforschools.com

If you still have problems please contact Technical Support:

+44 (0)330 123 5375, option 2 or support@gl-education.com









COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Copyright © 2020 GL Assessment

Published by GL Assessment 1st Floor, Vantage London, Great West Road, Brentford TW8 9AG

www.gl-assessment.co.uk


ISBN 978-0-7087-2811-6

Typeset by Words and Pictures

All rights reserved, including translation. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, recording or duplication in any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers, and may not be photocopied or otherwise reproduced even within the terms of any licence granted by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd. 2(3.20)

Contents

Acknowledgements 4
Introduction 5
Administration Instructions - Digital Edition 18
Administration Instructions - Paper Edition 71
Guidance on Scoring and Reporting102
Case Studies
Technical Report
Assessment Insights support
Appendices



Acknowledgements

GL Assessment wishes to thank all those who have contributed to the publication of CAT4. In particular, we would like to acknowledge the commitment of all the schools and students who took part in pretrialling, trialling and standardisation activities, without whom this project would not have been possible.










COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

What is <i>CAT4</i> ?	7
The Spatial Ability and Nonverbal Reasoning Batteries	7
What is in each battery?	10
Verbal Reasoning Battery	10
Quantitative Reasoning Battery	11
Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	12
Spatial Ability Battery	13
More about the batteries	14
How do I choose the test level?	16
Recommended year groups	16
Exceptions	16
What test results can I obtain?	17



What is CAT4?

The Cognitive Abilities Test Fourth Edition (*CAT4*) is a suite of tests developed to support schools in understanding students' abilities and likely academic potential. Results from *CAT4* can be used to inform individual and group teaching, for target setting and monitoring the performance of groups of students.

CAT4 assesses the ability to reason with and manipulate different types of material. CAT4 comprises four batteries of tests that assess the main types of mental processing that play a substantial role in human thought. Together, these four batteries provide users with a comprehensive understanding of the core abilities related to learning by assessing a student's capabilities when dealing with each type of processing.

The CAT4 batteries assess:

- * reasoning with words
- * reasoning with numbers
- 🎠 reasoning with shapes and designs
- * thinking with and mentally manipulating precise shapes.

The set of four scores obtained from assessment with *CAT4* provides a profile of a student's abilities, as well as providing an overall summary score of his or her reasoning abilities across the four areas.

CAT4 is available in both paper and digital editions. The test content of each is comparable in form. *CAT4X* verbal was internationalised in 2019 in the digital edition.

During the development of *CAT4*, the authors emphasised the assessment of relational thinking; that is, the ability to understand relationships among elements using the media of the four test batteries. The basic elements of each test have been kept simple and clear to ensure the tests are accessible to students of the appropriate age for each test level.

The Spatial Ability and Nonverbal Reasoning Batteries

The Spatial Ability Battery is designed to assess how well students can create and retain mental images of precise shapes and objects, and then manipulate these in their minds. This ability is critical to effective working in many 'spatial' disciplines and careers (for example, engineering, physical sciences, mathematics and architecture). Yet it has traditionally been under-appreciated or under-assessed in schools, either being

ignored completely or viewed as relevant only to 'low-level' manual skills.

For this reason, students who excel in such thinking have been under-identified and therefore not properly encouraged to actualise their potential. Perhaps as a consequence, spatial disciplines have struggled to obtain enough recruits, and those they do recruit have sometimes not been best suited to the demands of the work, having been chosen on the basis of

Students with a high spatial ability may be well-suited to jobs involving visual mapping, such as architecture, graphic design, photography and astronomy.

inappropriate ability measures, family pressure or gender stereotyping - for example, 'engineering is a man's job'.

In recent decades, major longitudinal research projects have conclusively shown that spatial ability is a significant element underlying performance in spatial disciplines. Also, it has been found that those who are most likely to pursue and excel in these domains are people with a relative strength in spatial ability, rather than necessarily those who do well in all types of ability tests. The balance of abilities – even a small difference within a person who has a very high level of general ability – seems critical for career choice and success. Assessing people solely on verbal and mathematical tests is therefore likely to miss many of those with the highest potential

Due to the neglect of spatial ability in school curricula, traditional standardized assessments, and in national talent searches, those with relative spatial strengths across the entire range of ability constitute an under-served population with potential to bolster the current scientific and technical workforce.

to succeed in spatial careers. Such research is presented succinctly in *Recognizing Spatial Intelligence* (Park et al., *Scientific American*, November 2010).

The Nonverbal Reasoning Battery is designed to measure something distinct from the Spatial Ability Battery. The materials used are still shapes but the difficulty of the task lies not in creating, maintaining and mentally manipulating precise images

The Nonverbal
Reasoning Battery is
designed to measure
something distinct
from the Spatial
Ability Battery.

but in reasoning with easily distinguishable shapes and designs. Like

the Verbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries, it measures basic reasoning processes such as identifying similarities and relationships by using shapes and designs rather than words or numbers as the stimulus material. For this reason, it provides a means by which those with a spatial bias can demonstrate how effectively they can engage in general reasoning processes.


However, the fact that the shapes and designs used are easy to distinguish means that those with a verbal bias can also succeed on the Nonverbal items, by describing the shapes and designs in words and then reasoning out the solution verbally – for example, 'large circle goes to small circle and two horizontal lines are added'. This flexibility in solving the Nonverbal items means that the battery provides a good indication of students' ability to solve problems using whatever cognitive resources they can muster. It is therefore not surprising that research has shown that Nonverbal tests often relate closely to overall scores on large batteries of different tests.

Although all four batteries are equally weighted in the mean *CAT4* score for the four batteries, the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery consistently correlates at the highest level with that overall score, thus supporting this research. This makes the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery particularly important when assessing students whose performance on the Verbal and/or Quantitative Reasoning Batteries may not be representative so that the overall mean *CAT4* score needs to be treated with caution. This impairment may result from any number of reasons, such as poor educational background, specific learning difficulties or not speaking English as a first language.

For students who can be validly assessed with all four batteries, the introduction of the Spatial Ability Battery means that *CAT4* provides a clear measure of the extremes of thinking processes, namely, those using verbal processing (the 'inner ear/voice') and those using spatial processing (the 'inner eye/hand'). Additionally, the Nonverbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries provide measures of the ability to think using both these types of processing together.


What is in each battery?

CAT4 consists of four test batteries, each of which contains two tests for all but the youngest children. These batteries and tests are described below.



Verbal Reasoning Battery

In the **Verbal Classification** test, each question presents three words that are all similar in some way. Students have to identify the conceptual link between the three words and then select from a list of five further words the one which best fits with the first three. This test assesses general verbal reasoning and the ability to extract general principles from specific examples by identifying similarities and relationships between the concepts. Also assessed are general knowledge (for example, that an ankle is a joint), word knowledge (for example, that 'cold' can mean a virus or a low temperature) and language development (for example, that some words can be verbs or nouns, or how to use words like 'although' or 'moreover').



In the **Verbal Analogies** test, each question presents a verbal analogy in the form of ' $A\rightarrow B$: $C\rightarrow$ _'. Students have to work out how the first pair of words is related to each other and then select from five answer options the one that completes the second pair. These questions involve two elements to the reasoning. First, students have to look for similarities and differences between the first pair, for example the second thing is an element of the first or a descriptive term for the first. Second, they have to duplicate that relationship starting with the third word presented. Like Verbal Classification, this test also assesses general verbal and word knowledge.



Although the students' store of general and word knowledge influences their performance on the Verbal Reasoning Battery, questions have been written to maximise the students' flexibility in identifying and using concepts rather than taxing their background knowledge or vocabulary. As far as possible, the words used are likely to be commonly known at the level in which they are used. For example, 'windy' might be used in Level A but 'hurricane' in Level E. Questions emphasise general basic reasoning processes, with the relationships being presented in verbal terms.

Since the greater part of education is presented through the verbal medium, the importance of this battery for diagnosis and educational attainment is clear. Tests of verbal reasoning have always been among the best predictors of educational progress.

Quantitative Reasoning Battery

In the **Number Analogies** test, each question presents three pairs of numbers, such as ' $4\rightarrow6$, $8\rightarrow10$, $9\rightarrow$ _'. Students have to work out how the pairs of numbers are related and then complete the third pair by selecting the answer from the five options presented. The questions in this test assess the same basic reasoning processes that are assessed in the equivalent Verbal Analogies test, namely, identifying relationships and creating further examples of them. The questions in this test also assess basic arithmetic knowledge (for example, that 6 is twice 3), accuracy in doing simple arithmetic and flexibility in identifying and being aware of numerical relationships (for example, that 7 might be twice 3 plus 1 or four times 2 minus 1).

[5 \rightarrow 13] [11 \rightarrow 19] [6 \rightarrow ?] A 9 B 12 C 14 D 16 E 18


In the **Number Series** test, students have to work out the rule underlying the progression in the number series in each question and then select the next number in the series from the five options presented. This test assesses the same underlying basic reasoning processes and number facility as Number Analogies.

3 7 15 31 63 \rightarrow A 94 B 96 C 97 D 127 E 137

Next to verbal reasoning, the ability to work with numerical material is one of the most frequently required capabilities in educational settings. Fields such as mathematics, science, geography and economics make considerable demands on quantitative abilities. Quantitative reasoning together with verbal reasoning constitutes what some theorists have called 'academic ability', in that they were the two types of thinking that were most obviously represented in traditional school curricula.

Nonverbal Reasoning Battery


In the **Figure Classification** test, each question presents students with three separate figures and they have to identify the conceptual link or underlying characteristic that all three figures have in common. They then have to select the one figure from five answer options that goes with the first three. This test assesses the same underlying reasoning processes as the Verbal Reasoning Battery tests; that is, the ability to identify similarities, differences and relationships between elements. The ability to form representations of shapes is only involved at a very low level, so those demands are unlikely to impact upon the vast majority of students. Only the scores of those who cannot spot gross visual distinctions (for example, a 90° angle versus a 70° angle) would be adversely affected by the representational demands of the test. In all other cases, it is the reasoning processes that constitute the primary source of difficulty.



In the **Figure Matrices** test, each question presents a figural analogy in the form of ' $A \rightarrow B$, $C \rightarrow _$ '. Students have to work out how the first pair of figures is related to each other and then select from five answer options the one that completes the second pair. The underlying reasoning processes used in solving Figure Matrices are essentially the same as those in Verbal Analogies and Number Analogies. Visualisation

12


is assessed to a larger degree in this test compared with Figure Classification, as the questions require students to be able to use visual 'working memory' to imagine transformation and combinations of shapes.¹



The tests in the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery do not make use of words or numbers, and the geometric and figural elements used bear little direct relationship to formal educational instruction. The tests emphasise the discovery of, and flexibility in, manipulating relationships expressed in figural designs.


Spatial Ability Battery

In the **Figure Analysis** test, each question presents students with a square that is repeatedly folded and then has one or more holes punched through it. Students have to work out what the final product would look like when unfolded, and select this from the five answer options provided. This test assesses visualisation processes, that is the ability to create a complex mental image, retain it in mind and manipulate it before comparing the imagined result with other presented material.



¹ Working memory is the facility to retain and manipulate information (words, numbers and images) for a short time in order to perform a specific task – for example, the ability to remember a phone number or date, solve a mental maths problem or, in this case, hold the original shape in mind and imagine it transformed. Efficient working memory is essential for learning but the amount of information that can be held is limited and unstable particularly if an individual is distracted or distractible; if this happens the process has to be begun again!

In the **Figure Recognition** test, students are shown five complex designs as line drawings with a target shape below. Students have to identify which of the five designs contains the exact same size outline of the target, including each side in full. This test assesses visualisation skills, particularly the ability to create and retain a firm mental image of a shape that represents angles and lengths accurately.



As with the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery, the tests in the Spatial Ability Battery do not make use of words or numbers. Instead they emphasise visualisation and manipulation of mental images.

More about the batteries

Nonverbal and spatial tests have been found to be significant predictors of educational attainment, despite their content being generally unrelated to formal schooling. Among students with similar levels of verbal or quantitative ability, the Nonverbal and spatial tests can indicate significant aptitude for subjects such as mathematics, physics, design, engineering and architecture, which draw on visual-spatial abilities.


As the Nonverbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries do not rely on reading or the use of English, they can be particularly useful when assessing students who have English as an additional language, or who have reading difficulties or have experienced a disrupted education. They are also not strongly influenced by other factors such as a child's cultural background, although caution needs to be exercised when interpreting results for children from non-Western backgrounds, as they may be unfamiliar with the type of material and tasks used.

Unlike the Verbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries, questions in the Nonverbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries do not require students to have any prior factual or conceptual knowledge of any kind, beyond that required to access the test instructions. These batteries therefore assess students' general cognitive capacity to solve novel problems they have not been directly taught. Where performance on the Nonverbal Reasoning or Spatial Ability Batteries is superior to that on the other two batteries, it may indicate that these students have potential that is not being fully shown in their performance on school-related tasks.

Among students with similar levels of verbal or quantitative ability, the nonverbal and spatial tests can indicate significant aptitude for certain subjects.

Across the four test batteries, similar question types have been included

as far as possible. The purpose of this is to reduce variation in test performance that may be attributed to ability with, or understanding of, specific question types. For example, analogy tests have been included in the Verbal, Quantitative and Nonverbal Reasoning (Figure Matrices) Batteries. This means that students' profiles of results will more accurately reflect their reasoning ability with each type of material, rather than their ability to undertake different forms of test question.



How do I choose the test level?

CAT4 has 12 levels available to choose from. The levels are aimed at different age groups and the test content of each level is developed in an overlapping format of progression difficulty.

The target year group and age range covered by the norms for each test level are shown in the table below.

Recommended year groups

Age range	CAT4 level	England & Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland	Ireland
6:00 - 7:11	×	Y2	P3	Y3 (P3)	1st class
7:01-8:11	Υ	Y3	P4	Y4 (P4)	2nd class
6:06-8:11	Pre-A	Y3	P4	Y4 (P4)	2nd class
7:06 - 9:11	А	Y4	P5	Y5 (P5)	3rd class
8:06-10:11	В	Y5	P6	Y6 (P6)	4th class
9:06 - 11:11	С	Y6	P7	Y7 (P7)	5th class
10:06 - 12:11	D	Y7	S1	Y8 (F1)	End of 5th class/6th class
11:06 - 13:11	E	Y8	S2	Y9 (F2)	End of 6th class/1st Year
12:06 - 15:11	F	Y9 & Y10	S3 & S4	Y10 & Y11 (F3 & F4)	2nd and 3rd Year
14:06 - 17:00+	G	Y11+	S5 & S6	Y12+ (F5+)	4th/TY/5th Year

Note: only levels D to G have Irish norms.

Exceptions

There are a few exceptional circumstances in which a school may choose to use a lower or higher level of *CAT4* with a group of students than recommended in the table. Examples of such situations might be:

- a school testing Year 6 students at the end of the summer term to provide results to the children's intended secondary schools, where Level D may be more appropriate;
- a selective school testing a year group composed of highly able students where the next level up may be used, or a non-selective school in a selective area where the next level down may be appropriate.

It must be emphasised that such cases are the exception rather than the rule. On the rare occasion when individual students fall outside the age range, their scores will be based on the upper or lower end of the age range of the level of *CAT4* they have taken. So, a student taking *CAT4* Level B who is 11:06 will receive scores based on the upper age limit of 10:11.

Introduction

16

What test results can I obtain?

The number of questions a student answers correctly on each test is referred to as their raw score. Raw scores are then interpreted by comparing them to the performance of other students of the same chronological age group by means of so-called 'normative scores'.

The analysis of raw scores plus the age of the students, in the context of large cohorts of students, results in a series of 'normative scores'. Three types of normative score are provided to interpret students' performance on *CAT4*:

* Standard Age Scores (SAS)



* National Percentile Rank



***** Stanines



Further information about *CAT4* scores and their interpretation is given in the section entitled 'Guidance on scoring and reporting results' in this pack.





ADMINISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS DIGITAL EDITION



COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

CAT4: Step-by-Step	20
Administering CAT4 Time needed for testing: levels A to G Time needed for testing: levels X, Y and Pre-A Test environment Checklist for testing	20 20 21 22 22
Accessing CAT4 End of Test	24 25
User management Create users. To create a new user View the user list Change details of a user Reset user passwords	26 26 26 27 28 28
Student Management Create students. Import students Exporting student lists View the student list To view the student list Change details of a student View student activity. Archive students. Restore archived students Understand student details	30 32 34 35 36 36 37 39 40
Sittings Create sittings View the sittings list View details of a sitting Change details of a sitting Download student login details	41 47 48 49 50
Testing. Start tests. Start tests manually. Start tests automatically. Monitor student progress. Multiple sittings. Close sittings. Archive sittings Restore archived sittings Understand sitting details. Test-taking instructions for students	53 53 53 56 56 58 58 59 60 61 63
Creating Reports To create a report	67 67
Technical Support	70

CAT4: Step-by-Step

Place order for CAT4

Initial 'welcome' email sent (this contains the school's account details/Customer ID which may be used as part of the login).

Administrator sets password.

Administrator imports students into Testwise and creates a sitting for *CAT4*.

Administrator arranges testing, preferably over three sessions.

First and subsequent test sessions - students are given their access codes to take them directly to the test.

All testing completed.

Administrator logs on and generates reports.

Administering CAT4

The administrator will need to read through the following instructions well in advance of the test session and refer to this manual for details of how to import student details.

The information in this manual is a basic guide to administering this test. Further help and information can be found at:

https://help.testingforschools.com/display/HOH/Getting+Started

Time needed for testing: levels A to G

CAT4 levels A to G consist of eight short tests. The administration of the digital tests is in three parts, with the Quantitative Reasoning tests split between Part 2 and Part 3. Test timings (which are fixed) are given in the table below, together with an approximation of the time needed for instructions, examples and practice items.

Rough paper and pencil may be used for the Number Analogies and Number Series tests.

<i>CAT4</i> Digital Levels A to G	Test time*	Approximate time needed for instructions, examples and practice items	Approximate length of test session	
Part 1				
Figure Classification	10 minutes	5 minutes		
Figure Matrices	10 minutes	5 minutes	30 minutes + settling time	
Part 2				
Verbal Classification	8 minutes	5 minutes	41 minutes + settling time	
Verbal Analogies	8 minutes	5 minutes		
Number Analogies	10 minutes	5 minutes		
Part 3				
Number Series	8 minutes	5 minutes		
Figure Analysis	9 minutes	5 minutes	41 minutes + settling time	
Figure Recognition	9 minutes	5 minutes		

^{*}A timer appears on screen and counts down from the time allocated to each test. This cannot be overridden as the tests in *CAT4* are strictly timed. If a student does not reach the end of the test in the given time, the test will time out and the student will be moved to the next section or will exit the test.

Time needed for testing: levels X, Y and Pre-A

CAT4 for the younger years consists of four tests one per battery rather than two per battery as per levels A to G. The administration of the digital tests is in two parts. Test timings (which are fixed) are given below.

CAT4 Digital Levels X and Y	Test time	Approximate time needed for instructions, examples and practice items	Approximate length of test session	
Part 1				
Figures	12 minutes	5 minutes	72 minutes Leating time	
Words	10 minutes	5 minutes	32 minutes + setting time	
Part 2				
Numbers	10 minutes	5 minutes	71 minutes Leating time	
Shapes	11 minutes	5 minutes	31 minutes + setting time	
CAT4 Digital Level Pre-A				
Part 1				
Figure Classification	10 minutes	5 minutes	70 minutes + setting time	
Verbal Classification	10 minutes	5 minutes	30 minutes + setting time	
Part 2				
Number Series	8 minutes	5 minutes	27 minutes + setting time	
Figure Recognition	9 minutes	5 minutes	27 minutes + setting time	

Test environment

The test must be administered in a formal test environment with students made aware that they are taking a test and that the usual expectations of behaviour and constraints of a test session will be in place.

It is important that the invigilator is active in ensuring that students are working their way through the tests with intent and that there is no talking or opportunity to copy from another's work.

Checklist for testing

Before the test session

- Check minimum system requirements to ensure system compatibility. Please see requirements at the following link: https://www.gl-assessment.co.uk/media/189219/testwise_what-are-the-minimum-system-requirements.pdf
- Allow approximately 40 minutes of testing time for each part of *CAT4* levels A-G, or 30 minutes of testing time for each part of *CAT4* levels X, Y or Pre-A.
- Provide computer, headphones and a mouse, or a tablet or laptop, fully charged and in good working order for each student.
- Become familiar with these administration instructions.
- Provide rough paper and pencil for the Number Analogies and Number Series tests.

General arrangements for testing

- Be sure the testing room is comfortable.
- Place a 'Testing Do Not Disturb' sign on the door.
- Try to forestall any interruption of the testing session by visitors or announcements.
- You may want to set up the computers in advance.

Ensure all students are comfortable and prepared before beginning the test.

Introducing CAT4

The following wording may be used when introducing *CAT4* to the students:

Today you are going to take some short reasoning tests. All instructions are given on screen and via the audio and you should read carefully to make sure that you understand exactly what you have to do. There will be an example and some practice questions for each test, so read carefully and work through these before starting each test.

Students must work in silence but, if they have a query, they should raise their hand and wait for the teacher to approach them. Answer any questions at this stage and explain that you cannot help with any of the test questions.

All directions, examples and practice items are part of the test and are delivered on screen.

While the students are taking the test the teacher should walk around to check that they are progressing appropriately, that they are not having difficulty with the methods of answering and, importantly with digital tests, that they have not rushed through any part of the test without attempting to answer each question.

It is possible to keep the Testwise register open on the teacher's machine and thereby track progress through the test. The register shows real time information about whether a student is logged on, has started or completed a test or part of *CAT4* and what is 'in progress'.

Accessing CAT4

To access your account you will need to add your school's Customer ID which will have been sent to you in an initial 'welcome' email confirming arrangements for *CAT4* testing.

Students will access the test via www.testingforschools.com/code.

Students need to type in their access code on their own screen.



The order in which *CAT4* is taken is fixed and so students must work through the parts in order.

It is possible to take a break between parts. Testing can be completed over one, two or three sessions and may be carried out over one, two or even three days.

If completing the testing over one day, at least a five minute break between each pair of the three successive parts should help to refresh students.

Students must complete all tests and parts; if they exit the test midway through one part of the test their data will be lost. Each test is timed and students will not be able to move to the next until the time is up. At the end of each test the following screen will appear:



At the end of each part the following screen will appear:



End of test

When all parts of *CAT4* have been completed and the test timer has run out, your results are sent to Testwise.

Students must wait until the time for the final test has elapsed and their results will be stored automatically. Students must not try to exit the test or close the screen by clicking on the cross at the top right-hand corner as this will cause results to be lost.

User management

Create users

You can create a new user whenever a new person needs to access the Testwise system.

When you create a user:

- they are added to the User List, so you can view or modify their details at a future date;
- the newly created user receives a New User Profile email, which contains an Activate Account link. When the new user clicks the Activate Account link, the Reset Password screen is displayed so they can change their password to one of their choosing, rather than using the one that the System Administrator or School Administrator chose for them.
- the first user to log in to a new account will be required to accept the Terms and Conditions by following the instructions in the welcome email (automatically generated by Testwise). If the Terms and Conditions are not accepted then only limited functionality will be available. If the Terms and Conditions are 'Declined' then you will be logged out of the platform.

Note: You can only access the Users functional area if you have the relevant user permissions. The School Administrator user role can access this area, but the Teacher user role does not have access to this area.

To create a new user

1 Click the **Users** button from the Testwise home screen.

All existing users are displayed within the User List.

2 Click the **Add user** button. Add user

The Add user screen is displayed.

- 3 Enter details about the new user in the boxes displayed.
- 4 Once you have finished entering user details, double check that you have entered information in all mandatory fields, otherwise you will not be able to complete the process. You can identify mandatory fields by finding field names that have an asterisk displayed alongside them. For example, if the **Email** box is mandatory, it will be displayed as **Email** *.

If a red warning triangle appears alongside a field, hover your mouse over the triangle to read the error message. Change the information you entered in that field to ensure it contains information that will be accepted by the system.

When you have finished entering user details and no red warning triangles appear on the screen, click the **Save** button.

A green 'User Successfully Created' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

You will now be able to see the newly created user in the User List.

6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL**

View the User List

You can view all the users ¹⁰ that have been created and saved within your system by displaying the User List.

You can search for a specific user by entering text in the search box.

You can change the order in which users are listed by sorting the User List in a different order to the one that is displayed.

Note: You can only access the Users' functional area if you have the relevant user permissions. The School Administrator user role can access this area, but the Teacher user role does not have access to this area.

To view users

1 Click the **Users** button from the Testwise home screen.

All existing users are displayed within the User List.

Note: If there are no users displayed, this is because they have not been added to your system yet or because they have all been archived. You can add users to the User List by creating new users.

If a **Load More** button is displayed at the bottom of the User List, this means not all users are currently displayed on the screen. Click the **Load More** button to display the next 100 users.

- 2 View details about each user by examining the information within each column.
- To change the order in which users are displayed in the User List, you can sort the list in the order of a specific column by clicking the **Ascending Sort** and **Descending Sort** buttons † displayed alongside specific column headings.

- 4 To search for a specific user you can search the First Name and Last Name columns for specific words or characters (any letters or numbers) by entering them into the **Search for users** box.

 Search for users...
- 5 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL ASSESSMENT

Change details of a user

Once a user exists within the User List, you can change their details if required.

Note: You can only access the Users' functional area if you have the relevant user permissions. The School Administrator user role can access this area, but the Teacher user role does not have access to this area.

To change details of a user

1 Click the **Users** button from the home screen.

All existing users are displayed within the User List.

- 2 Find the user you want to change.
- 3 Click the **Actions** button displayed alongside the user name.

 The **Edit** button now replaces the user name.
- 4 Click the Edit button * to display the Manage Users screen for the selected user.
- 5 In the **Edit** User Details screen, change the details of the user, as required.
- 6 When you have finished making changes to the user, click the **Save** button.
 - The user details will be saved and the User List will be displayed again.
- 7 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL ASSESSMENT

Reset user passwords

If a user [©] has problems accessing Testwise, they may need to have their password reset.

When you reset a user password, the user will receive an email containing a link to a page that will enable them to enter details of their new password.

Note: You can only access the Users' functional area if you have the relevant user permissions. The School Administrator user role can

access this area, but the Teacher user role does not have access to this area.

To reset a user password

- 1 Click the **Users** button from the Testwise home screen.

 All existing users are displayed within the User List.
- 2 Find the user whose password you want to reset.
- 3 Click the **Actions** button * Actions displayed alongside the user's name.

The **Edit** button and the **Reset Password** button now replace the user name in the first column.

4 Click the **Reset Password** button. *

A green message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen, confirming that the reset process has begun.

The user will receive a 'You Requested a New Password' email, which will prompt them to click a Change Password link.

When they click the Change Password link, the Change Password screen will be displayed, where they can enter their new password.

Once they have entered a new password and clicked the **Save** button, they will receive a 'Password Successfully Changed' email.

The process of resetting a user password is now complete.

5 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GLASSESSMENT

Student management

Create students


Students are usually added whenever they need to complete an assessment and they do not already exist in the system.

When you add a student they are added to the Student List, so you can view the details about them at a future date, add them to a sitting and give them access to the Test Lobby where they can complete assessments.


You can also import a batch of students, if you want to add multiple students at the same time rather than individually.

To add a student to the Student List

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen to display the Student List, which contains all the existing students within the system.



2 Click the **Add student** button to display the Manage Students screen.



3 Enter details about the new student in the boxes displayed. See Understand student details (page 40) for guidance about the type of information you can enter in each box.

Note: If a scroll bar is displayed within this screen, this means there are additional details which are not currently displayed. Use the scroll bar to display the additional details.

- 4 Once you have finished entering student details, double check that you have entered information in all mandatory fields, otherwise you will not be able to complete the process. If a red warning triangle appears alongside a field, hover your mouse over the triangle to read the error message. Change the information you entered in that field to ensure it contains information that will be accepted by the system.
- 5 When you have finished entering student details and no red warning triangles appear on the screen, click the **Save** button.

A green 'Student Successfully Created' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

You will now be able to see the newly created student at the top of the Student List.

6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button.. **GL**Assessment

Import students

You can import a batch of students if you want to add or update multiple students at the same time rather than individually.

You may want to import students at the beginning of the academic year when you have a large number of students to add to the system all at once.

When you import students they are all added to the Student List. You can then View the Student List (page 35) or Change details of a student (page 36).

If you want to add students to the Student List individually, you should create a new student. See Create students (page 30).

To import new students and/or bulk update students


1 Ensure that the students you wish to update are in the Testwise Import template.

Note: The most efficient way to update your Student List is to export the list using the Export Student List as .csv function. A .csv version of your Student List will be downloaded, enabling you to add new students or update any existing students. Once you are happy with your updated list, re-import it into Testwise.

2 Edit your Student List in the .csv file as required.


Note: The unique identifiers in your file must match Testwise to update a student. If a different unique identifier is used then a new student record will be created.

- 3 Once you are happy with your updated list and it is ready to import, click the **Students** button from the home screen.
- 4 Click the **Import students** button to display the Manage Students page.



- 5 Click the **Browse** button to display the Choose File Upload box. Then navigate to the .csv file you would like to import and click the **Open** button.
- 6 Verify the student details on the page and then click the **Import** button to complete the update process.

Note: Student data included in the .csv file will be coloured blue and considered updated even if you have not made any changes to the student record.




Note: If the data has not passed the verification process, then the Import button will change to **Retry**. To correct the errors in the data:

- a Identify exactly where the errors are by finding the fields highlighted in red within the data grid. Scroll through the full list of students to find all the errors. The data that is missing or incorrect will have a red box around it. To find out more information about each error, hover your mouse over the red warning marker shown in the last column of the row.
- b Open MS Excel (or the .csv editing software) and then open the file that contains the errors.
- c Correct the errors in the file.
- d Save the changes you have made.
- e Click the **Retry** button.
- 7 Click **Import** if the changes highlighted in the summary are correct.

Note: If you are not happy with the changes in the summary page you can edit the file again and re-upload.

8 Once your updated file has been processed you will be sent an email confirming the success of your upload.




- 9 Now that you have received confirmation of your updates/import you can go back into the Student List and check that the required changes have been made.
- 10 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL ASSESSMENT

Exporting Student Lists

To export a list of students:

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen to display the Student List, which contains all the existing students within the system.




- 2 Click the **Export students** button button to export the Student List.
- 3 Your file will now be downloaded.

Note: The file may have been automatically saved to your downloads folder.

- 4 Locate and open the file to ensure that your export was successful.
- 5 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GLASSESSMENT

View the Student List

You can view all the students that have been created and saved within your system by displaying the Student List.



You can also display specific students based on your own criteria using the filter and search features.

You can change the order in which students are listed by sorting the Student List in a different order to the one that is displayed.

To view the Student List

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen.

All existing students are displayed within the Student List.

Note: If there are no students displayed, this is because they have not been added to your system yet or because they have all been archived. You can add students to the Student List by creating new students or importing students.

If a **Load More** button is displayed at the bottom of the Student List, this means that not all students are currently displayed on the screen. Click the **Load More** button to display the next 100 students.

- 2 View details about each student by examining the information within each column. See Understand student details (page 40) for specific information about each column.
- To change the order in which students are displayed in the Student List, you can sort the list in the order of a specific column, by clicking the **Ascending Sort** and **Descending Sort** buttons † displayed alongside specific column headings.
- 4 To display specific students that fulfil specific criteria you can:

Apply filters to the list by selecting tags in the Filter Pane.

Search the First Name and Last Name columns for specific words

or characters (any letters or numbers) by entering them into the **Search for students**.

- 5 To display the full Student List, remove all filters and remove any text in the **Search for students** box.
- 6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. ***GL Assessment

Change details of a student

Once a student exists within the Student List, you can change their details if required.

To change details of a student

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen.

All existing students are displayed within the Student List.

- 2 Find the student you want to change.
- 3 Click the **Actions** button displayed alongside the student's name.

The **Edit** button and **Activity Log** button now replace the student's first name.

- 4 Click the **Edit** button * to display the Edit Student Details screen for the selected student.
- 5 In the Edit Student Details screen, change the details of the student as required. See Understand student details (page 40) for information about each box that is displayed.

Note: If a scroll bar is displayed, this means there are additional details which are not currently displayed on your screen. Use the scroll bar to display the additional student details.

6 When you have finished making changes to the student, click the **Save** button.

The student's details will be saved and the Student List will be displayed again.

View student activity

You can view a student's progress in a number of different ways, which include the following:

Monitor Student Progress - displays the status of each student within a live sitting.

View Student Activity Log - displays a list of all the assessments a student has been assigned, started and completed. The date and time are included for each of these events.

To view a student's Activity Log

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen.

All existing students are displayed within the Student List.

- 2 Find the student whose activity log you want to view.
- 3 Click the **Actions** button displayed alongside the student's name.

The **Edit** button and **Activity Log** button now replace the student's first name.

- 4 Click the **Activity Log** button to display the Activity Log for the selected student.
- 5 View the student's Activity Log.

You can copy and paste the information from it into another document if required.

- 6 When you have finished viewing the Activity Log, click the **Close** button to return to the Student List.
- 7 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. ***GL**Assessment

Archive students

You can archive a student if you no longer want to display them within the Student List.

Archiving is the process of moving older student records (or records of students that are no longer required) into a different location on your system. You may want to archive multiple students at the end of each academic year, or archive individual students if they leave the school.

Whenever you archive a student, they are no longer displayed within the Student List, and you cannot change their details (unless they are restored). If the student you choose to archive has completed tests or had reports created based upon their test result, these will remain in the system. For example, if you want to archive a student who completed 12 tests, their test results will still remain in the system after you have archived them. When generating a report there is an option to show archived pupils. If that is selected then the archived pupils can be reported on.

When viewing the Student List, you can display all archived students by selecting the **Show Archived Students Only** box within the Filter Pane.

You can restore archived students if you want to reverse the archive process, which means any restored students will reappear in the Student List.

To archive students

1 Click the **Students** button from the Testwise home screen.

All existing students are displayed within the Student List.

- 2 Find the student(s) you want to archive.
- 3 Select the student(s) you want to archive by clicking the check box 6 to the left of each student name. You can select multiple students if required.

Each time you click the check box for a student, notice the following:

- 🎠 The check box changes colour 🗹.
- The total number of students you have selected is displayed within the **Archive** button, in the top right corner of the screen.
- 4 To archive the selected students, click the **Archive** button.
- 5 Click the **Yes** button in the message box asking you to confirm in the archiving of the selected student(s).

A green 'Student(s) Successfully Archived' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

Notice that the archived students no longer appear in the Student List.

6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. ***GL Assessment

Restore archived students

You can restore students who have been previously archived, if you want to display them within the Student List again.

When you restore an archived student, they will reappear in the Student List, with all the details that were previously attached to them, such as their date of birth. Any test results for the restored student will already be in the system, as they are not removed during the student archive process.

Once an archived student has been restored, they can be used within the system like any other student. This means they can be added to sittings, complete tests and have their test results displayed within reports.

To restore archived students

1 Click the **Students** button from the home screen.

All existing students are displayed within the Student List.

2 In the Filter Pane, select the Show Archived Students Only check box.

All archived students are now displayed within the Archived Students List.

3 Select the archived student(s) you want to restore by clicking the check box 4 to the left of each student name. You can select multiple archived students if required.

Each time you click the check box for a student, notice the following:

- 🎠 The check box changes colour. 🗹
- The total number of students you have selected is displayed within the **Restore** button, in the top right corner of the screen.
- 4 Click the **Restore** button. Sestore

A green 'Student(s) Successfully Restored' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

Notice that the restored student(s) no longer appear in the Archived Students List.

5 To display the Student List again, remove the tick from the **Show Archived Students Only** check box.

Notice that the restored student(s) now appear within the Student List.

6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL**Assessment

Understand student details

The following fields of information can be viewed, entered or modified for each student.

Only six fields from the list are mandatory, which means information must be entered in these fields if you are creating or importing students. The remaining fields are optional.

First Name *	This field is for the first name of the student and can contain up to 100 characters. First Name is a mandatory field.
Last Name *	This field is for the last name of the student and can contain up to 100 characters. Last Name is a mandatory field.
Unique Identifier *	This field is for the unique student identifier and can contain up to 200 characters. You cannot enter the same Unique Identifier twice as the system will not accept it. The Student List can contain two students with the same name, but not two students with the same Unique Identifier. Unique Identifier is a mandatory field.
Date of birth *	This field is for the date of birth of the student and must contain a valid date. For example, you cannot select 31 November as there are only 30 days in November. Date of birth is a mandatory field.
Gender *	This field specifies the gender of the student and may be used in some reports. Select Female or Male from the Gender * drop down. Gender is a mandatory field.
Group *	This field contains any student groups the student is contained within, such as the class name. Group is a mandatory field.

Mandatory fields

When creating or importing students, some fields are mandatory, which means you must enter information in those fields, otherwise the student cannot be created successfully. Different systems have different mandatory fields. You can identify the mandatory fields in your system by finding field names that have an asterisk displayed after them in the Create New Student screen or Import Template. For example, the Date of birth field is mandatory, which means it is displayed as Date of birth *.

Sittings

Create sittings

You can add new sittings whenever there is a requirement for students to take an online test.

When you create a sitting, it is added to the Sittings List, so you can view its details at a future date or make changes to it, if required.

When creating a sitting you will be prompted to enter details using the Sittings Wizard, which will ask you for the following information:

- Sitting Name & Test the name of the sitting and test you want students to take
- Test Start Period the earliest and latest date and time a student can begin their test
- Select Students the names of the students who will be taking the test
- Test Start Mode the way in which the test will start.

You can monitor your progress whilst creating a sitting by viewing the Add Sittings progress bar at the top of the screen.



Sections within the Add Sittings progress bar are colour coded as follows:

- 🧩 Green information has been successfully entered.
- Purple the page that is currently displayed on your screen.
- 🧩 Grey pages where information has not yet been entered.
- Red information entered is invalid and should be changed, otherwise you will not be able to move to the next page in the Sittings Wizard.

In the above example, the information on the Sitting Name & Test page has been entered, the Start Test Period page is currently displayed on the screen and the last three pages of the Sittings Wizard have not had any information entered on them yet.

To add a new sitting to the Sittings List


1 Click the **Sittings** button 🚱 from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

Note: If no sittings are displayed, this is because no sittings have been created yet or because existing ones have been archived. If you follow this process, the new sitting you create will be added to this list.

Sitting Name & Test page

2 Click the **Add sitting** button to display the Sittings Wizard.




To create a sitting you will need to enter information into the first four pages of the Sittings Wizard. The fifth page shows a summary of all the information you entered in the previous four pages, and is used for verification purposes.

3 Enter the Sitting name, which will identify the sitting throughout the system, including within the Sittings List.

Note: Sitting Name must be unique within the school account.

4 Click the **Select Service** button to display all the services available to you.



5 Within the Services List, select the service by clicking in the checkbox and clicking the **Add** button.



The service you just added is now displayed within the Service box.

6 Click the **Next** button to move to the next page of the Sittings Wizard, which is the Test Start Period page.

Test Start Period page

Notice that the first section of the Add Sittings progress bar at the top of the screen has changed colour to show that information for the Sitting Name & Test page has been successfully entered.



Within the Test Start Period page, the From Date & Time and the To Date & Time define the time range between which a student can start their test(s).


For example, if students were allocated a one-hour period on 24 May 2018 to log into the Test Lobby, starting at 10am, then the From Date & Time would be defined as 24 May 2018 at 10am and the To Date & Time would be defined as 24 May 2018 at 11am.

Note: Each Test Start Period cannot be greater than the Maximum Sitting Duration, which is defined by the System Administrator. If your sitting is for longer than the permitted number of days, then a red warning triangle will appear alongside one of the dates. When you hover your mouse over the red warning triangle, it will tell you the number of days that are contained within the Maximum Sitting Duration. Change one or both of the dates until the red warning triangle disappears. The Maximum Sitting Duration is usually set to 30 days.

7 In the Start Test Period page, define the 'From' details by clicking the **Date** button and **Time** button alongside the 'From' box.

The 'From' date is the earliest date and time the test can start.

Note: The 'From' date cannot be set to a date in the past.




8 Define the 'To' details by clicking the **Date** button (a) and **Time** button (a) alongside the 'To' box.

The 'To' date is the latest date and time students can start their test.

Students page

9 Click the **Next** button to move to the next page in the Sittings Wizard, which is the Students page.



Notice the following details on the screen:

- The Test Start Period section within the Add Sittings progress bar has changed from purple to green to show that the information on that page has been successfully entered.
- The Credit status bar is displayed, showing the number of credits that are available within the selected service.
- 10 Ensure there are enough remaining credits for each student to take a test. You will need one credit for each student taking a test. If you do not have enough credits, contact the Renewals Team.

Note: If not enough credits are available the sitting can still be saved as a Draft sitting.


When you have enough credits you can edit the sitting and then save it, at which point the credits will be taken for each student taking a test.

11 Click the **Add Individuals** button to display all the students within the Student List.

Find the students who will be taking the test, using any method including sorting, searching or using the Refine Search option.

If a Load More button is displayed at the bottom of the Student List, this means not all students are currently displayed on the screen. Click the **Load More** button to display the next 100 students.

12 Select the students who will be taking the test, and then click the **Add** button.




The students you have just added are now displayed on the Students page of the Sittings Wizard and the number of credits within the Credit status bar has been reduced by the number of students you have just added.



13 Click the **Next** button to move to the next page in the Sittings Wizard, which is the Test Start Mode page.

Test Start Mode page

- 14 In the Test Start Mode page, select one of the following options, which defines how the test is started:
- Manual Test Start Students can log into the Test Lobby within the Test Start Period; however, the tests are locked until a Testwise user (Teacher or School Administrator) starts the test manually by clicking the Start test button from within the Sittings area. See Start tests manually (page 53) for details about how to find the Start test button.
- Automatic Test Start Students can log into the Test Lobby and start their tests any time within the Test Start Period. See Start tests automatically (page 56) for additional details about how this feature operates.



Summary page

15 Click the **Next** button to display the Summary page.



Notice that all the sections in the Add Sittings progress bar at the top of the screen have changed colour to demonstrate that you have successfully entered all sitting details.

16 In the Summary page, check that all the details of the sitting you just created are correct.

If you want to change any sitting details, click the **Back** button until you find the information you want to change. Change the information as required, and then click the **Next** button until you reach the Summary page again.

- 17 To save all the information you entered in the previous four pages of the Sittings Wizard, you will need to click either the Save Draft button or the Save Sitting button, depending upon your requirements.
- Clicking the **Save Draft** button saves the sitting details without reducing your total number of credits. The number of credits for this sitting will only be subtracted from your total number of credits when you click the **Save Sitting** button.
- Clicking the Save Sitting button saves the sitting details and reduces your credits by the number of students taking tests in the sitting.

The sitting you have just created will now be displayed within the Sittings List.



18 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL**Assessment

View the Sittings List

You can view all the sittings that have been created and saved within your system by displaying the Sittings List.



You can search for a specific sitting name by entering it in the Search box ______, which is displayed at the top of the Sittings List.

You can change the order in which sittings are listed by sorting the Sittings List in a different order to the one that is displayed, using the **Ascending Sort** and **Descending Sort** buttons that are displayed in the header of some columns.

To view the Sittings List

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

Note: If there are no sittings displayed, this is because they have not been added to your system yet or because they have all been archived. You can add sittings to the Sittings List by creating sittings.

If a **Load More** button is displayed at the bottom of the Sittings List, this means not all sittings are currently displayed on the screen. Click the **Load More** button to display the next 100 sittings.

- 2 View details about each sitting by examining the information within each column. See Understand sitting details (page 61) for specific information about each column.
- If you want to find a sitting with a specific sitting name, then you can enter the name of it in the **Search** box search..., which is displayed at the top of the Sittings List.
- 4 If you want to change the order in which sittings are displayed, click the **Ascending Sort** and **Descending Sort** buttons † displayed alongside specific column headings to sort the list in a different order.

View details of a sitting



To view details of a sitting

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.


All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

2 Find the sitting you want to view and click the **Actions** button displayed alongside it.

The **Edit** button (and the **Download Access Codes** button) now replace the Sitting Name in the first column.

Note: If you clicked the **Show Actions** button on a sitting that does not have a Live or Draft status, the additional button(s) will not be displayed.

3 Click the **Edit** button / to display the Sittings Wizard.



4 Within the Sittings Wizard, view details of the sitting by clicking the **Next** button and **Back** button to move between the five pages. See Understand sitting details (page 61) for information about each box that is displayed within each page.

Note: If you cannot click **Next** on the Test Start Period page, you will need to amend one or both of the dates before you can continue.

- 5 Click the **Cancel** button to return to the Sittings List.
- 6 To return to the home screen, click **Home** button. **GL**Assessment

Change details of a sitting

Once you have created a sitting you can view its details, if it has a status of Live or Draft displayed within the Sittings List. You cannot change details of a sitting if it has a status of Expired, Closed, Started or Completed. You also cannot edit a sitting if a pupils is logged into the Test Lobby, even if the test has not been started yet by any pupil.



To change details of a sitting

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.


All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

2 Find the sitting you want to change and click the **Actions** button displayed alongside it.

The **Edit** button and the **Download Access Codes** button now replace the Sitting Name in the first column.

Note: If you clicked the **Show Actions** button on a sitting that does not have a Live or Draft status, the additional button(s) will not be displayed.

3 Click the **Edit** button / to display the Sittings Wizard.



4 Within the Sittings Wizard, click the **Next** button to find the page that contains the details you want to change. See Understand sitting details (page 61) for information about each box that is displayed within each page.

Note: If you cannot click **Next** on the Test Start Period page, you will need to amend one or both of the dates before you can continue.

- 5 Make changes to the sitting as required.
- 6 Click the **Next** button until you reach the Summary page.
- 7 Click the **Save Sitting** button. If you added any students, the number of credits is reduced by the number of students you added to the sitting.

The sitting you have just changed will be displayed at the top of the Sittings List, and the Last Modified date for the sitting will be updated.

Download student login details

In order for each student to log into the Test Lobby to complete the tests that have been allocated to them, they will need their student login details.

Rather than using user names, email addresses or passwords to log into the Test Lobby, students will use a unique eight-digit number. The access code is a mixture of letters and numbers - 6 numbers and 2 letters per access code.

Student login details contain the following information for each student:

- 🔆 Name student name
- Student Access Code a unique eight-digit code that is generated for each student and sitting. You can also view each student's Access Code by monitoring student progress.
- 🧩 Sitting Name the name of the sitting
- **Date** the earliest date and time that the test can begin. This is the From date and time of the Test Start Period.
- lpha **Test(s)** the name of the test(s) the student will be completing
- WRL the web address to enable students to log into the Test Lobby

Once you have created a sitting, you can download, save or print a .csv file containing the login details for all the students within that sitting. The .csv file contains the access codes and student details in a table format.

Once the student has been given their login details, the Test Start Period has begun and the test has started in Testwise (automatically or manually), the student can log into the Test Lobby, click the **Start test** button and begin answering questions.

Note: You can only download student login details for sittings that have a status of Live. You cannot download student login details for sittings that have a status of Draft, Expired, Closed or Completed.

To download student login details

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.


All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

- 2 Find the sitting that contains the student login details that you want to download.
- 3 Click the **Actions** button Actions displayed alongside the sitting name.

The **Edit** button and the **Download Access Codes** button now replace the Sitting Name in the first column.

4 Click the **Download Access Codes** button 1 to download the student login details for the selected sitting. There are two options for downloading access codes - one as pdf and one as csv.

5 The .csv file will provide a table format similar to the example below:




- 6 You can either save this file, if you have not already done so, or print it.
- 7 Close the .csv file when you have finished working with it and close the software that was used to display the file.

Testing

Start tests

Once you have created a sitting , tests will be available to start during the Test Start Period in one of the following ways:

- Start tests manually the **Start test** button is clicked by a Testwise user within the Student Progress screen, any time after the beginning of the Test Start Period.
- Start tests automatically the test starts automatically at the beginning of the Test Start Period, without any user intervention.



When the Test Start Period has begun and the test has started in Testwise (automatically or manually), students can log into the Test Lobby and take their test.

The Sittings Wizard can be accessed when creating a sitting or changing the details of a sitting.

The following two pages within the Sittings Wizard define the parameters for starting a test:

- Test Start Period defines the period within which students can log into the Test Lobby and start their test(s).
- Test Start Mode defines the method used to start a test, which can be either manually or automatically.

Start tests manually

Selecting the Manual Test Start option when creating a sitting enables you to run a test in exam style conditions. Although not essential, you may choose to gather your students in one room at any time between the sitting From and To dates, and then check in the Student Progress screen to see whether they have logged in using their access code. Even though students have logged in, they will not be able to

begin the test until you click the **Start test** button. This ensures that all students start at the same time and are subject to the same environmental conditions for the test.

The students can log into the test lobby at any point once they have their access code. But they will be unable to start the test until either the start time has passed or the invigilator has clicked the start test button.

Manually starting a test means that once the Test Start Period has begun, a Testwise user will need to click the **Start test** button within the Student Progress screen before students can log into the Test Lobby and take their test. When the Start test button is clicked, the Start button on each student's Test Lobby will become enabled.

You can start an assessment manually if the following criteria have been met:

- The Manual Test Start option has been selected as the Test Start Mode for the sitting within the Sittings Wizard. See View details of a sitting (page 48) to check the Manual Start option has been selected for the sitting.
- The Test Start Period has begun, which can be viewed within the Sittings List in the From column for the sitting.

Prior to a Testwise user clicking the **Start test** button, a student will be able to log into the Test Lobby and view the tests that are allocated to them, but will not be able to click on anything or start the test.

The other way of starting an assessment is for it to start automatically.

To start a test manually

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.


All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

- 2 Find the sitting you want to start manually and ensure the Test Start Period is about to begin soon, by viewing the date and time in the From column of the Sittings List. You can change the Test Start Period if required, by following the steps in the Change details of a sitting process (page 49).
- 3 If you want to check that the sitting has been defined as starting manually:
- Click the **Actions** button Actions displayed alongside the sitting.
- Click the **Edit** button 🗸 to display the Sittings Wizard.
- Click the **Next** button, until you reach the Test Start Mode page.

- Ensure that the **Manual Test Start** option has been selected. If it has not been selected, select it and save the sitting.
- 🧩 Navigate back to the Sittings List.
- 4 Find the sitting you want start manually and click the **Progress** button displayed alongside it.

The Student Progress screen for the selected sitting is displayed.

5 Click the **Start test** button start the sitting manually.



Any students who have been added to the sitting and who are logged into the Test Lobby will now be able to click the **Start test** button and begin their test.

The **Start test** button within the Student Progress screen will now disappear, as it can only be clicked once.

6 Click the **Back** button to return to the Sittings List. Notice that the Status for the sitting you just started manually now displays a status of Started.

Each student can now click the Start Test button and complete their test.

7 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. ***GL Assessment

Start tests automatically

Starting a test automatically means that the test starts without any user intervention when the Test Start Period begins. This means that as soon as the Test Start Period begins, any students who are logged into the Test Lobby will have the **Start test** button on their test enabled.

The beginning of the Test Start Period is displayed in the From column of the Sittings List.

The other way of starting a test is to start it manually.

To check Automatic Test Start options

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

- 2 Find the sitting you want to start automatically.
- 3 Ensure the beginning of the Test Start Period is the date and time you require, which is displayed in the From column of the Sittings List. If it is not, you can change the Test Start Period by following the steps in the Change details of a sitting procedure (page 49).
- 4 Click the **Actions** button * Actions displayed alongside the sitting.
 - The **Edit** button and the **Download Access Codes** button now replace the Sitting Name in the first column.
- 5 Click the **Edit** button / to display the Sittings Wizard.
- 6 Click the **Next** button until you reach the Start Test Mode page.
- 7 Ensure the Automatic Test Start option is selected. If it has not been selected, select it and save the sitting. Navigate back to the Sittings List.

You have now successfully checked that the settings for an Automatic Test Start have been selected for your sitting.

Monitor student progress

Once you have created a sitting , you can monitor the way in which each student is interacting with the system by displaying one of the Student Progress screens.

You can view student progress for all students contained within one or more sittings.

For each assessment, each student will have one of the following progress statuses displayed:

- Not Started The student has not yet logged into the Test Lobby and clicked the Start test button.
- In Progress The student has logged into the Test Lobby but not yet completed a full test.
- Marking The student has completed the test and the results are being processed by the system.
- Completed The student has completed the test and the results have been successfully processed and saved within the system.

To view student progress

1 Click the **Sittings** button 🚱 from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List. See Understand sitting details (page 61) for information about each column that is displayed.


- 2 Find the sitting(s) that contain the students whose progress you want to view.
- 3 Click the **Progress** button alongside the sitting name to view the progress of each student within the selected sitting.



- 4 View the following details within the Student Progress screen:
- Student Name the first name and last name of the student
- ** Access Code the unique code that enables each student to log into the Test Lobby to complete the tests that have been allocated to them
- Logged In whether the student is currently logged into the Test Lobby. This column will contain either a Yes or No. 'Yes' means that the student is currently logged into the Test Lobby and 'No' means the student is not currently logged into the Test Lobby.
- **Progress Status** the remaining columns in the Progress Status screen show the names of each of the tests within the sitting and the student progress status for each one.
- If you want to update the information on the Student Progress screen to reflect any changes in student activity since you opened the screen, click the **Refresh** button. The Student Progress screen will also refresh automatically at regular intervals.
- 6 Click the **Back** button to return to the Sittings List.
- 7 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GLASSESSMENT

Multiple sittings

If you want to follow the progress of multiple sittings, please follow these steps



Close sittings

You can close a live sitting at any time and for any number of reasons including the following:

- The sitting was created in error and is no longer required in the system.
- You do not want the credits that will be used for the sitting to be taken from your total number of credits at the current time.
- A sitting has started and you know that one or more of the students will not be logging into the Test Lobby to take their test(s). If you want the credits returned for these students, then you will need to close the sitting.

The following actions occur when you close a sitting:

- All the credits for all the tests that students have not started will be returned to the total number of credits available.
- All unused access codes will be disabled, which means they cannot be used by students to log into the Test Lobby.
- Students who have started their tests will still be able to complete their tests after the sitting has been closed.

Once you have closed a sitting you cannot view or change its details.

To close a sitting

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List. See Understand sitting details (page 61) for information about each column that is displayed.

2 Find the sitting that contains the students who will no longer be taking their tests and whose credits you want to have returned.

3 Click the **Progress** button longside the sitting name.

The Student Progress screen displays details about how each student is interacting with the system.

- 4 Ensure that the students who will not be taking the tests have a status of Not Started alongside their names.
- 5 Click the Close sitting button. O Close sitting



6 Click **Yes** at the confirmation message.

The Sittings List is displayed and the sitting you have just closed has a Status of 'Closed' displayed on it.

This means you cannot edit this sitting or view any access codes for it. Any students who had started their tests within this sitting will continue with the testing process.

7 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. ***GL ASSESSMENT

Archive sittings

You can archive a sitting if you no longer want to display it within the Sittings List.

Archiving is the process of moving older sittings (or sittings that are no longer required) into a different location on your system. You can archive a sitting if you want to reduce the number of sittings within the Sittings List. This could be because you want to make the Sittings List more manageable and make it easier to find current and newer sittings.

Whenever you archive a sitting, the following actions occur:

- 🎠 The sitting is no longer displayed within the Sittings List.
- You can no longer change details of the sitting (unless it is restored).

Any unused credits from students who did not use their access codes from the sitting will be returned to the Remaining Credits Total once the Test Start Period has elapsed, which means the sitting has expired.

Any students within the sitting can still take their tests using their access codes, until the time when the sitting expires.

When viewing the Sittings List you can display all archived sittings by selecting the Show Archived Sittings Only box within the Filter Pane.

You can restore archived sittings if you want to reverse the archive process, which means any restored sittings will reappear in the Sittings List.

To archive sittings

1 Click the **Sittings** button from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

2 Find the sitting(s) you want to archive and click the check box to the left of each sitting name that you want to archive. You can select multiple sittings if required.

Each time you click the check box for a sitting, notice the following:

- 🎠 The check box changes colour. 🗹
- The total number of sittings you have selected is displayed within the **Archive** button, in the top right corner of the screen.
- 3 To archive the selected sittings, click the **Archive** button.
- 4 Click the **Yes** button in the message box asking you to confirm the archiving of the selected sitting(s).

A green 'Sitting(s) Successfully Archived' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

Notice that the archived sittings no longer appear in the Sittings List.

- If you want to display archived sittings, tick the **Show Archived Sittings Only** box within the Filter Pane. The sitting you just archived will be displayed. To display the Sittings List again, remove the tick from the **Show Archived Sittings Only** box.
- 6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **Assessment

Restore archived sittings

You can restore sittings that have been previously archived, if you want to display them within the Sittings List again.

When you restore an archived sitting, it will reappear in the Sittings List with all the details that were previously attached to it.

To restore archived sittings

1 Click the **Sittings** button 🚱 from the home screen.

All existing sittings are displayed within the Sittings List.

2 In the Filter Pane, select the Show Archived Sittings Only check box.

All archived sittings are now displayed within the Archived Sittings List.

3 Select the archived sitting(s) you want to restore by clicking the check box to the left of each sitting name. You can select multiple archived sittings if required.

Each time you click the check box for a sitting, notice the following:

- ₹ The check box changes colour. ✓
- The total number of sittings you have selected is displayed within the Restore button, in the top right corner of the screen.
- 4 Click the **Restore** button.

A green 'Sitting(s) Successfully Restored' message will appear in the bottom right corner of the screen.

Notice that the restored sitting(s) no longer appear in the Archived Sittings List.

5 To display the Sittings List again, remove the tick from the Show Archived Sittings Only check box.

Notice that the restored sitting(s) now appear within the Sittings List.

6 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL**Assessment

Understand sitting details

The following information is entered or viewed when working with sittings **(!!)** in the Sittings Wizard:

Sitting Name	The name of the sitting, which will be used to identify and find it within the system
Service	The service that contains the test(s) for the sitting
Test	The name of the tests that the students will be completing
From (Beginning of Test Start Period)	The earliest date and time the test can start This is also known as the Beginning of Test Start Period. Within the Test Start Period page, the From Date & Time and the To Date & Time define the time range between which a student can start their test.
To (End of Test Start Period)	The latest date and time the test can start This is also known as the End of Test Start Period.
Remaining Credits status bar	The number of credits that are remaining for the selected service One credit is required for each student and each test.
Students	The names of the students who will be taking tests during the sitting
Test Start Mode	Defines the way in which the test is started. Students can only start their test(s) within the Test Start Period, which is defined within the To and From boxes.
	The Manual Test Start option means an extra layer of control is added to the test starting process. As soon as the Test Start Period begins, a Testwise user has to click the Start test button from within the Student Progress screen to enable students to start their test(s).
	The Automatic Test Start option means that students can start their test(s) as soon as the Test Start Period begins.
	Once the Test Start Period has ended (defined in the To box) students cannot start their test(s).

This information is available when changing sitting details or creating sittings.



Note: The Sitting Name must be unique within the school account.

When viewing sittings, the following information is displayed for each sitting within the Sittings List:

Name	The name of the sitting, which was entered in the Sitting Name box when the sitting was created
Test(s)	The test that the students will be completing
Status	The status of the sitting is based upon the current date and time, the Test Start Period (defined in the From and To columns) and any specific actions that may have been carried out by a Testwise user. The sitting status can be one of the following:
	Live - Current date and time is between the Beginning of the Test Start Period and the End of the Test Start Period.
	Expired - Current date and time is after the End of the Test Start Period (defined in To column).
	Pending - Current date and time is before the Beginning of the Test Start Period (defined in From column).
	Closed - The sitting has been closed manually.
	Started - Current date and time is between the Beginning of the Test Start Period and the End of the Test Start Period and at least one test has been started by at least one student.
	Draft - Sitting has been created and saved as a draft.
	Completed - All tests have been completed by all students.
Students	The number of students who will be taking tests within the sitting
From	The earliest date and time students can start their test(s)
	This is also known as the Beginning of Test Start Period.
То	The latest date and time students can start their test(s)
	This is also known as the End of Test Start Period.
Last Modified	The date and time the sitting was last modified


Test-taking instructions for students

Before you begin this test, please ensure you have your Student Access Code with you, which your teacher will have given you.


To take your online test

- 1 Ensure you have your Student Access Code with you.
- 2 Open a web browser, such as Internet Explorer, Google Chrome or Mozilla Firefox.
- 3 Enter https://www.testingforschools.com/code into the address bar on your web browser and press the Enter button.

The Student Access screen is displayed.



4 Enter your Student Access Code into the **Access code** box and click the **Login** button.



Note: You do not need to enter dashes or spaces between the numbers, as these are already entered on the screen for you.

Note: If the Student Access Code appears to be invalid, please carefully check the characters provided. The letters will always be displayed as lower case, although I (L) and 1 and O and O (zero) can easily be mis-read. See below for the generation rules for access codes:

There should be an alpha character within each four-character block.


Alpha characters are not case sensitive. Students can enter them in either upper or lower case, but the system will always display the alpha characters on the Testwise platform page in lower case.

The alpha character should appear at a random point within each four-character block.

The same number cannot appear in the same block three times (e.g. a111-b111 or c111-d222).


The six numeric characters cannot be sequential (e.g. a123-b456 or 987f-654d).

The Test Lobby screen is displayed, containing all the tests that you need to complete.



5 Click the **Start test** button to download your first test.

Note: If the **Start test** button is not displayed, this is because the Test Start Period has not yet begun or the test has been configured as manual start.



The Download status bar will be displayed while the test is downloading.



6 Once the test has downloaded, follow the on-screen instructions until you have completed the test.


At the end of each test, you will automatically return to the Test Lobby, where you can either:

begin another test by clicking the **Start** button on the test


or

log out of the Test Lobby if you have completed all tests. You will know when you have completed all the tests allocated to you because each test in the Test Lobby will be ticked.

7 To log out of the Test Lobby, click your name in the top right of the screen and select **Logout** from the menu.



Note: If you leave the Test Lobby unattended for more than 20 minutes, you will automatically be logged out of the Test Lobby.



8 When you have finished all tests and logged out of the Test Lobby using the Logout menu option, you can close your web browser.

Creating Reports

Once you have a sufficient quantity of test data for one or more students, you can create a report • to view their test results.

The report process involves a number of steps, which are shown in the report creation process diagram below.



Each step in the diagram is described in detail in the procedure below.


To create a report

1 Click the **Reports** button • from the home screen.

All existing reports are displayed within the Reports List.

Find report type

2 Click the **Create report** button to display the Report Selection List. This list contains all the reports that are available to you based on the services you have purchased.



You will be able to create some of the reports displayed within the Report Selection List but not all of them. The column on the right defines which reports you can create based upon the data available for each one.

- 3 Find the report you want to create by using one or more of the following methods:
- Filter the list to reduce the number of reports displayed on your screen. Filters that may be of particular interest to you include the following:

Academic Year

Only Show Reports I Can Generate

Report Type and Tests Taken

View detailed information about each report by examining the contents of the following columns:

Report Type - Includes report format and recommended audience for the report.

Report Description - Click the **Report Description** button 12 to view a detailed description of the contents of the report. Click **OK** to close the window after viewing the information contained within it.

Sample - Click the **Sample** link to access a sample of the report.

If a **Load More** button is displayed at the bottom of the Report Selection List, not all reports are currently displayed on the screen. Click the **Load More** button to display the next 100 reports.

Create a report

4 Once you have found the report you want to create, click the **Create report** button **Create** alongside it.

The Report Details screen is displayed, showing the list of students who can be included within the report.

Note: If a student has taken the test more than once in the same academic year, only the latest test data will be displayed.



5 Select the students who you want to include in the report by clicking the check box \(\sigma_6 \) to the left of each student's name.


Each time you click the check box for a student, notice the following:

The check box changes colour.

The total number of students you have selected is displayed within the **Define Report Settings** button, in the top right corner of the screen.

Define report settings

6 Click the **Define Report Settings** button button before Report Settings to display the Report Settings box.



- 7 Within the Report Settings box:
 - a View the information within the following read-only fields:



- b Enter the Report Name, which can contain up to 100 characters and is a mandatory field. The Report Name is the name that you will use to find the report within the Reports List.
- c Enter information in any other fields that may be displayed.

 Different types of reports contain different types of information, therefore the fields at the bottom of this box may change depending upon which report you are creating.

One of the fields displayed may be the Include Logo in Report option. If a logo has been added to your school's account details you will have the option to include your school logo on the front page of the report. Select the **Include Logo in Report** check box if you want your school logo to appear on the front page of the report.

Generate a report

8 Click the **Generate report** button. Generate report

The Report List will be displayed, showing the report you just generated at the top of the list.

Preview or download a report

9 If you want to view or download a report, click the **Actions** button * Actions displayed alongside the report, and then click the **Preview** button * or the **Download** button. *

Note: You can also download multiple reports in a batch rather than downloading each one individually. Multiple reports are automatically compressed, grouped together and saved within a single zip file.

10 To return to the home screen, click the **Home** button. **GL**Assessment

Technical Support

If you have any problems using Testwise, email the Testwise Technical Support Team at support@gl-assessment.co.uk.

You can view our full Testwise Support Services on our website: https://www.gl-assessment.co.uk/support/online-testing-support/





ADMINISTRATION INSTRUCTIONS PAPER EDITION



COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

Administration instructions: Paper edition	73
Prior to testing	74
General arrangements for testing	76
Instructions for administering the tests Distributing the test materials	77
Figure classification	8
Figure matrices	83
Verbal classification	86
Verbal analogies	88
Number analogies	90
Number series	93
Figure analysis	95
Figure recognition	98
Scoring and analysis service	1Oʻ

Administration instructions: Paper edition

You will need a copy of these administration instructions to ensure that the test administration is carried out according to standard procedures as this will obtain the most accurate results.

Please follow the instructions in this guidance exactly.

The tests must be administered in the order in which they appear in the Student Booklet and in this administration guide. It is desirable that they are given in three discrete test sessions with breaks in between.

> Each test session should take no longer than 40 to 45 minutes.

Prior to testing

The administrator will need to read through the following instructions well in advance of the test sessions in order to gain familiarity with the practicalities of administering the test, the example and practice questions and the way in which students should record their answers on the Answer Sheets. Even those who are familiar with administering previous versions of *CAT4* should read through these instructions carefully, as there are significant changes to the test content and practice materials.

The instructions for each test include an example with the answer, followed by either two or three practice questions for which answers are provided after the students have attempted them on the Answer Sheets. It is very important that time is taken to ensure all students understand the nature of the tasks in the test. However, the wording of the example and practice question explanations should not be supplemented by additional information as this may give an unfair advantage to some students. It is acceptable, however, to repeat or rephrase the given explanations as necessary to ensure that all students understand them.

Time needed for testing

Each battery of *CAT4* consists of two tests which take eight, nine or 10 minutes each (see the following instructions for each test and also the Time Chart in Appendix A for timings). Each battery should take no longer than 45 minutes in total, including administration instructions, examples and practice questions.

It is recommended that *CAT4* is administered in three parts and the instructions that follow assume this will be the case and indicate where breaks should be taken. It is desirable to administer the test in discrete sessions and it is not recommended that the whole of *CAT4* is given in a single session as fatigue may well impact on performance in the final tests.

CAT4 Paper Levels X and Y	Test time	Approximate time needed for instructions, examples and practice items	Approximate length of test session
Part 1			
Figures	12 minutes	5 minutes	32 minutes + setting time
Words	10 minutes	5 minutes	
Part 2			
Numbers	10 minutes	5 minutes	31 minutes + setting time
Shapes	11 minutes	5 minutes	
<i>CAT4</i> Paper Level Pre-A			
Part 1			
Figure Classification	10 minutes	5 minutes	30 minutes + setting time
Verbal Classification	10 minutes	5 minutes	
Part 2			
Number Series	8 minutes	5 minutes	27 minutes + setting time
Figure Recognition	9 minutes	5 minutes	

CAT4 Paper Levels A-G	Test time	Approximate time needed for instructions, examples and practice items	Approximate length of test session			
Part 1						
Figure Classification	10 minutes	15 minutes	40 minutes + settling time			
Figure Matrices	10 minutes	5 minutes				
Part 2						
Verbal Classification	8 minutes	5 minutes	41 minutes + settling time			
Verbal Analogies	8 minutes	5 minutes				
Number Analogies	10 minutes	5 minutes				
Part 3						
Number Series	8 minutes	5 minutes	41 minutes + settling time			
Figure Analysis	9 minutes	5 minutes				
Figure Recognition	9 minutes	5 minutes				

^{*}As additional instructions are part of the administration at the very beginning of *CAT4*, 10 minutes have been added to the timing for Figure Classification to allow for distribution of materials, directions to students about filling in the Answer Sheet, etc.

Materials needed for testing

For the test session, the following materials will be needed:

- A copy of these administration instructions.
- An accurate stopwatch, watch or clock with a second hand or display.
- A photocopy of the Time Chart found in Appendix A.
- A Student Booklet for each student plus an extra copy for the administrator.
- An Answer Sheet for each student.
- An HB pencil and an eraser for each student, plus some spare HB pencils in case of breakage. Pens must not be used.
- 🎇 Rough paper for the tests in the Quantitative Reasoning Battery.

General arrangements for testing

- Seat the students so that they cannot easily copy from one another.
- Be sure the testing room is comfortable and well lit and that the students have room on the desk to handle both the Student Booklet and the Answer Sheet.
- Place a 'Testing Do Not Disturb' sign on the door.
- Try to forestall any interruption of the testing session by visitors or announcements.
- Make sure the students understand the example and practice questions before you start each of the tests in the battery. Help any student having difficulty by repeating or rephrasing the explanations as necessary, but do not provide any additional information beyond that given in the explanations provided in these instructions and in the Student Booklet.



Instructions for administering the tests

- Read out to the students all directions given here in colour, exactly as they appear. Any other instructions that are not in colour are for the administrator's attention and should not be read out to the students.
- Within the text to be read out to the students, any instructions to the administrator are given in square brackets and are not in colour. Do not read these out.
- Read the instructions from this administrator's guidance, not from a copy of the Student Booklet, as there are additional statements (for example, 'Are there any questions?') that are not printed in the Student Booklet.

Verbatim
instructions are
in colour so you
can easily see the
information to be
read aloud.

The example and practice items are presented for your reference only: these do not have to be read out to the students as they are presented in the Student Booklet.

Distributing the test materials

- Ensure you have a Student Booklet of the correct level and an Answer Sheet for each student, plus a Student Booklet for yourself.
- Ensure each student has at least one sharpened HB pencil and that you have spares readily available. Erasers will be required as the students will be instructed to rub out responses that they wish to change.
- 🧩 When all the students are seated, say:

Today you are going to take some short reasoning tests.

There are several different kinds of questions in these tests. Be sure to do your best on all of the questions.

Now I will give out the Student Booklets and Answer Sheets. Place them on your desk and wait until I give further instructions. Do not open your Student Booklets.

Distribute the Student Booklets and Answer Sheets.

Directions to the students

If possible, display the school name, class and today's date for the students to copy. Please note that the format for 'Today's date' and

'Date of birth' needs to be 'DD MM YYYY'.

When each student has a copy of the Student Booklet and an Answer Sheet, say:

Look at the front of your Answer Sheet. At the top is a box for you to fill in some information about yourself.

Where it says 'School', write carefully in capital letters [as displayed or given verbally].

The required format for dates is 'DD MM YYYY'.

Where it says 'Class', write [as displayed or given verbally].

Where it says 'Today's date', write today's date in the boxes like this [as displayed or given verbally].

Where it says 'Date of birth', write your date of birth in the boxes.

Recording the student's name

Now open your booklet at page 2 and follow while I read the instructions. Does everyone have the right place?

[Pause]

Your answers to the test questions will be marked on a separate Answer Sheet. The Answer Sheet will be scored by a computer. The computer will have to 'read' and copy your name from the Answer Sheet. To make this possible, you must mark your name in a special way on the 'name block' on the Answer Sheet.

Look at the example name block below. It has been marked for ANNE BURTON who was born on the 17th of February 2002. Her name has been written in capital letters in the row of boxes at the top. Her first name has been written under 'Student's forename' and her family name has been written under 'Student's surname'.

Now look at the alphabet columns below her name. Notice that a letter has been marked for each letter of the name. The B has been marked below the B of BURTON, the U below the U of BURTON, the R under the R, and so on. Notice how each letter has been marked by drawing a firm line through the middle of it.

Now write your name in capital letters in the boxes under 'Student's forename' and 'Student's surname'. If there aren't enough boxes to complete your name, just fill in the first eight letters under 'Student's forename' and the first 13 letters under 'Student's surname'.

[Pause]

Now mark the letters in the alphabet columns under your name. Begin with the first letter of your name and find this same letter in the column directly underneath it. Draw a line carefully over the middle of the letter. Do the same for each letter of your forename and surname. If you make a mistake, rub it out carefully and make the correction.

If you have any questions about what to do please raise your hand.

[Pause]

Recording the student's date of birth

This section of the Answer Sheet may be completed by either the student or teacher but, for younger students, it is recommended that the teacher completes this information. It is very important that this information is completed correctly and it must be double-checked by the teacher if the student completes it.

If students are to complete the details, read out the following:

Now look at page 3 of your booklet. Now look to see how ANNE BURTON's date of birth has been shown. She was born on 17th February 2002, so the boxes showing a 1 and a 7 have a line through them for 17. The boxes next to February and 2002 have also been marked.

Now we are going to complete the 'Date of birth' box on your Answer Sheet. [Pause] Draw a line over the number of your birthday. [Pause] Now mark the box next to the month in which you were born. [Pause] Finally, mark the box next to the year in which you were born.

Look at the example in the Student Booklet to help you do this.

Raise your hand if you have any questions.

Recording the student's gender and ID number

Next to the top panel is a block marked 'Gender'. Ask the students to mark the appropriate box.

The student's ID number is their DfE Unique Pupil Number (*UPN*), which is optional. However, it does add an additional check for accuracy as each number is unique and, therefore, if the student's name or date of birth cannot be read for some reason, the *UPN* can be used to identify the student.

You will need to provide each student with their *UPN* to copy. Please include the following instructions if you intend to do this:

Look at the 'Student's ID number'. Write your number in the boxes and mark the letter and numbers in the column directly underneath it. Remember to draw a line carefully over the middle of the letter or number.

Taking the test

Ask the students to turn to page 3 of their Student Booklet.

Check all the students are on the right page and read:

In this booklet there are eight tests that use words, numbers and shapes.

You may find some of the questions easy and some of them hard. Try to answer every question, but do not spend too much time on those you find difficult. Do those that you can. Then, if you have time, go back and answer those that you have missed. If you are not sure of the answer, mark the answer you think is right. If you have completed the questions and have time left, go back and check your work.

You must mark all your answers in pencil by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. You must not use a pen.

If you want to change an answer, rub out your first answer and then mark the answer you think is correct.

Please do not write in this booklet.

Now work through the example and practice items for the first test with the students as explained on the following pages, and continue with further tests as directed in this guidance.

Figure classification


When the students are ready, say:

Now look at page 4 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause and check that all the students are on the right page, and then read:

In each of these questions the first three figures are similar in some way. Decide how they are the same. Then choose the figure from the answer choices that goes with them. Look at the example below. [Pause]


Example




Think about how the first three figures are similar. [Pause] Each figure is shaded and has four sides. Now look at the answer choices. Find the one that is shaded and also has four sides. The correct answer is D. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1



Practice 2



Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is K, because that is the only choice that is a striped semi-circle, like the first three figures.

The answer to practice question 2 is M, because that is the only choice that has two semi-circles, one up and one down, with one striped and one dark.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 10 minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly 10 minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly 10 minutes, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and turn to the next page.

Figure matrices


When the students are ready, say:

Now turn to page 10 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause to check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

In each of these questions there are figures arranged in a large square. One figure is missing and its place is shown by a question mark. You have to choose which figure is the missing one. Look at the example below. [Pause]

Example




Look at the top pair of figures – a large square and a small square. They are the same shape but the second figure is smaller.


Look at the bottom figure – a large circle. Find the figure from the answer choices that completes the pair in the same way. The correct answer is D because this is a small circle. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.


Practice 1



Practice 2



Practice 3



Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is H, because if 'one arrow up' goes to 'two arrows up', then 'one arrow down' goes to 'two arrows down'.

The answer to practice question 2 is Q, because if 'three arrows above the line' go to 'six arrows below the line', then 'three circles above the line' go to 'six circles below the line'.

The answer to practice question 3 is R, because the three different shapes are arranged in a pattern. The shapes move along one space as you look down the three rows or across the three columns. You can see the same shape appears in a diagonal pattern.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Remember, you have to choose which figure is the missing one by completing the pair or the pattern in the large square.

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 10 minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly 10 minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly 10 minutes, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and close your booklets.

At this point, if the test session has finished, please collect the Student Booklets and Answer Sheets. If you are continuing the test session after a break, please ensure that all materials are secure until testing resumes.

Verbal classification

When the students are ready, say:

Now turn to page 20 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause to check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

In each of these questions there are three words in bold type. These three words are similar in some way. Decide how they are the same. Then choose the word from the answer choices that goes with the first three words. Look at the example below.

Example

green blue red

A colour B crayon C paint D yellow E rainbow

The first three words are green, blue and red. [Pause] Green, blue and red are all colours. Look for the answer that is also a colour. The correct answer is D, yellow. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on your Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

rain fog sunshine

F winter G snow H weather J dark K night

Practice 2

happy sad frightened

L tall M feel N think P new Q angry

Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is G, snow, because rain, fog and sunshine are all types of weather and snow is also a type of weather.

The answer to practice question 2 is Q, angry, because happy, sad and frightened are all ways that you can feel and angry is also a way that you can feel.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have eight minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly eight minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly eight minutes, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and turn to the next page.

Verbal analogies

When the students are ready, say:

Now turn to page 26 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause and check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

In each of these questions there are three words in bold type. The first two words go together. The third word goes together with one of the answer choices. Choose the word from the answer choices that goes with the third word. Look at the example below.

Example

new \rightarrow old: wet \rightarrow

A rain B drip C hot D sun E dry

Look at the first two words, new and old. [Pause] New is the opposite of old. Now look at the third word, wet. The word 'wet' must go with the answer in the same way that new goes with old. Since new is the opposite of old, you have to find the word that is the opposite of wet. Answer E, dry, is the opposite of wet. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

cow → milk: chicken →

F feather G dinner H egg J hen K bird

Practice 2

carpet → floor: curtain →

L window M shade N hang P drapes Q cloth

Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is H, egg, because a cow produces milk and a chicken produces eggs.

The answer to practice question 2 is L, window, because a carpet covers a floor and a curtain covers a window.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have eight minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly eight minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly **eight minutes**, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and turn to the next page.

Number analogies

Hand out a sheet of rough paper to each student.

When the students are ready, say:

This paper is for any rough working you want to do. Do not write in the Student Booklet. Now look at page 32 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause and check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

Each of these questions starts with two numbers that are linked together in some way. Next there are two more numbers that are linked in exactly the same way. You have to work out how the numbers are linked and then complete the third pair. Look at the example below.

Example

[
$$2 \rightarrow 3$$
] [$9 \rightarrow 10$] [$6 \rightarrow ?$] A 3 B4 C 5 D 6 E 7

What do you have to do to get you from 2 to 3 and also from 9 to 10? [Pause] You have to add 1. So, 6 changes to 7. The correct answer is E, 7. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

This is just one example. In the test you might have to add, subtract, multiply or divide to get the second half of each pair. Remember, you must always check that what you decide for the first pair also works for the second pair.

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

$$[5 \rightarrow 4] [8 \rightarrow 7] [3 \rightarrow ?]$$
 F 1 G 2 H 3 J 5 K 6

Practice 2

$$[1 \rightarrow 2]$$
 $[5 \rightarrow 10]$ $[4 \rightarrow ?]$ L 5 M 7 N 8 P 9 Q 10



Practice 3 (Levels C and above only)

For some questions, you will have to do two operations to get from the first to the second number in each pair. For example, you might have to add and then divide.

Now try another practice question.



 $[3 \rightarrow 7]$ $[5 \rightarrow 11]$ $[4 \rightarrow ?]$ R 5 S 6 T 8 U 9 V 10

Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is G, 2, because you have to subtract 1, so 3 minus 1 is 2.

The answer to practice question 2 is N, 8. Here 1 plus 1 makes 2, but that doesn't work for the second pair because 5 plus 1 is 6, not 10. Instead, you have to multiply by 2 to get the second part of each pair, so 4 times 2 is 8.



(Levels C and above only) The answer to practice question 3 is U, 9. Adding 4 doesn't work for the second pair, so that can't be the rule. You can see that 7 and 11 are each 1 more than 2 times 3 and 5, so the rule must be 'times by 2 then add 1'. You work out the answer by saying 4 times 2 is 8 then add 1 to give 9.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Remember, you are working out the way to get from the first number to the second number in each of the three pairs. This rule will work for all three pairs in a question. When you go on to the next question, you will have to work out a new rule that works for that question.

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 10 minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly 10 minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly 10 minutes, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and close your booklets.

Collect the rough paper.

At this point, if the test session has finished, please collect the Student Booklets and Answer Sheets. If you are continuing the test session after a break, please ensure that all materials are secure until testing resumes.

Number series

Hand out a sheet of rough paper to each student.

When the students are ready, say:

This paper is for any rough working you want to do. Do not write in the Student Booklet. Now look at page 36 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause and check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

Each of these questions shows a series of numbers. You have to work out the rule or rules used to arrange the numbers. Then decide what number should come next in the series. Look at the example below.

Example

15 14 13 12 \rightarrow **A** 9 **B** 10 **C** 11 **D** 13 **E** 14

Look at the numbers and work out how the series is arranged. Each number is one lower than the number before it. Using this rule, think about which number should come after 12. [Pause] As 12 minus 1 is 11, the right answer is C, 11. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

5 10 15 20 \rightarrow F 25 G 30 H 35 J 40 K 45

Practice 2

18 5 17 7 16 9 \rightarrow **L** 11 **M** 12 **N** 13 **P** 14 **Q** 15

Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is F, 25, because the rule for this series is to add 5 to each number, and 20 plus 5 is 25.

The answer to practice question 2 is Q, 15. The easiest way to answer

this question is to notice that there are two number patterns. The first, third and fifth numbers are going down by 1 each time: 18, 17, then 16. The numbers in between them are going up by two each time: 5, 7, then 9. This means the next number must be 16 minus 1, giving 15.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Do all of the questions on this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have eight minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly eight minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly **eight minutes**, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and turn to the next page.

Collect the rough paper.

Figure analysis


When the students are ready, say:

Now turn to page 40 and look at the pictures while I read the directions.

Pause and check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

Each of the questions in this test is about folding paper and punching holes in it. You must decide how the paper would look when unfolded. Look at the example below.

Example



The top row shows how the paper is folded and punched through. The first square shows the paper at the start. The white line shows the crease and the arrow shows the direction of the fold.

The paper is folded down, so where it had been on the page is marked by dashed lines.

A hole has been punched after the fold was made. This is shown by the white circle. You have to decide how it will look if it is unfolded.


Because the paper was folded over, the hole would have gone through two layers. When unfolded, there will be two holes.

Which of the answer choices shows how the paper would look?


The correct answer is B. There will be one hole in the top half and one in the bottom half, both in the left-hand side of the paper. This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on your Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1



Practice 2



Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is K, because the hole is punched through all four layers of paper so, as the paper is unfolded, the holes will each be close to the centre of the paper, one in each quarter.

The answer to practice question 2 is P, because the hole is punched through both layers of paper so, as the paper is unfolded, the holes will be a mirror image of each other, with the crease being the mirror line.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, and then read:

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have nine minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly nine minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly **nine minutes**, say:

Stop. Put your pencils down and turn to the next page.

Figure recognition

When the students are ready, say:

Now turn to page 48 and follow while I read the directions.

Pause and check all the students are on the right page, and then read:

This test is about hidden shapes. Each question has a target shape. The target is hidden in one of five designs. [Hold up your copy of the Student Booklet and point to the target and five designs in the example.]

You have to find where the target is hidden and mark the letter for that design.


The target will be exactly the same size and the same way round.

You won't need to imagine it turned around or flipped over.

All sides of the target have to be shown on the design.

Look at the example below.

Example




Then continue, holding up your booklet and pointing where necessary:

Can you see the target in the example is hidden in design B? Here it is. [Point to B.]


This is how you would show the answer. [Pause and indicate the example in the Student Booklet.]

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the Answer Sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1



Practice 2



Pause while the students choose their answers. Walk around the room, checking to make sure they are marking their answers correctly. Clear up any difficulties. Then continue as follows:

The answer to practice question 1 is J. Only J shows all of the target shape.

The answer to practice question 2 is Q. It isn't L because that shows the target flipped over. It isn't M or N because they have shapes that are the wrong size.

Are there any questions?

Answer any questions, then say:

Remember to look for:

* the same shape

the same size

the same way round

and check that all the edges are shown on the design.

You will have nine minutes to work on this test.

Turn over the page and begin. Work until you reach the stop sign at the end of the test.

Start your stopwatch or note the exact time to the nearest second on your watch or clock. Note this time on your Time Chart, and then add exactly nine minutes and fill in the stopping time.

While the test is in progress, walk around the room to make sure that all the students are marking their answers by drawing a line across the letters and that no one has turned to a page they should not be on. Encourage any students who finish early to check their answers.

After exactly **nine minutes**, say:

Stop. Close your booklets and put your pencils down. This is the end of the test.

Collect the Student Booklets and Answer Sheets, checking that each student's name has been completed legibly and correctly.

Scoring and analysis service

All Answer Sheets are marked by computer.

Package up your Answer Sheets, ensuring that they are clearly marked with your name and the school's address. Include a completed Group Header Sheet, a sample of which is in Appendix D.

Please refer to the Group Header Sheet for details of where to send the Answer Sheets for scoring.









COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

Using CAT4 for the benefit of students 104
When to test with CAT4 105
Scoring <i>CAT4</i> 106
Getting the data analysis right.107Digital edition.107Paper edition.109Overprinting.109Post-results analysis.110Assessment Insights support.111
What CAT4 tells you. 112 What the four batteries assess 112 Thinking with words. 112 Thinking with numbers 113 Thinking with shapes 113 Thinking about shape and space 114 Scores from CAT4 114 Relationship between CAT4 scores 116 Low or unreliable scores 116
CAT4 reports
The CAT4 student profile 121
Communicating CAT4 results
Sample reports

Using CAT4 for the benefit of students

CAT4 has become established over many years as a reliable and informative assessment of students' developed abilities. Results from *CAT4* can help in intervention, monitoring progress and setting targets for future attainment.

Many teachers tell us that *CAT4* is unique in the way it can 'unlock potential' – that is, identify a student with high level ability who may have been overlooked or who is in danger of underachieving. *CAT4* has become recognised in the assessment of gifted students and is used by numerous schools to identify such students, many of whom may not be among the top sets, who need extra challenge

CAT4 'unlocks potential'.

in their schoolwork. These are just two of the varied uses of CAT4.

Most students who take *CAT4* do so once or twice in their school careers, and the information the test yields can become a reference point against which progress and performance can be measured. It is desirable to test students more than once as their abilities develop and their profile may well change over time.

The more we know about an individual, the better position we should be in to offer a learning environment and ways of teaching and learning that allow individuals to maximise their potential. Information about a student's reasoning ability will be key to many decisions and should be considered alongside attainment data and other factors known to impact on learning, such as attendance and attitude. The results from *CAT4* provide evidence of a student's present level of development in reasoning. So the pattern of scores will reveal particular strengths or weaknesses, plus a comparison with previous scores from a lower level of *CAT4* will give an insight into the student's development.¹

¹ Increased scores at the second point of testing will indicate how much the student's ability has developed, and an increase in the Standard Age Score (*SAS*) of 10 points or more can be considered significant. Static scores, for example getting the same score on a particular battery in *CAT4* Level B and again in Level D two years later, tell us that the student's ability has developed at an average rate.

When to test with CAT4

When *CAT4* is administered will vary according to each school's calendar and the purpose for which the results will be used.

Primary schools will most likely administer Levels A, B or C during the autumn term so that the diagnostic information can be used to modify, as necessary, the educational programme of an individual student or groups of students. Alternatively, these schools may choose to delay testing until students are due to transfer to their next schools, so that up-to-date information may be available to receiving schools. In such cases, it

It should be remembered that the most accurate comparison with the national norms will occur when CAT4 is administered between September and November, since that was when the standardisation of CAT4 was carried out.

may be better to use the level of the test intended for the following academic year – for example, use Level D for students at the end of Year 6/P7 rather than Level C.

In receiving secondary schools, if objective test results are not available from all the contributing schools, the autumn term will be the most suitable time in which to administer *CAT4*.

Later use of *CAT4* will be linked to the timing of particular decisions taken in the secondary school, such as the setting of end of key stage targets or the choice of appropriate examination or pre-vocational courses. Career guidance can also be greatly assisted by knowing a student's profile of abilities as revealed by *CAT4* results.

Some schools, however, will see greater advantage in choosing the spring term as a compromise between assessing what has happened and deciding what is likely to happen. There is still time to take action over weaknesses revealed by the testing, which are then less likely to be reinforced by the long summer break.

At any time of the year the new entrant to, or late transfer from, a school can be quickly and reliably assessed with the help of *CAT4*.

Scoring CAT4

CAT4 paper edition

Scoring and Analysis Service, please see the example of the Group Header Sheet in Appendix D accuracy and also allows the generation of automated individual and group reports. For details of GL Assessment's All scoring of the CAT4 paper edition is done by computer. Computerised scoring has the advantage of ensuring complete

CAT4 digital edition

online scoring and reporting via Testwise, please see the Testwise manual in the Help section: https://help.testingforschools.com/display/HOH/ reports through their school's online account and reports can be generated on demand. For details of GL Assessment's The CAT4 digital edition is scored automatically on completion of the tests. Teachers and administrators can access

Example of register

				Be	low is an	exam	ple of a	spreadsheet ready fo	Below is an example of a spreadsheet ready for upload to the register	er.		
First Name *	Last Name *	Unique Identifier *	Date of birth *	Gender *	Group *	Year	Free School Meals	Ethnic Group	SEN	English as a Second Language	Custom 1	Custom 2
Jaimin	Shah	12345	01/01/1998	Female	Class 8A	œ	Yes	Asian or Asian British	Communication problems	Yes	01Shah	Jaimin.Shah@glcollege.com
Reah	Patel	23456	02/01/1998	Female	Class 8B	œ	Z o	Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi	Learning difficulties	Z o	02Patel	Reah.Patel@glcollege.com
Olivia	Singh	34567	03/01/1998	Female	Class 8A	ω	N _o	Asian or Asian British - Indian	Medical or health conditions	Yes	03Singh	Olivia.Singh@glcollege.com
Jalai	Simpson	45678	04/01/1998	Male	Class 8C	00	Z o	Asian or Asian British - Pakistani	Sensory or physical needs - hearing impairment	Z o	04Simpson	Jalal.Simpson@glcollege.com
Lindsay	Parsons	56789	05/01/1998	Female	Class 8C	00	Z o	Asian or Asian British - any other Asian background	Sensory or physical needs - physical difficulties	Z _o	05Parsons	Lindsay.Parsons@glcollege.com
Lisa	Payne	67891	06/01/1998	Female	Class 8A	œ	Yes	Black or Black British	Sensory or physical needs - visual impairment	Z _o	06Payne	Lisa.Payne@glcollege.com
Jalal	Simpson	78912	07/01/1998	Male	Class 8B	œ	Z _o	Black or Black British - African	Social, emotional or mental health difficulties	Z _o	07Simpson	Jalal.Simpson@glcollege.com
James	Scott	89123	08/01/1998	Male	Class 8B	ω	Z _o	Black or Black British - Caribbean	Specific learning difficulty with number work	Z o	08Scott	James.Scott@glcollege.com
Jamie	Sherwood	91234	09/01/1998	Male	Class 8B	œ	Yes	Black or Black British - any other Black background	Specific learning difficulty with reading	Z _o	09Sherwood	Jamie.Sherwood@glcollege.com
Jean	Xi 3	101850	10/01/1998	Female	Class 8C	ω	Z	Chinese	Specific learning difficulty with understanding information	N _o	10Kim	Jean.Kim@glcollege.com
Theo	Allen	109874	11/01/1998	Male	Class 8C	œ	Z o	Gypsy/Roma	Specific learning difficulty with writing	Z o	11Allen	Theo.Allen@glcollege.com
Matthew	Bateman	119626	12/01/1998	Male	Class 8A	ω	No	Mixed	None	N _o	12Bateman	Matthew.Bateman@glcollege.com

Getting the data analysis right

This section helps you ensure that the analysis of *CAT4* results will meet the needs of your students and your school.

Digital edition

Tests will be taken on Testwise, a platform for administering our digital tests. When adding students to the Testwise register, certain pieces of information are mandatory. These are:

- * Unique identifier
- 🧩 First name
- 🔆 Last name
- Group (this should be the teaching group or tutor group rather than the year group as it will allow additional analysis by the teacher)
- > Date of birth
- **K** Gender

For further help and information, view our full Testwise Support Services on our website:

https://help.testingforschools.com/display/HOH/

Most schools will be able to export these details for a pre-determined group from the school's management information system.

If additional analysis is required, the following categories have been pre-programmed:

- * Year (group)
- 🔆 External reference
- 🎠 Ethnicity
- * Free school meals
- * Special educational needs
- 🎠 English as an additional language

The analysis for these categories (excluding the external reference) will be limited to a graphical display showing up to five different groups and a table including up to 20 different groups.

Note that if no entry is given for a student, Testwise processes this as 'Unknown'. This 'Unknown' category will count as one of the groups for

Ensure that
data supplied to
GL Assessment is as
accurate as possible:
the 'cleaner' the data
is, the more meaningful
the reports are
likely to be.

graphical display so it is recommended that wherever possible an entry is given for each category for each student. If more than 20 groups are defined, Testwise will report on the 20 most frequent and will classify the remainder as 'Other'.

To get the best from the analysis it is strongly recommended that you limit the information as follows:

- 🎠 Year include the year group for the individual student
- External reference this may be used to allocate an internal unique identifier for the students (as opposed to the DfE *UPN*)
- 🎇 Ethnic group include one from the following options:

Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi

Asian or Asian British - Indian

Asian or Asian British - Pakistani

Asian or Asian British - any other Asian background

Black or Black British - African

Black or Black British - Caribbean

Black or Black British - any other Black background

Chinese

Gypsy/Roma

Mixed - White and Asian

Mixed - White and Black African

Mixed - White and Black Caribbean

Mixed - any other mixed background

Travellers of Irish Heritage

White British

White Irish

White - any other White background

Any other ethnic group

- Free school meals indicate 'yes' or 'no'
- Special educational needs indicate 'yes' or 'no'
- English as an additional language indicate 'yes' or 'no'

There are two further categories which you can customise according to your requirements. These are called 'Custom 1' and 'Custom 2'. Suggestions for additional student-level information that could be included under Customs 1 or 2 are:

- First language (again, limiting these to the 'top 20' spoken in school will make analysis more meaningful).
- Additional learning needs breakdown such as School Action and School Action Plus in England. If your school has special provision for children on the autistic spectrum or with speech and language disorders, for example, these categories could be highlighted. Again, it is recommended that only the 20 most common additional needs or fewer are included.
- Postcode, but use just the first part of the code otherwise there will be too many categories for meaningful analysis. So, for the code SL4 3QY, state SL4.

As the reports will cut off text entries in a cell after 65 characters, it is recommended that entries for fields are kept as succinct as possible, whilst still being meaningful.

Paper edition

For customers taking the *CAT4* paper tests, the information used for analysis is collected in the first instance by the Optical Mark Recognition (*OMR*) Answer Sheet completed by each student. When administering your *CAT4* tests you should ensure each student completes the Answer Sheet correctly. Information is also collected from the Group Header Sheet which must accompany each batch of Answer Sheets. One Group Header Sheet should be submitted for each group for which a separate analysis is required.

Overprinting

To ensure accuracy of data, schools have the option of purchasing our overprinting service. This service delivers Answer Sheets pre-printed with the required information, saving valuable time on the day of the test and guaranteeing an efficient results

To help with administration and accuracy, you may wish to take advantage of our overprinting service which pre-prints the required information onto each Answer Sheet.

One Group
Header Sheet must
be submitted for each
group for which a
separate analysis is
required. Group Header
Sheets are supplied
with your Answer
Sheets.

delivery service. In addition, sourcing the data from your school management system will ensure that report analysis is as accurate as possible and allow the results to be easily transferred back into the system for use by teaching staff. To submit the information, the school uploads a data file containing the following student and school details to the Testwise Reporting Service (*TRS*):

- * School DfE number
- **X** School name
- **%** Surname
- ***** Forename
- **X** Class
- * Year
- **W** UPN
- ***** Gender
- Date of birth
- ** CAT4 test level
- ***** Ethnicity
- * Free school meals
- 🎠 Special educational needs
- 🎠 English as an additional language

A sample data file can be downloaded from the *TRS* website: https://reports.testwise.net/

Post-results analysis

Schools are encouraged to use the reports to carry out further analysis based on a full range of demographic information. If you have not used the overprinting service, it is possible to add information at student level for categories such as ethnicity, free school meals, *Special Educational Needs* and English as an additional language. By accessing their information on *TRS*, schools can update their information and re-run reports with this more detailed analysis.

For guidance on making your additional information as meaningful as possible to the analysis, see the digital edition guidance. We recommend that paper users also follow these guidelines.

There are further categories which you can customise according to your requirements. For suggestions of student-level information that could be included under these custom fields see the digital edition guidance on page 18.

Assessment Insights support

If you have a question about *CAT4* data or your *CAT4* results, please contact our Assessment Insights team at Assessment.Insights@gl-assessment.co.uk. Our Assessment Insights team are assessment data experts with over 35 years combined classroom experience, here to help you make the best use of your data to inform in-school actions. You are also welcome to book a free one-to-one data consultation where the team will review your data in advance, provide an objective summary of key findings and help you to identify next steps.

What CAT4 tells you

The four batteries of *CAT4* assess a student's ability to reason with different kinds of material and so provide information that is highly valuable for both understanding students' strengths and diagnosing their learning needs.

What the four batteries assess

The Verbal Reasoning Battery assesses reasoning ability with words representing objects or concepts. The tests in this battery do not focus on the physical properties of the words themselves, such as the alphabetical position of their first letters. Likewise, the Quantitative Reasoning Battery assesses reasoning with numbers, with the numbers representing the relevant numerical concept, rather than being used for their physical properties such as whether they consist of two digits or one. The Nonverbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries are somewhat different in that the shapes themselves are the focus of the assessment rather than the shapes symbolising something else.

Thinking with words

The Verbal Reasoning Battery necessarily requires some reading ability. However, *CAT4* limits the reading requirements to a modest level throughout. The vocabulary demands of the Verbal Analogies and Verbal Classification tests have been kept as low as possible. Also, the background knowledge needed to answer the verbal questions is that which all students will have encountered in school or everyday life, rather than including topics that may only be familiar to certain socio-economic or cultural groups.

Vocabulary
demands and the
need for background
knowledge have been
kept to a minimum in
the Verbal Reasoning
Battery.

Consequently, scores on the Verbal Reasoning Battery will usually reflect students' ability to use words as a medium of thought. The exceptions will be when students have poor reading skills or grew up apart from mainstream UK society.

It is also worth noting that all the instructions for the *CAT4* batteries are presented orally to students, so any influence of reading skills is limited solely to the items in the Verbal Reasoning Battery.

Thinking with numbers

The Quantitative Reasoning Battery has been designed to be minimally reliant on mathematical knowledge. The Number Analogies test requires only basic arithmetical knowledge, and parallels the analogy tests in the Verbal and Nonverbal Reasoning Batteries. The Number Series test focuses as far as possible on the identification of relationships between the elements of the questions, though basic arithmetical knowledge is necessarily required too.

Mathematical
knowledge is kept
to a minimum in the
Quantitative Reasoning
Battery, although
basic arithmetic is
needed.

In this way, the Quantitative Reasoning Battery will give a genuine indication of most students' ability to think with numbers, with the exception of children with particularly low arithmetic skills.

Thinking with shapes

The Nonverbal Reasoning Battery assesses the ability to think and reason with Nonverbal material, that is to analyse figures made up of multiple elements, identify the relationships between these elements and identify further examples of these relationships. The Figure Matrices test parallels the analogies tests in the Verbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries. The Figure Classification test requires the identification of common elements

The Nonverbal Reasoning Battery does not rely on high level verbal skills or English.

between figures and parallels the Verbal Classification test.

Consequently, the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery reveals how well students can think when working with shapes. As these questions do not necessarily rely on highly developed verbal skills or the use of English for their solution, they can provide insight into the reasoning abilities of students with poor verbal skills or who are not particularly fluent in English.

Caution may need to be exercised when interpreting low scores if the student concerned comes from a non-Western cultural background, as he or she may not have experienced these types of activities before.

Thinking about shape and space

The Spatial Ability Battery assesses the ability to think in spatial terms, that is to visualise shapes and objects and the effects of manipulations on these. The Figure Analysis test requires the student to imagine the effect of a series of physical manipulations on a square of paper. This test relies on both spatial and reasoning abilities, such as recognising that, if a hole is made through layers of a doubled-over sheet, there must be two holes when the sheet

Students with a high spatial ability may be well-suited to jobs involving visual mapping such as architecture, graphic design, photography and astronomy.

is unfolded. The Figure Recognition test requires the identification of a target shape within a complex design, so assessing the ability to identify a remembered shape from within more complex information.

As spatial tests make no demands on verbal ability, they can be highly effective indicators of potential in students with poor verbal skills, as well as effectively identifying the weaker abilities of those who have verbal strengths. This then provides a more comprehensive picture of the students concerned.

As with the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery, caution needs to be exercised when interpreting low scores if students come from non-Western cultural backgrounds, owing to their potential lack of familiarity with this type of activity.

Scores from CAT4

For each *CAT4* test students obtain a raw score which indicates the number of questions they answered correctly.

These raw scores are interpreted by comparing them to the performance of other students of the same chronological age group using what are referred to as 'normative scores'. Three types of normative score are provided for the interpretation of performance: Standard Age Scores (SAS); National Percentile Rank (NPR) by age; and stanines (ST) by age.

Standard Age Scores (SAS): These are presented on a standardised score scale where the average for each age group is set to 100 and the standard deviation set to 15.2 This means that a student who gains the same SAS on two different batteries has done equally well on both, compared to others of the same age. It also means

 $^{^2}$ This means that approximately 68% of students in the norm group for that age scored between 85 and 115, approximately 95% scored between 70 and 130, and over 99% scored between 60 and 140, the limits of the *CAT4 SAS*.

that students of different ages who have the same SAS have done equally well when judged in relation to others of their own age.

- National Percentile Rank (NPR): This indicates the proportion of students of the same age who have scored the same as or below the student in question. For example, a student who achieves a percentile rank of 84 has scored equal to or better than 84% of students in the same age band; only approximately 16% of students achieved a higher score on this test.
- Stanines (ST): This is a standardised score scale divided into nine bands. In a stanine scale the scores are grouped as shown in the table below. Stanines are particularly useful when reporting test results to students and parents as they are relatively easy to understand and interpret. They also avoid the erroneous impression of being 'IQ scores', sometimes attributed to SAS.

		The stanine scale		
	Stanine	Percentage of cases	Corresponding percentiles	Corresponding SAS
Very high	9	4%	97 and above	127 and above
A la	8	7%	90-96	119-126
Above average	7	12%	78-89	112-118
	6	17%	59-77	104-111
Average	5	20%	41-58	97-103
	4	17%	23-40	89-96
Deleviere	3	12%	12-22	82-88
Below average —	2	7%	5-11	74-81
Very low	1	4%	4 and below	73 and below

CAT4 levels X and Y report SAS scores with limits different to CAT4 levels Pre-A to G.

While levels X and Y have limits of 69 – 131, levels Pre-A to G have limits of 59 – 141. This is because *CAT4* levels X and Y are less reliable at measuring extremely good or extremely bad performances, because the tests are shorter at these levels. The tests are designed to be shorter because young children tend to have shorter concentration spans.

The SAS range that is common to levels Pre-A to G and X and Y function the same. Indeed, both mean and standard deviation is the same. Very few students are affected by the different limits to score reporting.

In order to understand the difference between CAT4 Levels X and Y standard age score reporting and the other CAT4 levels please see further guidance at: https://www.gl-assessment.co.uk/content-pages/cat4-x/


Relationship between CAT4 scores

The relationship between the three types of normative score is shown below, along with the normal distribution curve which illustrates the distribution of test performance in each age range.

Figure 1: Relationship between scores

Description	Very Low	Below A	ærage		Average	9	Above .	Average	Very High
Stanine (ST)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Standard Age Score (SAS)	70	80	Ć	90	100	110		120	130
National Percentile Rank (NPR)	1 5	5 10	20	30 4	10 50 6	50 70	80	90 9	5 99

Figure 2: Normal distribution curve



Low or unreliable scores

If a student's score on any one of the batteries is very low, it should be regarded with caution.

Before interpreting an individual student's score on any of the *CAT4* reports, scan the report and find the number of questions attempted. This will show if a student has left a large number of questions unanswered on any of the batteries, or if his or her score is close to that expected from random guessing.

Examples of low and potentially unreliable scores are illustrated in the case studies found in this pack.

If all or nearly all of the questions have been attempted, then random guessing will result in raw scores at the 'chance level' shown in the table below. If fewer questions have been attempted then random guessing will, on average, result in a raw score of around one-fifth of the number of questions attempted.

This table shows chance levels of performance and these should be used to identify any students whose scores should be looked at more closely.

If the raw score is the same as or lower than the chance level given for the battery, then caution should be exercised in interpreting the score.

Chance le	vels of performance on <i>CAT4</i> b	atteries
	Maximum raw score	Chance raw score
Verbal Reasoning Battery	48	10
Quantitative Reasoning Battery	36	7
Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	48	10
Spatial Ability Battery	36	7

Any student who omits a large number of questions, or answers most of the questions but gets few of them right, is probably functioning at a low level in the cognitive area being tested. In either case, the student's score cannot be relied upon with confidence. Although these scores might actually represent the true level of the student's abilities at the time of testing, a better view of what the student can do might be obtained by retesting with *CAT4* after a gap of at least six months – consider assessing the student with a series of tests that look at ability, processing and attainment, which might point to a specific learning difficulty; or seek outside support from an educational psychologist who can carry out a specialist assessment.

CAT4 reports

A range of *CAT4* reports has been developed following extensive market research and feedback to ensure that new reports are clearly focused on specific audiences.

Report	Summary of contents
Group report for teachers	A description of the assessment, overview of its benefits, example questions and a useful reminder of how scores are reported
	• Table of scores for all students in your group, showing the SAS and group ranking for each battery plus overall mean scores
	Analysis of your group scores compared to the national average
	 Profile chart and listings indicating the learning preferences for all students in your group, with supporting explanation
	Indicators of future attainment in national tests/examinations
Individual report for teachers	 A description of the assessment, overview of its benefits, example questions and a useful reminder of how scores are reported Detailed breakdown of scores for each student, including the SAS, with confidence bands, National Percentile Rank, stanines and
	group ranking
	Profile description for each student indicating their learning preference, with written implications for teaching and learning also given, which help to ensure a student achieves their potential
	Indicators of future attainment in UK national tests/examinations
Individual report for	An explanation of the assessment, overview of why it is used and benefits for students
students	Student-friendly overview of performance scores across the four batteries
	Profile description with written recommendations to help improve student understanding and support effective learning
	• Indicators of future attainment in UK national tests/examinations with supporting chart for ease of comparison across subject areas
Individual report for	An explanation of the assessment, overview of why it is used and example questions to ensure parents are informed
parents	Parent-friendly overview of performance scores across the four batteries
	 Profile description with written recommendations to help improve parent understanding of their child's learning preference, with suggestions for how to offer support at home
	Indicators of future attainment in national tests/examinations with supporting chart for ease of comparison across subject areas
Summary report for	A description of the assessment, overview of its benefits and a useful reminder of how scores are reported
senior leaders	 Detailed analysis of your cohort/group scores compared to the national average, with analysis by battery, gender and ethnicity, and further options available as specified
	Profile chart indicating the learning preferences for all students in the cohort/group, with supporting explanation
	Summary indicators of future attainment for the full cohort/group
	 Note, a Summary presentation for senior leaders is also available in PowerPoint® format, ideal for sharing key findings with a wider audience
	in PowerPoint® format, ideal for sharing key findings with a wider

A CSV or Excel report which gives all raw/core data is available and will enable further analysis of results. A cluster report is available, based on the Summary report for senior leaders, which brings together the results from more than one school or an entire local authority, as required.

Further reports are under development and will include those to help teachers working with students with additional needs or with very able students, as well New report samples will be posted on the *CAT4* website.

as subject leaders. As soon as these reports are available, samples will be posted on the *CAT4* website.

Schools that use both our ability and attainment assessments can have access to a free report that compares their attainment data to the ability data from the *Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT4)*. Our ability test plays a vital role when trying to identify underachievers, or those not fulfilling their potential, and when combined with attainment data and professional teacher judgement, it provides a well-rounded picture of each individual student.

The CAT4 Combination report takes data on pupil ability from the Cognitive Abilities Test, 4th Edition (CAT4) and on attainment from the Progress Test in Maths (PTM) and Progress Test in English (PTE). It can also include data on reading from the New Group Reading Test (NGRT), as an alternative to Progress Test in English. A number of test combinations are possible (see below), but CAT4 is always present, acting as an 'anchor' for the report.

Test Combination	CAT4 ability scores used for comparison	Attainment being compared
CAT4 + PTM	Quantitative Reasoning	Maths attainment
CAT4 + PTE	Verbal Reasoning	English attainment
CAT4 + NGRT	Verbal Reasoning	Reading attainment
CAT4 + PTM + PTE	Quantitative Reasoning Verbal Reasoning	Maths attainment English attainment
CAT4 + PTM + NGRT	Quantitative Reasoning Verbal Reasoning	Maths attainment English attainment

What do I get out of the CAT4 Combination report?

By comparing these results, attainment is seen in the context of each student's ability and potential. With this you can identify:

- Potential underachievers
- 🎇 Where attainment is broadly in line with ability
- Where pupils appear to be attaining at a level that is higher than their potential suggests
- Potential SEN and underpin intervention with these test data and comparing them with the school's own information and knowledge of a student.

What can I do with the data?

- Correlate students' performance in CAT4 specifically the verbal reasoning and quantitative reasoning batteries with attainment demonstrated through PTM, PTE and/or NGRT
- Identify whether the relationship between ability and attainment is as expected or whether there is a significant divergence in scores which may need further investigation
- Compare performance using the national benchmark standard age score which allows for accurate comparisons to be made across different tests
- Deepen the understanding of your pupils' current and potential performance; enhancing a 'whole pupil view' of each child
- Compare pupils with the national average for their age.

Note: Combination reports are currently not available for the Irish version of CAT4.


The CAT4 student profile

CAT4 is a profile of a student's learning bias or preference based on a comparison of scores obtained on the Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries.

What is shown may not be a preference or bias that is observed or used in the classroom. Rather it suggests an underlying bias towards learning in a particular way or a way that combines different skills, which draws on strengths demonstrated in results from *CAT4*.

Verbal and spatial abilities may be seen as extremes on a continuum of ability (with numerical and nonverbal abilities representing a combination of these two extremes in differing degrees). The *CAT4* profile contrasts the extremes using the stanine score as the most relevant measure and factors in the level of ability displayed in each area.

This results in a profile for each student in one of the following seven categories:



The analysis of CAT4 scores allows all Spatial stanine Increased Higher students to be assigned a profile; that is they verbal bias general ability are assigned to one of seven broad 2 3 5 6 8 descriptions of their preferences for learning. The Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability 130 Batteries form the basis of this analysis and the profiles are expressed as a mild, moderate or extreme bias for verbal or spatial 120 learning or, where no bias is discernable (that is, when scores on both batteries are similar), as an even profile. 110 The diagram shows the distribution of Verbal stanine students across the seven profiles which are Verbal SAS indicated by the coloured bands. 100 5 Extreme verbal bias Moderate verbal bias 90 Mild verbal bias 3 No bias 80 Mild spatial bias Moderate spatial bias

70

Lower general

ability

Extreme spatial biasMales

Females

Figure 3: Student profiles (from Group report for teachers)

In Figure 3, extracted from the Group report for teachers, each student is plotted on a colour-coded grid to show the distribution for the group across the seven categories. The majority of students will be in the 'no bias' category. Ability is indicated by the line from lower to higher ability that transects the grid, so that level of ability as well as profile type is shown. There follows a listing of students in each profile category and a brief description of each category (see Figure 7 for an example of this).


80

100

Spatial SAS

110





130

Increased

spatial bias

In Figure 4, extracted from the Individual report for teachers, the student's profile is plotted on the grid as an immediate visual aid to assessing both level of ability and profile type. This is followed by a more detailed narrative analysis of the profile and some implications for teaching and learning. Both these narratives take into account both the balance of the profile (that is the relative strengths and weaknesses demonstrated by scores from the Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries) and the level of ability.

For a student to be included in the group analysis by profile category and to receive the graphical and narrative sections of the Individual report for teachers, both the Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries must be administered. Likewise, the Individual report for students and Individual report for parents will be cut short and compromised if these parts of *CAT4* have been omitted.

It is important to review all four battery scores of each student, as a suppressed verbal reasoning score due to EAL may lead to the student appearing to have a strong spatial bias. This would be accurate information at the time of taking the *CAT4* assessment, but a situation that may change over time as development of the student's English language skills occurs.

Communicating CAT4 results

Teachers have told us that it is often difficult to find the time and opportunity to explain *CAT4* results to teaching colleagues. They fear this may seem burdensome or imply that additional work needs to be done. The development of new and refined reports for *CAT4*, including the individual narrative, makes this process easier and enables teachers and students to benefit from the additional information and recommendations arising from the testing process.

Students, parents, governors and other professionals involved in supporting children may also find an understanding of *CAT4* results helpful.

However, the use of reports can be further enhanced by knowledgeable users discussing *CAT4* results and, in doing so, ensuring that the key messages are tailored to the audience so that everyone has a clear understanding of the results and their implications.

Successful communication of *CAT4* results has a number of common elements, whatever the audience. These are outlined below.

Build on existing knowledge and understanding

For communication to be successful it must build on the listener's current understanding of assessment generally and *CAT4* results in particular. Although it may be true that teachers and other educational professionals will, on average, have a greater understanding of assessment than other groups such as parents, this will not always be the case. Some teachers, especially if new to the profession, may have a limited understanding of assessment, whereas some parents, for example, may be extremely knowledgeable. The key point is that communication must be tailored to the recipient's current level of understanding of *CAT4*, and so it should not be assumed that certain groups will have sufficient understanding whereas others will not. Always check out the particular person's understanding before communicating results.

Sometimes it may be necessary to give a brief explanation of *CAT4* and its use before results can be meaningfully understood and applied. A brief introductory text has been added to many of the *CAT4* reports to help with this. Such an explanation may be needed during a discussion of results with an individual or small group.

A short generic overview that may be used to introduce the test to colleagues, parents and other interested parties is given on the CAT4 website at www.cat4support.com.

If results are regularly used across a whole school in a way that has a marked impact on teaching and learning, it will be important for all recipients of results to have a good knowledge of *CAT4*. Under these circumstances it may be appropriate to provide whole-school briefings.

Clear and appropriate communication

Having established the recipients' level of understanding, information must be communicated clearly and succinctly, in a way that builds on their current level of knowledge. Consider how communication will take place – in writing, orally or a combination of the two – and what support may be needed for this to be effective.

CAT4 reports include combinations of visual, numerical and textual information. It is likely that certain elements of the reports will appeal to, and be more readily understood by, some people more than others. For example, some people will instantly pick up meaning from a graph but may struggle to make sense of the table of data on which the graph is based. As a communicator, varying the style in which you convey test results, by building on what listeners find most intuitive and using this to support understanding of those areas that are less intuitive, is a valuable skill.

A further point to consider is the amount of information that it is necessary to convey. The *CAT4* reports have been developed for particular purposes and so contain selected information considered to be most appropriate for those purposes. So, detailed group reports may contain more information than is needed for some purposes. In these cases, match the information to the listeners' needs and make sure that it is communicated clearly. Where more detailed reports are being used, point out what information should be of most use to them and make sure they know how to interpret it.

Checking understanding and clarifying actions

It is important to ensure that communication is a genuine dialogue. Particularly when new to *CAT4* results, recipients are likely to have many questions and need the opportunity to absorb the information and ask their questions. Some people may need time to understand fully the implications of *CAT4* results and consider what they mean in terms of teaching and support for individual students, classes or whole year groups.

It is useful to check understanding and clarify actions after communicating results. Listening carefully to the recipients' understanding of what they have heard is a good way of checking that information has been understood. Opportunities for follow-up and further discussion of *CAT4* results may also be necessary. Implementing results may lead to further questions and the wish to explore applications of *CAT4* in more depth.

Communicating CAT4 results to specific groups

Communicating results to students

CAT4 is a test for which students do not need to prepare. It is important that the test sessions should be an integral part of the timetable to avoid undue anxiety in students. Older students may want to know what CAT4 is about. It is a well-known test and there may be misinformation circulating about why students are being tested and how results are used. A short explanation – that CAT4 is an assessment of ability in four different areas and has no direct connection to the curriculum, so it cannot be prepared for – and reassurance that results will be used to understand better how students learn will help to put students' minds at rest.

Whatever their scores, it is important for all students to understand that the information gained from *CAT4* testing can form the basis of plans for their future development, which they themselves can take some control over. No matter what the outcomes of the *CAT4* tests, students should be encouraged to think positively about their results. Instead of reporting normative scores, reports about individual

students present the student's relative performance on the four *CAT4* batteries. Scores on the four batteries are presented so students can see in which of the four reasoning areas they are strongest or weakest. This style of reporting is used for all students, no matter what their normative scores and overall level of reasoning ability. These reports also include additional narrative describing their profile and giving them ideas to further their learning according to the scores obtained.

It is recommended that relative strengths and weaknesses are presented, followed by a discussion with the student.

Therefore, it is recommended that this approach of presenting relative strengths and weaknesses is also followed when discussing results with students. Students, no matter what their overall level of performance on *CAT4*, should be clear about their areas of strength and supported in understanding how they can build on these. This is not to say that areas of weakness should be downplayed. Students should be clear about the areas where they need to develop further and have appropriate expectations about their future performance in school. Students should be encouraged to contribute to their own development targets, being supported as appropriate to set challenging yet attainable targets.

Checking that students have understood their results and the implications of these results is important, particularly for those with lower *CAT4* results. It is essential that every student, whatever their ability, should take some positives away from a discussion of their *CAT4* results.

Communicating results to teachers

In most schools, arranging the *CAT4* testing sessions and reviewing and implementing results will be the responsibility of a single senior teacher or a small team of colleagues.

Raising awareness of the benefits of *CAT4* may not always be straightforward, but we know that teachers want to support students as individuals. *CAT4* is an aid to doing this and need not imply additional workload.

Successful
differentiation will
depend on many factors,
such as students'
motivation, classroom
structure, opportunities for
personalised support and
scaffolding learning. All
of these will combine to
affect students'
learning outcomes.

The Group report for teachers will help in communicating results and, importantly, details of learning biases among students in different teaching groups. This may allow those with similar or contrasting profiles to be taught together, with mutual benefits. The narrative that is now part of the Individual report for teachers includes implications for teaching and learning which offer brief insights into how different levels of ability combined with learning preferences may affect a student's learning. It is hoped that simple adjustments based on *CAT4* results and other information about the student can improve outcomes.

One of the main uses of *CAT4* is to help teachers understand the potential and the learning needs of students and so differentiate their teaching methods accordingly. The full pattern of results from *CAT4* needs to be considered, as abilities will work in interaction

with each other and not in isolation.

Differentiation of teaching methods can then be achieved in a way that draws on students' strengths and, through these, supports weaker areas.

Communicating results to parents

Some parents will know about *CAT4* but what they know may be based on misinformation. If the school wishes to inform parents about the *CAT4* testing process, a sample letter can be found

Discussion of CAT4 results and subsequent targets can help build links between school and home.

in Appendix B as a guide to what might be included. There is also a sample letter for post-testing purposes in Appendix B.

Many parents will naturally be interested in all aspects of their child's performance at school, including their *CAT4* results. The *CAT4* reports have been developed to support the routine reporting of results to parents. As parents play an important role in their child's development outside of school, these reports also include narrative text that will help parents understand their child's profile of results and what they can do to further their learning. The Individual report for parents includes a short description of *CAT4*, results on each battery (expressed as 'below average', 'average' and 'above average') and indicators of future attainment based on the results. A short description of how these indicators are derived and what they mean has also been included.

As with the communication of results to students, there is no single best or right way of doing this, but it is recommended that the report is discussed with parents rather than simply being sent to them. Even though the reports have been written for a parent audience, discussing results with parents will ensure that the content is understood accurately. The reports can also be used as a focus for further exploration of strengths and learning needs with parents and as a way of engaging parents in actions they can take at home. In this way, *CAT4* can be used as an effective tool for reinforcing school-based learning activities in the home.

Communicating results to other professionals

CAT4 results can be relevant to a range of other professionals who are involved with students' welfare and development. Some colleagues may have a limited knowledge of testing and so the introductory text that forms part of the new reports will be useful in giving a quick overview and an example of the test material in CAT4.

Information from any test is most meaningful when it is communicated as part of a broader assessment of a student, rather than in isolation. In any such communication it is important to distinguish between what can be considered as 'fact' and what is 'opinion'. The *CAT4* results provide factual information on the student's level of reasoning ability across the four batteries at the time of testing. Opinions, in this case, are the professional judgements that teachers and others who know the student may make, given an understanding of their *CAT4* results plus other information. Although both facts and opinion can be equally valid, in some circumstances it will be important to make a clear distinction between the two.

CAT4 scores of individual students

When communicating the results of individual students, there are further important things to bear in mind.

- **CAT4 results should not be presented in isolation. Test results are a 'snapshot' of performance at one point in time and only give one view of the student's performance. Thorough assessment is a continuous process that draws on many sources of evidence. Results should always be considered in conjunction with reports of attainment in specific subjects and teacher assessments, along with feedback on the engagement, motivation and effort made by the student.
- Any misconceptions of *CAT4* being a measure of fixed ability should be challenged. Like physical abilities, cognitive abilities can be developed through experience and practice. However, having an aptitude for a particular sport will influence performance and, in the same way, a preference for one type of reasoning ability is likely to support greater attainment in that particular area.
- Low CAT4 scores should never be used to put a ceiling on expectations of what the student can achieve, particularly if the student comes from an economically or socially disadvantaged background or a non-Western background which may mean they are not sufficiently familiar with the test content to obtain a reliable assessment of their abilities. Rather, results should be used as the basis for planning activities and a learning programme that is aimed at improving all students' reasoning abilities alongside their attainment in curriculum subjects.

The case studies in this pack illustrate the interpretation, communication and application of *CAT4* results.

Sample reports

- Figure 5: Scores for the group from Group report for teachers
- Figure 6: Student profiles from Group report for teachers
- Figure 7: Student profile characteristics from Group report for teachers
- Figure 8: KS2 indicators from Group report for teachers
- Figure 9: Group analysis (by battery) from Summary report for senior leaders
- Figure 10: Distribution of scores (by English as an additional language) from Summary report for senior leaders
- Figure 11: Individual scores from Individual report for teachers
- Figure 12: KS3 indicators from Individual report for teachers
- Figure 13: GCSE indicators from individual report for teachers
- Figure 14: Individual scores from individual report for students
- Figure 15: GCSE indicators from individual report for students
- Figure 16: Individual scores from individual report for parents
- Figure 17: GCSE indicators from individual report for parents

Figure 5: Scores for the group from Group report for teachers

CAT4 Group report for teachers														CAT4	4
School: Test School															
Group: Year 7															
Date of test: 13/09/2019			Level: D	D					No. o	No. of students: 60	ts: 60				
Scores for the group (by overall mean SAS)	(d) quo	over:	all m	ean	SAS)										
	1														
		٧	Verbal		Quan	Quantitative		Non	Non-verbal		S	Spatial		Ovi	Overall
Student name	Tutor group	No. attempted (/48)	SAS	GR (/60)	No attempted (/36)	SAS	GR (/60)	No. attempted (/48)	SAS	GR (/60)	No. attempted (/36)	SAS	GR (/60)	Mean SAS	GR (60)
Sara Shafiq	EM	48	130	-	36	120	=3	48	119	3	36	126	=2	124	1
Natasha Aransola	EM	47	108	=14	31	120	=3	41	124	_	36	120	=4	118	2
Jenny Coyle	MCO	48	101	=25	36	118	5 5	48	115	5	36	131		116	. L
Samera Kan ara Sandford	2 5	48 48	97	3) u	33 34	111	Щ о	48	121	ν ! !	35 S	126	4 2	114	J. J.
Mia Shimizu	무	48	123	=4	36	109	13	43	103	=25	36	120	=4	114	<u>"</u>
Mia Shimizu	MCO	48	122	6	29	111	=9	48	112	=8	31	112	13	114	儿
Anthony Jameson	MCO	48	120	7	36	108	14	48	106	=21	36	118	7	113	
Paisley McSeveney	MCO	48	112	=10	32	111	=9	46	112	=8	34	114	=9	112	
Gabriel Bester	D. D.	48	125	200	20	98	=29	37	101	30	36	114	=9	110	
Khan Kareena	R E	48 6	105	=19	34	114	7	43	105	=23	36	110	=14	109	
Nick Watt	EM	48	124	ω	24	99	=27	34	102	=27	26	108	=17	108	
Zaynab Ashfaiq	MCO	48	95	=39	24	101	=24	48	115	<u>15</u>	36	116	œ	107	
Chloe Bullock	? ?	48	102	24	36	123	<u>"</u>	40	107	=18	36	95	=44	107	JI.
Jonanna Howles	2 5	48	108	=14 8) S	103	=17	40	109	=38	34	109	16	107	=14
Elise Kelly	MCO	48	112	=10	32	111	#	47	99	=31	36	103	=29	106	=18
Susan McGregor	EM	48	108	=14	35	103	=17	41	106	=21	34	106	=22	106	=18
Connor Gibson	R _C	48	96	=37	18	93	-41	42	117	4	35	113	3 =	105	2 20
Morrison Kirsty	NCC O	1 48	108	174	36	2112	α	48	1	=10	3 36	2 2	8 53	104	3 2
Neil Dawes	R R	47	110	3 12	18	93	41	45	=	=10	8 23	8 8	38	103	=22
Peter Adetunde	MCO	48 #	95	=39	32	98	=29	48	109	=14	36 %	106	=22	102	=24
Teodora Dimec	Ē	48	100	 28	19	93	47	48	=	=10	36	104	=97	103	=24
Kunza Mohammad	MCO	48	103	23	26	98	=29	42	108	=16	36	100	=35	102	=24
The Standard Age Score (SAS) is based on the student's raw score which has been adjusted for age and placed on a scale that makes a comparison with a nationally representative sample of students of the same age across the UK. The average score is 100.	ally representative	score which has sample of studer	been adjuste	ed for age a ne age acro	ind placed on a iss the UK. The		he Group I ymbol = rep he number	The Group Rank (GR) shows how each student has performed in comparison to those in the defined group. The symbol = represents joint ranking with one or more other students. The number of questions attempted can be important: a student may have worked very slowly but accurately and not finished the test and this will impact on his or her results.	ow each st g with one mpted can bact on his	udent has p or more oth be importar or her result	erformed in compar er students. it: a student may ha	son to thos	e in the def	ned group.	The ely and r

Figure 6: Student profiles from Group report for teachers




Figure 7: Student profile characteristics from Group report for teachers

CAT4 Group report for teachers



General characteristics of each student profile

It may be helpful to consider which students fall into which broad profile, but this information must be treated with caution as the descriptors are general and not individualised: students' preferences for learning will be influenced by other factors. The *CAT4* Individual report for teachers offers more fine detail.

	National	Gro	oup
	%	%	No. of students
Extreme verbal bias	2%	2%	1
Moderate verbal bias	4%	3%	2
Mild verbal bias	11%	8%	5
No bias or even profile	66%	67%	40
Mild spatial bias	11%	8%	5
Moderate spatial bias	4%	10%	6
Extreme spatial bias	2%	2%	1

Extreme verbal bias

- These students should excel in written work and should enjoy discussion and debate.
- They should prefer to learn through reading, writing and may be very competent independent learners.
- They are likely to be high achievers in subjects that require good verbal skills such as English, modern foreign languages and humanities.
- They may prefer to learn step-by-step, building on prior knowledge, as their spatial skills are relatively
 weaker, being in the low average or below average range.

Students:

Niamh Ernst

Moderate verbal bias

- Students in this group will have average to high scores for Verbal Reasoning and relatively weaker Spatial Ability with scores in the average range.
- These students are likely to prefer to learn through reading, writing and discussion.
- Step-by-step learning, which builds on prior knowledge incrementally, is likely to suit these students.

Students:

Morrison Kirsty

Shauna Mathews

Mild verbal bias

- Some students with this profile will have low average or below average scores for Verbal Reasoning and relatively weaker Spatial Ability, but the gap between scores will be narrow.
- A slight bias for learning through reading, writing and discussion may be discerned in the students in this group.

Students:

Alex Honkanen Alexandra Muraska Johanna Howles

Nick Watt

Elise Kelly

Figure 8: KS2 indicators from Group report for teachers

Period of testing: 13/09/2019 - 10/11/2019 Group: Class 6 School: Test School Level: B No. of students: 30

KS2 indicators

The indicators in this report are for the end of KS2 Standard Assessment Tests (SATs) which are administered for English reading; spelling, punctuation and grammar; and maths. The outcome from these three tests is a scaled score which is based on the student's raw score which in turn is the total number of marks a student scores in a test, based on the number of questions they answered correctly.

New tests are developed each year to the same specification, but because the questions must be different, the difficulty of tests may vary slightly each year. This means that the raw scores must be converted into scaled scores, to ensure accurate comparisons can be made of student performance over time. Every scaled score will represent the same level of attainment for a student each year, so a student who scores 103, for example, in 2016 will have demonstrated the same attainment as a pupil who scores 103 in 2017.

expected standard on the test. The scale will always be from 80 to 120 and a scaled score of 100 will always represent the expected standard on the test. Students scoring 100 or more will have met the

The scaled score should not be confused with the Standard Age Score derived from CAT4 and other standardised assessments: the scaled score is not adjusted for the age of the student whereas CAT4 Standard Age Scores factor in the age of each student allowing you to make a direct comparison with other students of the same age across the UK.

be missing, indicators will be based on the mean for those batteries administered to the student Indicators for English are based on the SAS for Verbal Reasoning; the indicator for mathematics is based on the mean SAS. Should scores for one or more of the CAT4 batteries

Finally, at the end of KS2 teachers assess students' writing and science: indicators based on teacher assessment are included in this report also


				nd of KS2 indic	ator (most likel	y scaled score t	ollowed by 'if c	End of KS2 indicator (most likely scaled score followed by 'if challenged' scaled score in bold)	
Student name	Mean SAS	Ma	Maths	Spelling, punctuation and grammar	nctuation and nmar	Reading	ding	Writing TA	Science TA
Sarah Martin	123	111	114	112	115	112	115	GDS	EXS
dosh McLaughlin	116	801	111	107	111	901	110	EXS	EXS
Macy Ryan	113	707	110	106	110	106	110	EXS	EXS
Nathan Gill	110	901	109	107	111	901	110	EXS	EXS
Jennifer Gillespie	109	106	109	109	112	108	111	EXS	EXS
Lauren McClenaghan	109	901	109	104	108	103	107	EXS	EXS
Eoghan Browne	107	105	108	108	112	107	110	EXS	EXS
Sophie Quinn	107	105	108	104	108	102	106	EXS	EXS
Katie Ward	105	104	107	105	109	104	108	EXS	EXS
Natasha Doherty	104	104	107	105	109	104	108	EXS	EXS
Keisha Albright	103	104	107	102	106	101	105	EXS	EXS
Ben Doherty	103	104	107	103	107	101	105	EXS	EXS
Max Duffy	102	103	106	101	105	100	104	EXS	EXS
Aimee Kellv	102	103	106	101	105	100	104	EXS	EXS

Teacher assessment codes: WTS - working towards the expected standard; EXS - working at the expected standard; GDS - working at greater depth within the expected standard; HNM - has not met the expected standard.

Copyright © 2017 GL Assessment Limited

Page 12 of 14

Figure 9: Group analysis (by battery) from Summary report for senior leaders



The table below shows mean (average) scores for all students compared with those for the national sample.

	Verbal mean SAS	Quantitative mean SAS	Non-verbal mean SAS	Spatial mean SAS	Overall mean SAS
National average	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
All students	100.6	99.2	98.7	101.6	100.1
90% confidence band	98.0–103.2	96.8–101.5	95.8–101.6	98.8–104.4	97.9–102.2

The table below shows the distribution of scores for all students compared with those for the national sample. The bar chart also presents this information.

Description	Very low	Below a	average		Average		Above	average	Very high
SAS bands	<74	74–81	82–88	89–96	97–103	104–111	112–118	119–126	>126
National average	4%	7%	12%	17%	20%	17%	12%	7%	4%
Verbal	0%	7%	3%	30%	23%	18%	5%	12%	2%
Quantitative	2%	3%	7%	33%	28%	13%	7%	7%	0%
Non-verbal	5%	7%	8%	20%	20%	25%	10%	5%	0%
Spatial	2%	7%	8%	12%	25%	25%	12%	8%	2%




Figure 10: Distribution of scores (by English as an additional language) from Summary report for senior leaders




Figure 11: Individual scores from Individual report for teachers




Figure 12: KS3 indicators from Individual report for teachers

Name: Peter Adetunde School: Test School											
Group: Year 7											
Date of test: 13/09/2019		_	Level: D				Ag	Age: 11:01	Se	Sex: Male	
KS3 indicators											
Results from <i>CAT4</i> can give with additional effort and cha	an indicat llenge. Th	ion of th	e level a nation is l	student helpful w	will reac hen you	h at the discuss	end of the ne	xt Key Stage. dents the targ	Results from CAT4 can give an indication of the level a student will reach at the end of the next Key Stage. A second level is suggested – this is with additional effort and challenge. This information is helpful when you discuss with your students the targets they should be working towards.	this is the	is the level a student could reach
Mean SAS: 102	<	Verbal SAS: 95	\S: 95			Quanti	Quantitative SAS: 98	88	Non-verbal SAS: 109	S	Spatial SAS: 106
										-	
		Probat	Probability of obtaining each level	aining eac	:h level		Most likely	'If challenged'	Probability of student obtaining level 5 or higher Probability of student obtaining level 6 or higher	level 5 or level 6 or	nigher nigher
	3 or less	4	رى د	6	7	8	ievel acilieved	icaci acilicaci	10% 20% 30% 40%	50%	60% 70% 80%
Maths	0%	3%	21%	59%	16%	1%	6b	6a			
Art	2%	15%	46%	28%	8%	ı	5a	60			
D&T	1%	12%	51%	31%	6%	-	5a	6c			
Geography	1%	13%	50%	31%	5%	-	5a	6c			
History	2%	15%	52%	27%	5%	ı	5a	60			
ICT	1%	12%	58%	24%	4%		5a	60			
PE	2%	15%	50%	27%	7%	-	5a	6c			
Science	1%	9%	49%	37%	5%	ı	5a	60			
English	5%	18%	62%	14%	2%	•	5b	5a			
ME	9%	26%	45%	19%	2%		5b	5a			
NIT F	2%	16%	59%	18%	4%	ı	5b	5a			

Figure 13: GCSE indicators for from individual report for teachers




Figure 14: Individual scores from Individual report for students



Figure 15: GCSE indicators from Individual report for students





Figure 16: Individual scores from individual report for parents



Figure 17: GCSE indicators from individual report for parents











COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

Case Study 1: CA14 at transition	14/
Background	147 147 149 151 152 152
Commentary on profile type	155 156 156
Case Study 2: Subject setting and subject choice Background	157 157 158 158 158 158 159 161 162 162 163 165
Profile examination Actions to support teaching and learning	167
Case Study 3: Students with English as an additional language (EAL) Issues for consideration	168 170 170 171 172

The short case studies in this section show how *CAT4* is used in schools with both groups and individual students. The cases are drawn from the very large number of schools that took part in the standardisation of *CAT4* and then received full reports based on the published data.

An overview of how *CAT4* may be used to look at groups of students, plus some contrasting individual profiles, is given in the case studies for two secondary schools. The primary school case study (Case study 3) incorporates some issues schools may want to consider when testing children for whom English is an additional language. It also describes three students who have similar profiles but where contextual information plays an important part.

Case Study 1: CAT4 at transition

Background

This case study is taken from a mixed 11 to 18 comprehensive school in central England. It is a Specialist Science and Language College and also a training school. The school roll is currently around 1,700, with this expected to increase to around 1,900 in the near future. The school places a strong emphasis on both the academic and personal development of its students. Its academic results have been consistently high and, in 2008, it was awarded an Ofsted 'outstanding' rating.

The school is ethnically very diverse, with around 40% of students from minority ethnic backgrounds. This is a much higher proportion than normal for the area and is largely due to it being the only faith school in the area, so it attracts a large number of students from Eastern European backgrounds. The school has a lower than average percentage of students entitled to free school meals, although students come from a wide range of social and economic backgrounds.

Use of CAT4

CAT4 is given to all Year 7 students in their first week at school. The Head of Learning Support explained the importance of getting CAT4 results back as soon as possible, so that she can use them as part of the identification of students with specific support needs and also 'gifted and talented' students.

CAT4 results are not currently sent to all teachers, but results are reviewed by the Head of Learning Support and used to identify the following students:

- The lowest scoring students in each year group (in conjunction with information from feeder schools): These students enter a small class of around 10 students where they are given intensive support. This class has a single teacher for around 50% of the time, and so replicates the feel of a primary school in some respects. Depending on progress, students may move out of this class into the mainstream classes, although others may remain in the class for the duration of their time at school.
- Students with stanine scores of 1 or 2 on the Verbal Reasoning
 Battery who may have reading difficulties: These students are then
 screened using a Reading test that produces further diagnostic
 information about their reading abilities. Where further testing
 reveals that students do need support in their reading, a plan for
 this is then put in place. There is currently discussion about whether

the range of verbal scores used to identify students for further screening should be extended to stanines of 3 and below.¹

- The lowest attaining students at the point of entry to the school, based on those reported as operating below Level 2 by the feeder school and their CAT4 results: These students are enrolled on a programme of intense literacy support and are not entered to study a foreign language.
- Any students with an SAS of less than 90 for the Quantitative Reasoning Battery: These students are made known to the Head of Maths. In evaluating students' needs in the area of Mathematics, CAT4 results are integrated with the results from other assessments, but they do serve as an early indicator of students who may need additional support.

In addition, KS2 and CAT4 results are used together to set KS4 (GCSE) attainment targets for all students. Government targets specify that three levels of progress are expected between KS2 and KS4. In accordance with the school's ethos to support children in achieving at their very best, more challenging targets are set that represent four levels of progress from Year 7 to GCSE.

Sometimes KS2 data can be inaccurate if a student was not entered for the Year 6 SATs. A teacher-assessed level is often submitted instead. If this is the case, the school tends to start these students at NC Level 1 as a baseline at Year 7 and adjust accordingly once subject assessments have been completed. The *CAT4* data are also used as they give the school an overview of the student's strengths and weaknesses. This is then cross-referenced with subject assessments – for example, a high score on the Quantitative Reasoning Battery should indicate that the student will be in a higher Maths set.

If there is a discrepancy between KS2 and *CAT4* data, the school tends to give more weight to the KS2 score as this reflects acquired learning over time rather than a 'snapshot' of what they did on the day.

Detailed examples of how the school uses *CAT4* results for individual students are given below.

¹ Recommendations contained in the Individual report for teachers are that a stanine score of 3 or below on the Verbal Reasoning Battery should trigger an assessment of a student's reading.

1. Example of strong spatial and nonverbal abilities

Daniel is a student with English as his first language. He reached the expected age milestones and, by the end of KS2, Maths and Science. His Literacy, however, has always needed additional support as he has had an ongoing hearing problem ('glue ear'). Daniel's strengths lie in his visual learning as he has had to develop this to compensate for his earlier difficulty with hearing. Although he is now within the average range for Literacy, Daniel works better when information is presented visually.

Daniel's CAT4 scores are:

Battery	No. of questions	SAS	NPR	PR ST	GR	SAS (with 90% confidence bands)
Dattery	attempted	SAS	NEN		NPN 31	(/2)
Verbal	48/48	97	42	5	2	
Quantitative	36/36	105	63	6	2	H
Non-verbal	47/48	121	92	8	1	
Spatial	36/36	129	97	9	1	
Mean	-	113	-	-	-	1 → 1

Daniel's table of results shows that he completed all questions on each of the *CAT4* batteries, with the exception of the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery where just one of the 48 questions was not answered. Given this, and that all his raw scores are above the chance level, we can be confident that Daniel's profile is likely to be a reliable reflection of his abilities.

Daniel's profile shows his nonverbal ability, and particularly his spatial ability, to be stronger than his verbal and quantitative abilities.

- His verbal SAS is 97, which is equivalent to a stanine of 5. Daniel's percentile rank is 42, showing that he performed as well as or better than 42% of the national sample. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- Daniel's quantitative SAS is 105, which is equivalent to a stanine of 6. His percentile rank is 63, showing he scored as well as or better than 63% of the national sample. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- His nonverbal SAS is 121, which is equivalent to a stanine of 8 and a percentile rank of 92. This level of performance would be described as within the above average band.

Case Studies 149

- Daniel's spatial SAS is 129, which is equivalent to a stanine of 9 and a percentile rank of 97. This level of performance would be described as within the very high band.
- Daniel's mean SAS of 113 indicates that he is performing at an at least average level in all areas.

An examination of the confidence bands shows the areas of relatively higher and lower performance for Daniel. When looking at differences between scores on the different *CAT4* batteries, it is important to pay attention to the confidence bands. No measurement of abilities is perfect and all contain a degree of error. This error is reflected in the confidence bands, which describe the range within which we can be reasonably certain – in the case of *CAT4*, 90% certain – that Daniel's 'true score' on each battery lies. In this context, true score refers to the score Daniel would achieve if the measurement was completely free of error. Using confidence bands appropriately ensures we do not over-interpret small differences between scores on different batteries, leading us to conclude that differences in performance exist when in fact they do not.


Starting with Daniel's strongest score, which was obtained in the Spatial Ability Battery, we can see that the confidence band for this battery does not overlap with the confidence bands for the Verbal or Quantitative Reasoning Batteries. We can therefore be 90% confident that Daniel's spatial ability is significantly stronger than his verbal or quantitative abilities. Daniel's second highest score was on the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery. The confidence band for the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery does not overlap with the confidence band for the Verbal Reasoning Battery, so we can be at least 90% confident that there is a significant difference between his performance on these two batteries.

Looking at the Nonverbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries, we see that there is a very small overlap between the confidence bands. Even though this overlap is very small, it does mean that we cannot be 90% confident that there is a real difference between these two abilities. As the 90% confidence bands for his verbal and quantitative scores overlap, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in Daniel's reasoning abilities in these two areas.

His *CAT4* mean overall SAS of 113 shows Daniel to be performing at at least an average level in all areas. This mean value is found by summing the SAS for each of the four batteries and dividing by four (that is, the number of batteries in *CAT4*). As Daniel's performance varies considerably across the four *CAT4* batteries, his overall score should be interpreted with a degree of caution. Although the overall SAS

gives a general indication of his combined reasoning abilities, it does mask considerable variations in Daniel's performance across the four batteries.

Commentary on profile type



The difference between Daniel's verbal and spatial scores of 4 stanine points shows an extreme bias towards spatial processing. This is described in his narrative profile summary as follows:

- This profile demonstrates a distinct relative strength in spatial over verbal learning.
- Daniel should excel when engaged in tasks that require visualisation and will learn well when working with pictures, diagrams, 3D objects, mind maps and other tangible methods.
- Relatively weaker verbal skills may make learning through written texts, writing and discussion less effective.
- Daniel is highly likely to enjoy and learn best through active learning methods such as modelling, demonstrating and simulations and should be encouraged to problem-solve and develop his own ideas through these methods.
- Daniel should do very well in subjects that make the most of his spatial ability, such as Science, Technology, Design and Geography but will find language-based subjects such as English, History and Modern Foreign Languages less rewarding unless teaching methods are adapted to suit his profile.

151

Implications for teaching and learning

The Individual report for teachers also includes narrative on the implications for teaching and learning, as described here:

- Daniel has a very strong understanding of spatial concepts, with average verbal reasoning skills.
- Students with such high levels of spatial ability are often characterised as 'intuitive' and as those who see the 'bigger picture'. This can be at the expense of a lack of attention to detail which may be characteristic of Daniel.
- Daniel should be encouraged to explain his understanding of spatial activities and reflect critically upon them to further enhance his verbal reasoning skills.
- Placing Daniel in paired work with others, perhaps with higher level verbal skills, could provide mutual benefits.
- Daniel may perform better where spatial and visual approaches to learning are used. For example, enacting scenes from a Shakespeare play can provide strong visual images that will help in written composition.

Comment on indicator grades

From the *CAT4* data, Daniel is predicted to achieve A* at GCSE level in subjects such as Design and Technology, Science and Geography. The more literacy based subjects such as English, RE and History predict B at GCSE level. Knowing where his strengths lie would inform his teachers about his learning preferences and ensure that strategies used throughout KS3 and KS4 are adapted to suit his needs. With these in place Daniel has every opportunity of achieving A* in every subject.

2. Example of a highly differentiated profile: an extreme verbal bias

Damian is a student with English as an additional language. He has an innate love of learning and has excelled at everything he has done throughout KS1 and KS2. Damian developed early literacy skills and was a fluent and expressive reader by the end of Year 1. He speaks English and Tagalog (Filipino) at home and, although classed as an 'EAL learner', this has had little impact on his learning. Damian has developed sophisticated language skills and this is reflected in his writing, which is enticing and captivating, with an immense imagination that engages his audience.

Damian's CAT4 scores are:

Battery	No. of questions attempted	SAS	NPR	ST	GR (/2)	SAS (with 90% confidence bands) 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140
Verbal	48/48	141	100	9	1	-
Quantitative	36/36	125	95	8	1	→
Non-verbal	47/48	113	80	7	2	⊢ •⊣
Spatial	36/36	98	45	5	2	
Mean	-	119	-	-	-	

Damian's table of results shows that he completed all questions on each of the *CAT4* batteries, with the exception of the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery where 47 out of the 48 questions were completed. Given this, and that all his raw scores are well above the chance level, we can be confident that Damian's profile is likely to be an accurate indication of his abilities.

At first glance Damian's profile shows a highly differentiated pattern of results, with his strongest score being in the area of verbal ability, followed by quantitative, nonverbal and then spatial.

- Damian's strongest score is in the area of verbal reasoning, where he achieved an SAS of 141. This is equivalent to a stanine of 9 and a percentile rank of 100, meaning he is in the top 1% of the national population. This level of performance would be described as within the very high band.
- Damian's quantitative SAS is 125, which is equivalent to a stanine of 8. His percentile rank is 95, showing he scored as well as or better than 95% of the national sample. This level of performance would be described as within the above average band.


Case Studies 153

- His nonverbal SAS is 113, which is equivalent to a stanine of 7 and a percentile rank of 80. This level of performance would be described as within the above average band.
- Damian's spatial SAS is 98, which is equivalent to a stanine of 5 and a percentile rank of 45. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- Lastly, Damian's mean SAS of 119 indicates that he is performing at an above average level across all areas.

Damian's strongest score is on the Verbal Reasoning Battery. An examination of the 90% confidence bands shows that the confidence band for the Verbal Reasoning Battery overlaps with the confidence band for the Quantitative Reasoning Battery but not with the confidence bands for the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery or Spatial Ability Battery. This indicates that his verbal ability is stronger than his nonverbal and spatial abilities, but that there is no significant difference between his verbal and quantitative scores. The confidence band for Damian's Quantitative Reasoning Battery score overlaps with the confidence band for his Nonverbal Reasoning Battery score but not his Spatial Ability Battery score. This indicates a stronger performance in quantitative than spatial reasoning, but no significant difference between his quantitative and nonverbal abilities. Similarly, as the confidence bands for his Nonverbal Reasoning Battery and Spatial Ability Battery scores overlap, there is no significant difference in his performance in these areas.

His *CAT4* mean overall SAS of 119 shows Damian to be performing at an above average level across all areas. However, even more so than the profile of Daniel described above, his performance varies considerably across the four *CAT4* batteries. Again, this means that while his overall SAS gives a broad indication of his reasoning abilities, it should be used cautiously.

Commentary on profile type



The difference between Damian's spatial and verbal scores of 4 stanine points shows an extreme bias towards verbal processing. This is described in the narrative profile summary as follows:

- This profile demonstrates a distinct strength in verbal compared to spatial learning.
- Damian should excel when engaged in tasks that make the most of his very strong verbal skills, including learning through written texts, writing and discussion.
- Relatively weaker spatial skills which are, however, in the average range will make learning through visualisation, working with pictures, diagrams, 3D objects, mind maps and other tangible methods less attractive. With encouragement, these methods can make learning more engaging and effective for Damian.
- Damian is highly likely to enjoy and learn best by talking about learning, ideas and opinions, gathering information through reading and through both factual writing and creative writing tasks.
- Damian should do very well in subjects that make the most of his verbal ability, such as English, Humanities and Modern Foreign Languages.
- Damian may find certain aspects of subjects such as Science, Technology, Design and Geography less rewarding unless teaching methods are adapted to suit his profile or his spatial skills are developed to more closely match his verbal skills.

Case Studies 155

Implications for teaching and learning

The Individual report for teachers also includes narrative on the implications for teaching and learning, as described here:

- Wherever the understanding of spatial concepts is required in the curriculum, such as Art, Design, Science and Maths, teachers should be aware that Damian may require some additional support.
- However, given his excellent verbal reasoning skills, expectations need to be appropriately high, with enrichment activities to provide challenge and extension.
- While teachers should continue to use a broad and varied range of styles, it is likely that Damian will be a self-motivated and independent learner.
- Teachers should encourage Damian to follow his interests, and he will benefit from a fast pace of instruction, tend to learn very quickly and respond well to tasks that develop his independent study skills.
- Extension activities that require him to form hypotheses, make predictions and test outcomes may be particularly helpful.
- Q&A sessions should be used to develop higher order thinking skills by requiring Damian to justify opinions.
- Damian should be encouraged to read extensively and choose from a wide range of material.
- Damian may enjoy creative writing and discussion and debate and should be encouraged to develop such interest, both in lessons and through extra-curricular activities.

Teacher's perspective

Information gathered from the *CAT4* data is invaluable in identifying those at the top end of the year group and in ensuring that teachers are aware of the students' needs as well as providing opportunities for challenging and extending learning. It offers information for setting up 'target groups' with like-minded students that can focus on study techniques, revision and exam preparation. The lowest scoring students in the year group can be identified easily and further assessments administered to establish appropriate interventions. The key message is ensuring staff are made aware of the identified students and that they are trained in appropriate differentiation of materials for the high and low ability students that cater for their specific strengths and weaknesses. When the students are exposed to this within teaching and learning they have every opportunity to make the expected progress, if not more.

Case Study 2: Subject setting and subject choice

Background

This case study is taken from a mixed 11 to 18 community comprehensive school situated on the outskirts of an industrial town in South Wales. Students come mainly from several surrounding villages and the school serves an area that is disadvantaged economically: part of the school's catchment area is classified as one of the 10 most disadvantaged wards in the local authority and also contains two Communities First¹ areas. At 28.5%, the percentage of students entitled to free school meals is well above the Wales average of 17.1% for secondary schools.

Almost all of the students come from English-speaking homes. At present, 13 students are registered for the Provision for Autistic Spectrum Education (*PASE*) class – a local authority enhanced resource provision for students with communication disorders which opened in September 2004.

At a recent inspection, good features of the school's work included:

- 🎠 progress in raising standards at KS3
- the good progress students make in the majority of lessons in developing their knowledge and understanding
- the very inclusive ethos and wide range of extra-curricular activities
- 🔆 very good support for students with communication disorders
- the well-established transition arrangements and other valuable partnerships
- effective links with an extensive range of specialist services.

Use of CAT4

CAT4 is given to all Year 7 and Year 9 students and there is school-wide use of CAT4 results.

All teachers have access to *CAT4* results through the school intranet and they are stored in SIMS. *CAT4* results are also included in the school registers. The use of *CAT4* at this school is very well established. The Assistant Head Teacher describes *CAT4* as being a 'language' that now 'permeates' the school, despite some scepticism when it was first

¹ The Communities First programme is working in the most deprived areas throughout Wales, helping to improve the lives of many residents of all ages.

introduced. *CAT4* results are discussed at INSET days and teachers 'rate' *CAT4* as a good indicator of students' potential.

Setting students

The school's experience is that teachers from feeder primary schools tend to be 'over-optimistic' in their evaluations of students' attainment, whereas *CAT4* is seen as providing a more 'realistic' assessment of students' potential. Therefore, at this school, *CAT4* is used to help stream students into sets. The Quantitative Reasoning Battery is used for allocating students to Maths sets and also for Science, as performance on Maths and Science is seen to be linked closely to this battery. Results from the Verbal Reasoning Battery are used to allocate students to English sets.

The Deputy Head says: "It is important to be flexible when setting students and to be prepared to move them between sets in a way that is appropriate to their abilities." In line with this, eight weeks into the start of term, the performance of all new students is reviewed to determine whether they are in the right set. On average, only 4–5% of students are allocated to a different set on the basis of this review. The Deputy Head adds: "This is seen as providing very clear evidence of the effectiveness of *CAT4* in streaming new students."

Identifying students with literacy needs

CAT4 is used to identify students who may be withdrawn from their classes for more specific intervention. Students scoring in the range of SAS 80 to 90, particularly on the Verbal Reasoning Battery, are screened through a computer package that is able to identify more specific literacy needs. If this screening identifies particular literacy needs, students are then put through tailored programmes to support their development in these areas.

Target setting and subject choice

CAT4 is relied upon heavily for target setting throughout students' time at school from Years 7 to 11. "As part of this process, students are encouraged to use their CAT4 scores in their own self-evaluation of appropriate targets", says the Deputy Head. "Individual reports are also used by teachers at option evenings to support discussion about students' subject choices. In addition to tracking whole cohorts, the top 30 performers in each intake are identified on the basis of their CAT4 scores."

Monitoring intakes over time

CAT4 results are used to monitor intakes over years and to follow student performance over time. CAT4 results are summarised to

provide average scores on each battery for each year group. This gives a high level understanding of the abilities of each year group entering school and allows the variations in the profiles of different intakes to be tracked.

Examples of how results are used for individual students are given on the following pages.

1. Example of a relatively strong spatial profile

Dominic is in Year 9 and is regarded by his teachers as being a 'hardworking student'. The school records both 'effort' and 'attainment' grades; Dominic's effort grades have been consistently high for the last two years. His scores in core subjects have remained slightly below national targets, despite the effort he has been putting in. On feedback of his *CAT4* scores to his teachers, Dominic's profile of scores was readily accepted and his nonverbal and spatial abilities recognised as strengths, even though these may not always have been seen in his academic attainment.

Dominic obtained the following scores on CAT4:

Dominic's table of results shows that he completed all questions on the *CAT4* Verbal and Quantitative Reasoning Batteries. On the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery he completed 38 out of the 48 questions and on the Spatial Ability Battery 35 out of 36 questions. All his raw scores

Battery	No. of questions attempted	SAS	NPR	ST	GR (/3)	SAS (with 90% confidence bands) 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140
Verbal	48/48	87	20	3	2	⊢• →
Quantitative	36/36	86	18	3	3	
Nonverbal	38/48	100	50	5	3	<u> </u>
Spatial	35/36	112	78	7	1	<u> </u>
Mean	-	96	-	-	=	

are well above the chance level, so we can be confident that Dominic's profile is likely to be an accurate indication of his abilities.

An overview of Dominic's profile shows this to be quite differentiated. He has obtained similar verbal and quantitative scores, that are below average, while his nonverbal score is average and his spatial score is above average.


Case Studies 159

- Verbal reasoning is one of Dominic's two lowest scores, where he achieved an SAS of 87. This is equivalent to a stanine of 3 and a percentile rank of 20, meaning he performed better than 20% of the national sample. This level of performance would be described as within the below average band.
- Dominic's quantitative SAS is 86, which is equivalent to a stanine of 3. His percentile rank is 18, showing he scored as well as or better than 18% of the national sample. This level of performance would also be described as within the below average band.
- His non-verbal SAS is 100, which is equivalent to a stanine of 5 and a percentile rank of 50. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- Dominic's spatial SAS is 112, which is equivalent to a stanine of 7 and a percentile rank of 78. This level of performance would be described as within the above average band.
- Lastly, Dominic's mean SAS of 96 indicates that he is performing at an average level across all areas.

Dominic's strongest score is on the Spatial Ability Battery. An examination of the 90% confidence bands shows that it overlaps with the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery confidence band but not with the confidence bands for the Verbal or Quantitative Reasoning Batteries. This indicates his spatial ability is stronger than his verbal and quantitative abilities, but that there is no significant difference between his spatial and nonverbal scores. The confidence bands for Dominic's scores on the Nonverbal, Quantitative and Verbal Reasoning Batteries all overlap with each other, indicating that there is no significant difference between his performance on these three batteries.

His *CAT4* profile shows Dominic to be performing in the below average to above average range with an overall SAS of 96. However, as with Daniel's profile described previously, his performance varies considerably across the four *CAT4* batteries. Again, this means that, while his overall SAS gives a broad indication of his reasoning abilities, it should be used cautiously.

Commentary on profile type



The difference between Dominic's spatial and verbal scores of 4 stanine points shows an extreme bias towards spatial processing. This is described in the narrative profile summary for teachers as follows:

- This profile demonstrates a distinct preference for spatial over verbal learning.
- Dominic should perform at a high level when engaged in tasks that require visualisation and will learn quickly when working with pictures, diagrams, 3D objects, mind maps and other tangible methods.
- Weak verbal skills will make learning through written texts, writing and discussion more difficult.
- Dominic is highly likely to enjoy and learn best through active learning methods such as modelling, demonstrating and simulations, and should be encouraged to problem-solve and develop his own ideas through these methods.
- However, he is likely to need support when engaging with written material.
- Dominic should do very well in subjects that make the most of his spatial ability, such as Science, Technology, Design and Geography, but will find language-based subjects, such as English, Humanities, History and Modern Foreign Languages, difficult unless teaching methods are adapted to suit his profile.

Implications for teaching and learning

The Individual report for teachers also includes narrative on the implications for teaching and learning, as described here:

- Further investigation of Dominic's weakness in verbal skills would be beneficial.
- A test to establish a reading age is recommended to determine whether Dominic is able to access the curriculum.
- Support for literacy or additional work to build comprehension and vocabulary may be appropriate.
- Dominic is likely to benefit from one-to-one support of a specialist nature.
- Dominic should be encouraged to explain his understanding of spatial activities and reflect critically upon them to develop his verbal reasoning skills.
- Placing Dominic in paired work with others, perhaps those with higher level verbal skills, could provide mutual benefits.
- More rapid progress will be made if strategies used within school can be further supported at home.

Dominic's bias towards spatial thinking is recognised by the school. He is also a student who attains consistently high teacher assessment ratings for 'effort'. Both his effort and level of attainment mean that he will be considered for transfer to a higher set in the near future.

Actions to support teaching and learning

- Ensure Dominic's strengths in the areas of nonverbal and spatial reasoning are understood by his teachers.
- Provide activities that allow Dominic to use his spatial and nonverbal abilities, for example by getting him to consider how he might represent problems visually and presenting information in a way that appeals to his strengths.
- Encourage Dominic to 'get his ideas down' as they occur to him, and then encourage him to think about structure and presentation.
- In areas such as Science and Maths, build on Dominic's strong spatial ability by maximising his opportunities to work with space, shape, designs and visual problem-solving. Then help Dominic to draw connections between these and other aspects of these subject areas.

2. Example of an extreme spatial bias with very weak verbal skills

Rhiannon is a student with a hearing impairment. She has a cochlear implant but her severe hearing impairment has had a profound effect on the development of her verbal ability. Despite her difficulties, Rhiannon has a positive attitude towards school and a good relationship with her peers.

Rhiannon obtained the following scores on CAT4:

Battery	No. of questions attempted	SAS	NPR	ST	GR (/3)	SAS (with 90% confidence bands) 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140
Verbal	48/48	79	8	2	3	
Quantitative	36/36	93	32	4	2	-
Nonverbal	44/48	101	52	5	2	<u> </u>
Spatial	36/36	104	60	6	2	
Mean	-	95	-	-	-	

Her *CAT4* profile shows Rhiannon to be stronger in spatial and nonverbal reasoning than verbal thinking. Her mean SAS score is 95. In a case such as Rhiannon's, the overall score needs to be treated with caution, as her scores on the Nonverbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries suggest this may underestimate her potential. However, the bias towards spatial learning has been created by very weak verbal skills, so is unlike the case of Daniel in Case study 1 which demonstrates a similar bias but at a much higher level of ability.

A report for the student and for the parent or carer is available and could be used at parents' evening and as a support to Rhiannon in managing her learning. Advice to the student includes:

- CAT4 shows you have a strong preference for learning by using pictures, diagrams and other visual ways of learning rather than by reading, writing and discussion.
- You may find much of your schoolwork difficult, particularly subjects where you need to read and write a lot.
- You may find difficulty taking part in discussion in class but this will improve the more you take part, so do try.
- Do you find reading difficult? If so, you may need some extra help working one-to-one with a teacher.
- Make sure you understand what you are learning, step-by-step, as it is important for you to learn at a pace that is right for you.

- Always ask your teacher to explain anything that is not clear.
- However, you have good spatial skills and these will help you in very many subjects.
- Do you find Maths difficult but do well in some areas, such as Geometry? Do you like solving problems when these are presented using diagrams, charts and pictures? If so, this may well explain why you do better in some aspects of learning. You are able to use your spatial skills in certain topics in subjects that may otherwise require step-by-step learning or lots of reading.
- Make sure you use a range of ways to help you learn best, such as texts supported with lots of pictures, videos, photos and examples from the world around you.
- Make notes and revise using mind maps, making notes on texts and creating your own diagrams with pictures or images as reference points.

The report for Rhiannon's parents highlights her potential difficulty with reading and suggestions include:

- Rhiannon's profile of scores from *CAT4* shows she has a strong preference for learning via visual, practical ways, with a weakness in verbal skills that may lead to difficulties in Literacy.
- 🔆 Rhiannon may find some of her schoolwork difficult.
- Does Rhiannon find reading difficult? If so, she may need some extra help at home under guidance from school.
- When you are helping with homework, make sure that Rhiannon understands each step of the task before moving on. It is important that Rhiannon learns at a pace that is right for her.
- Rhiannon may see the solution to a problem quickly but be unable to talk through the steps needed to reach the answer. Make sure she is helped to explain how she has worked this out.
- 🎠 Tell Rhiannon to ask the teacher to explain anything that is not clear.
- Encourage Rhiannon to use a range of ways to learn and revise but focus on making mind maps, using pictures, charts and diagrams and using visual clues to help remember key information. This is where her strength lies and should be used as much as possible.

With a student such as Rhiannon, who has a hearing impairment that is known to affect her learning, it is important that suggestions for teaching and learning are interpreted in the light of her particular circumstances. Although Rhiannon may struggle with verbally based

material owing to her hearing impairment, her performance on the *CAT4* Spatial Ability and Nonverbal Reasoning Batteries shows her to be at least as capable as most students in these areas. Rhiannon's relative difficulties with verbal material should not be taken as an overall indication of her potential.

Actions to support teaching and learning

Rhiannon's *CAT4* profile shows that her nonverbal and spatial reasoning abilities are at or slightly above the mean for her peers. Her verbal and, to a lesser extent quantitative, reasoning abilities reflect the difficulty she has with language which has resulted from her hearing difficulties. Rhiannon's profile clearly shows that her academic attainment is not likely to be a true reflection of her abilities. It is important that her teachers recognise her potential and provide activities that draw on her nonverbal and spatial strengths to allow her to show her capabilities and so that she remains engaged with education.

3. Example of balanced verbal and spatial skills with high quantitative reasoning: an apparently anomalous result

Charlotte is currently in Year 9. She is a positive, motivated and well-liked student who consistently tries hard in school. Charlotte's strengths lie in the areas of Science and Maths, where she has been attaining slightly above national targets for the past few years. She is somewhat weaker in English and subjects that draw more on her written ability, although she still attains as well as most of her peers in these areas.

Charlotte obtained the following scores on CAT4:

Battery	No. of questions attempted	SAS	NPR	ST	GR (/3)	SAS (with 90% confidence bands) 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140
Verbal	47/48	91	28	4	1	⊢
Quantitative	34/36	115	84	7	1	<u> </u>
Nonverbal	34/48	104	60	6	1	—
Spatial	29/36	85	16	3	3	1-0-1
Mean	-	99	-	ı	ı	⊢ •⊣

Charlotte's table of results shows that she did not manage to complete all of the questions on any of the *CAT4* batteries in the time allowed. This was particularly notable on the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery

165 Case Studies 165

where she attempted 34 out of 48 questions and on the Spatial Ability Battery where she attempted 29 out of 36. Despite this, her raw scores on each of the batteries are above chance levels. Her results are therefore likely to be a reliable reflection of her abilities.

- Charlotte's second lowest score was on the Verbal Reasoning Battery where she achieved an SAS of 91. This is equivalent to a stanine of 4 and a percentile rank of 28, meaning she performed better than 28% of the national sample. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- Charlotte's SAS on the Quantitative Reasoning Battery was 115, which is equivalent to a stanine of 7. Her percentile rank is 84, showing she scored as well as or better than 84% of the national sample. This level of performance would also be described as within the above average band.
- Her SAS on the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery was 104, which is equivalent to a stanine of 6 and a percentile rank of 60. This level of performance would be described as within the average band.
- Charlotte's SAS of 85 on the Spatial Ability Battery is her lowest score, which is equivalent to a stanine of 3 and a percentile rank of 16. This level of performance would be described as within the below average band.
- Lastly, Charlotte's mean SAS of 99 indicates that she is performing at an average level across all areas.

Charlotte's profile therefore suggests that she has a particular strength in quantitative reasoning.

Profile examination

An examination of the confidence bands shows the areas where Charlotte has performed relatively higher and lower. Starting with Charlotte's strongest score, which is on the Quantitative Reasoning Battery, we can see that the confidence band for this battery does not overlap with the Verbal Reasoning or Spatial Ability Batteries. We can therefore be 90% confident that Charlotte's quantitative ability is significantly stronger than her verbal or spatial abilities. Charlotte's second highest score is on the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery. However, as the confidence band for this battery overlaps with the confidence bands for the Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries, we cannot be 90% confident that there is a real difference between these abilities. Similarly, as the 90% confidence bands for her scores on the Verbal Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries overlap, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in Charlotte's reasoning abilities in these two areas.

Her *CAT4* mean overall SAS of 99 shows Charlotte to be performing at an average level across the *CAT4* batteries. While overall SAS scores can provide a useful indicator of general reasoning abilities, they can also mask the profile of scores that underlie this summary. In Charlotte's case, we see that her profile of scores spans one standard deviation above the mean (quantitative SAS of 115) to almost one standard deviation below it (spatial SAS of 85).

Charlotte's profile appears to be fairly balanced in terms of her verbal and spatial abilities, but her higher scores on the Quantitative and Nonverbal Reasoning Batteries suggest that further investigation is needed. It is very unusual for a student to have much stronger quantitative and nonverbal scores than both their verbal and spatial scores (this would only occur approximately six times in 1,000 students). Underpinning performance in these two areas should be similar level skills in either verbal or spatial ability or both. This suggests that one or other of the verbal or spatial scores might not accurately reflect Charlotte's true ability. The school serves an area of economic deprivation and so many of the influences and determinants for good verbal skills may be lacking. Charlotte's score of 91 for verbal reasoning is just outside the cut-off for further assessment for reading difficulties. In this case it would be most appropriate to assess Charlotte further as she may have a reading difficulty such as dyslexia or difficulties with comprehension. It could therefore be that she really has a relative bias to verbal thinking, but this is not shown because her verbal abilities have not been able to develop to their potential or because she has dyslexic-type difficulties that limit her ability to deal with the printed word.

Actions to support teaching and learning

On the basis of her *CAT4* profile, Charlotte has been attending a Literacy support group for one session a week. An initial diagnostic assessment indicated that Charlotte was likely to have difficulties with her verbal comprehension. A specific programme of support has now been put in place to support Charlotte.

Case Study 3: Students with English as an additional language (EAL)

Schools often ask for guidance on how to administer *CAT4* to students for whom English is an additional language. Whether to include such students in the administration of the whole or just part of *CAT4* will depend on many factors, some of which are set out below. The decision will also depend on the purpose of testing with *CAT4* which may include the need to assess a whole cohort to build up an accurate overview of their ability.

Research over three decades has shown that students who are taught in a language that is not their home language may take up to seven or even 10 years to achieve parity in educational outcomes with their first language peer group. Building on work done in Canada (Cummins, 1981¹), large-scale studies in the US (Collier and Thomas, 1989,² 1997³) found that students of this type aged between eight and 11 were the fastest achievers and that, for students in this age range, two years of education in their

...[It] takes
between five and
seven years for a child
to be working on a
level with first language
speakers as far as
academic language
is concerned.

first language in their home country was a significant variable with a positive impact on academic achievement in their additional language. Collier and Thomas also found that, after two years, attainment in functional English was comparable to their mainstream peer group. In Mathematics, attainment was actually well above average, demonstrating that, for aspects of language which are taught directly (such as Grammar and Punctuation) and where knowledge and skills can be transferred, English language learners do as well as or better than their peers.

The same study found that students entering the education system between the ages of 12 and 16 had the lowest scores on standardised tests at the equivalent of Y11/S5: these students run out of time to acquire the level of English proficiency to perform at the same level as their mainstream peer group.

¹ Cummins, J. (1981) *Bilingualism and Minority-language Children*. Toronto: Oise Press.

² Collier, V. and Thomas, W. (1989) 'How quickly can immigrants become proficient in school English?' *Journal of Educational Issues of Language Minority Students*, 5, 26–38.

³ Thomas, W.P. and Collier, V.P. (1997) 'School effectiveness for language minority students.' *National Clearinghouse for English Language Acquisition (NCELA) Resource Collection Series*, No. 9, December 1997.

Cummins coined the term *CALP* (Cognitive Academic Language Proficiency) and, as the name suggests, this is the basis for a child's ability to cope with the academic demands placed upon him or her in the various subjects across the curriculum. Cummins states that, while many children develop native speaker fluency (which he calls Basic Interpersonal Communication Skills, or *BICS*) within two years of immersion in the target language, it takes between five and seven years for a child to be working on a level with first language speakers as far as academic language is concerned.

These studies focused on a particular group of students entering the US or Canada, which is only partly representative of students in UK schools who have English as a second language. This is because

many will enter school having been exposed to the English language from birth and speaking English and their home language with family and friends. Maintaining a student's development in their first language is an important factor in *CALP*: concepts that are understood in the first language may readily be understood in the acquired language once appropriate vocabulary has been learned. Understanding a new concept and simultaneously learning the language to express that understanding is more demanding.

Concepts that
are understood in
the first language
may readily be
understood in the
acquired language once
appropriate
vocabulary has
been learned.

A student's environment will have an impact too. In the same way that cultural and social factors influence first language speakers, students with English as an additional language will be affected, for example by their socio-economic group, level of parental support, school attendance, etc.

Schools across the UK will be working to support students like those in the research findings described above. Other students, however, will be from established communities with different levels of proficiency in English and many will be bilingual.

Deciding whether to administer *CAT4* to students for whom English is an additional language will depend on several factors and should be based on a range of information about an individual student. However, three out of the four batteries in *CAT4* have very little language content and so students can be supported where necessary by translating administration instructions. This is straightforward for the paper edition where instructions are given orally but may be more problematic for the digital edition where administration is delivered through the integrated voiceover. In this case the voiceover may be

turned off and, as all text appears on screen, it is possible for the school to translate this for the student.

Issues for consideration

- The length of time the student has been educated in English in the UK:
 - If this is less than five years, adaptation, such as the translation of administration instructions, may be considered to ensure that *CAT4* is accessible.
 - If this is two years or less, it may be inappropriate to give the Verbal Reasoning Battery but the administration of the other batteries can be adapted to ensure accessibility.
- The point at which the student entered school in the UK may be significant:
 - Children who have entered a UK school when older may well be more disadvantaged than those in the eight to 11 age range, for example.
- The student's attainment in subjects across the curriculum and level of English language acquisition demonstrated:
 - ** BICS may be highly competent.
 - Aspects of *CALP* may also be average or above (for example, in functional English and Mathematics).
 - Higher order skills in Reading and Reading Comprehension in the acquired language should be part of the decision on how the tests are provided too.

Some implications of testing

- Indicators are likely to be an underestimate of eventual attainment if based on all the batteries of *CAT4* and where a student's level of English language acquisition disadvantages them in the Verbal Reasoning Battery (and assuming that a student in, say, Y7/S1 continues to learn English and so improve their *CALP*). In such cases it may be better to omit the verbal tests for now, retesting with the verbal tests when English language acquisition is more advanced, at Y9/S3 or above.
- Indicators for English and Modern Foreign Languages are usually based on the Verbal Reasoning Battery, so these may contain a greater degree of error when based on the mean score from the other three batteries.

- Indicators will be based on the mean of the scores from the Nonverbal Reasoning, Quantitative Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries, where the Verbal Reasoning Battery is omitted.
- The Nonverbal Reasoning Battery involves reasoning with both language the student will think through the tasks in his or her language and spatial reasoning (with shapes or patterns), so the score from this part of *CAT4* will be especially useful when supporting students for whom English is an additional language as it requires the mustering of two types of reasoning.
- The Quantitative Reasoning Battery also uses a mixture of verbal and spatial reasoning, although the verbal element is more limited than in the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery. For example, it may only involve recalling things like 'three times two is six', whereas the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery can necessitate finding words to describe a wide range of shapes and operations.

Adaptations to the administration

- Administration in the student's first language must be carried out separately from the group administration and, if more than one additional language is to be accommodated, separate test sessions or rooms must be arranged.
- Translation and administration of instructions and examples should be carried out by a teacher, teaching assistant, learning mentor or similar practitioner whose first language is the same as that of the student. A friend or family member is not an appropriate person to translate and administer *CAT4*. The test items must not be translated.
- Translated administration instructions should be prepared in advance and must follow those in the published test as closely as possible. Translated material should be written down before being read out so that all students tested in any language are given the same instructions.
- All timings must be adhered to and no assistance should be given in accessing the actual test questions. So, for example, the questions in the Verbal Reasoning Battery must not be translated nor should any other elaborations be made to any of the other batteries, such as explaining the transformation rules that underpin the quantitative questions.

Example

An example of how students with English as as an additional language are successfully included in *CAT4* testing is seen in a primary school in Berkshire. This is a larger than average primary, serving a culturally diverse area. About three-quarters of the students are from minority ethnic groups, many with English as an additional language.

When children come into school, those with English as an additional language receive intensive language support, which has a big impact on their achievement. The Head Teacher says: "Our programme to support these children has been very successful and we find that by the end of Year 1 many are outstripping their peer group. Such is the success of this approach that a similar support programme is being implemented with first language English-speaking children whose language skills are delayed, and we anticipate similar results."

There is special provision for children on the autistic disorder spectrum, of whom there were eight in the school at the time of writing. Wherever possible, all children are included in *CAT4* testing.

CAT4 is administered in January each year to Years 4 and 5 and is used to:

- assess the ability of the whole year group, which does vary year-on-year
- K contribute to provision mapping for SEN children
- 🎠 provide indicators for KS2 SATs
- * set targets for individual students.

The Head Teacher comments: "It is important to the school to know about the ability of a whole year group, and for this reason we tend to include all our students in *CAT4*. As long as we are aware of any factors that might affect a student's scores it would be our preference to test all the students. Our cohort is fairly stable, although we do have a number of students joining higher up the school who need English language support."

The Head continues: "We use Assessing Pupil Progress as our main tool for tracking progress and *CAT4* adds information that complements teachers' own assessments and results from optional SATs given in Years 3, 4 and 5. *CAT4* data is a useful additional source of information about a whole year group. For example, when Ofsted inspectors are reviewing our results in Literacy and Numeracy, *CAT4* offers objective evidence of the ability levels across the group."

Two groups of children were tested as part of the *CAT4* standardisation. Proper interpretation of *CAT4* profiles necessitates setting the scores in context by considering background information about the children. For example, three Year 6 children tested with *CAT4* Level C obtained a similar profile, indicating an extreme spatial bias. However, once some background information was factored in and the *CAT4* scores given a context, it is possible to see the different reasons for this bias that has been revealed through testing with *CAT4*. Two of the children have special needs which mean their spatial abilities are genuinely higher than their verbal abilities. The remaining child has a verbal score that is probably being suppressed by the fact that he is still learning English. He may in fact have a balanced intellectual profile.

The children's scores are as follows:

		Verbal		Qua	ntitative			
Student name	No. attempted (/48)	SAS	GR (/3)	No. attempted (/36)	SAS	GR (/3)		
Omar Mohamed	48	88	1	36	106	2		
Arif Phull	48	85	2	36	116	1		
Ellie Smith	48	83	3	36	91	3		
	Ne	on-verbal		S	Overall			
	No. attempted (/48)	SAS	GR (/3)	No. attempted (/36)	SAS	GR (/3)	Mean SAS	GR (/3)
		400	3	36	113	2	103	2
	48	106	٥	30		_		
	48	106	2	36	115	1	106	1

Both Arif and Omar have English as an additional language. However, Arif has been in school from Reception, whereas Omar, whose first language is Arabic, joined at the beginning of Year 6. An important consideration for Arif is that he has a diagnosed speech delay which may well make the tests in the *CAT4* Verbal Reasoning Battery especially difficult for him. His strengths in the Quantitative Reasoning and Spatial Ability Batteries will help him do well in Maths and Science and can be drawn on to support and develop his verbal skills. The report for Arif recognises that support for literacy will be required (and he is receiving this).

The Individual report for teachers for these three students says:

Arif (and/or Omar and Ellie) should be encouraged to explain his or her understanding of spatial activities and reflect critically on them to develop his or her verbal reasoning skills.

Omar's spatial skills are also above average. His score on the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery is of interest as it may be lower than his score on the Spatial Ability Battery because Omar's verbal reasoning is below average. However, it is highly unlikely that his score on the Verbal Reasoning Battery is an accurate reflection of his verbal skills. So, retesting in Year 8 or Year 9 might offer a much more accurate profile of his skills which may then be more evenly balanced and in the above average range rather than as his current Year 6 test results

suggest. However, including Omar alongside his peer group in the test session is more than appropriate, as *CAT4* has allowed him to demonstrate his skills in all areas, especially his particularly strong spatial ability.

Ellie, whose first language is English, has dyslexia, although her teacher reports that she is doing extremely well in Reading and making good progress. Ellie is clearly able to bring together her verbal and spatial reasoning skills in the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery (SAS 111), but it is likely that her strengths are more spatial than verbal and that she will go on to do well in a range of subjects at secondary school – Science, Design and Technology and Geography, for example – as long as her literacy is supported and continues to improve.

"We will continue to include all our students when we test with *CAT4*" says the Head Teacher. "We have just decided to use the Pupil Attitudes to Self and School (*PASS*), which can be used alongside *CAT4* and teacher assessment to give an even fuller picture of our students' potential and how to make sure they do the best they can."









COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

CAT4 UK EDITION177
Test reliability177
Test re-test reliability
Cognitive Abilities Test and National Test indicators178
Key Stage 2 National Test indicators: England 179 Correlations of <i>CAT4</i> and KS2 scaled scores
Key Stage 2 National Test indicators: Wales 183
GCSE indicators 184
Correlations of CAT4 and GCSE grades
CAT4 trialling189Pre-trials189Main trials189
CAT4 UK standardisation: levels Pre-A to G 190
CAT4 UK standardisation: levels X and Y. 191 Pre-trials. 191 Main trials. 191 Standardisation. 191 Level X 192 Level Y 192
CAT4 and teacher assessment levels 193
Evaluating differences between CAT4 scores 194 Statistical significance of differences
Gender differences 197 Verbal-Spatial profile 198
Paper-digital comparison study 199
CAT4 IRISH EDITION200
CAT4 Irish standardisation
Test reliability200
Gender differences
rish Leaving Certificate indicators

CAT4 UK EDITION

Test reliability

The reliability of a test is a measure of the consistency of a student's test scores over repeated testing, assuming conditions remain the same – that is, there was no fatigue, learning effect or lack of motivation. Tests with poor reliability might result in very different scores for a student across two test administrations.

The reliability of the test was estimated using the Cronbach's Alpha formula which produces values ranging from 0 to 1. Values above 0.80 are considered to be very good. The reliability values for the various *CAT4* batteries are given in the table below, and all show that the tests are very reliable. These are based on students who took part in the UK standardisation.

			CAT4 reliability		
<i>CAT4</i> level	Verbal Reasoning Battery	Quantitative Reasoning Battery	Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>
Level X	0.93	0.91	0.87	0.83	0.95
Level Y	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.78	0.94
Pre-A	0.82	0.81	0.78	0.67	0.90
Α	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.87	0.97
В	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.88	0.96
С	0.86	0.91	0.87	0.85	0.96
D	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.86	0.96
Е	0.89	0.88	0.86	0.88	0.96
F	0.89	0.87	0.85	0.88	0.96
G	0.90	0.84	0.85	0.86	0.95
Average	0.89	0.88	0.87	0.84	0.95

For interpreting the score of an individual student, the standard error of measurement (*SEM*) is a more useful statistic than a reliability coefficient. It indicates how large, on average, the fluctuations in standard scores may be. The *SEM* for the Verbal Reasoning Battery is 5.0, which indicates that there is a 68% chance that the student's true verbal SAS will be in the range +/- 5.0. For example, for an average-performing student with a verbal SAS of 100, there is a 68% chance that his or her true verbal score is in a range from 95 to 105.

		CAT4 Standard error of measurement (SEM)									
<i>CAT4</i> level	Desconing		Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>						
Average	5 .0	5.2	5.4	6.0	3.4						

However, most tests show the 90% chance or confidence bands. For values around the average, the 90% confidence band is as follows:

		CAT4	90% confidence	e band	
CAT4 level	Verbal Reasoning Battery	Quantitative Reasoning Battery	Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>
Average	+/- 8	+/- 9	+/- 9	+/- 10	+/- 6

For example, for an average-performing student with a verbal SAS of 100, there is a 90% chance that the true verbal score is in a range from 92 to 108.

Test re-test reliability

A study of 3,883 students who took Level D and subsequently took Level F two years later showed the correlation for the mean *CAT4* SAS between the two time points was high at 0.88. The correlations for the overall mean *CAT4* SAS and the four batteries are shown in the table below:

	Correlation between Level D and Level F SAS
Mean <i>CAT4</i>	0.88
Verbal	0.80
Quantitative	0.75
Nonverbal	0.71
Spatial	0.74

The results showed a high level of consistency and: 62% of students had mean *CAT4* scores within +/- 5 SAS points; 90% of students had mean *CAT4* scores within +/- 10 *SAS* points

Cognitive Abilities Test and National Test indicators

There has always been a significant and positive correlation between a student's scores in reasoning tests and their school performance, as measured by national tests or public examinations. The link may be assumed to exist because much school activity is concerned with the application of reasoning abilities in the initial learning of curriculum content, and then building on and recombining existing knowledge as learning progresses.

The indicators that feature in reports for the Cognitive Abilities Test are derived by tracking the progress of large and representative samples of students over time. Through this process, we can determine the actual relationship between *CAT4* scores and students' subsequent

attainment in national tests and examinations.

Through statistical analysis of the matched datasets, we are able to provide indicated or typical outcomes for each student based on the students' *CAT4* scores. These indicators can also be aggregated to provide indicated outcomes for the cohort and school or college as a whole. These indicators are updated regularly to keep them in line with national trends of performance in national tests and examinations.

Key Stage 2 National Test indicators: England

The KS2 indicators are derived from an analysis of the relationship between *CAT4* scores from Level A to Level C and KS2 test results at age 11 from a large and national representative sample of around 24,000 students taking the KS2 SATS in 2019. These indicators are updated regularly as we get new data


Correlations of CAT4 and KS2 scaled scores

There is a strong relationship between *CAT4* scores and Key Stage 2 outcomes. The strength of the relationship between two variables can be measured by a statistic called the correlation coefficient. A value of zero indicates no relationship between the two measures, whereas a value of one indicates a perfect positive relationship. The table below shows the correlation coefficients between *CAT4* standard age scores (SAS) and students' subsequent KS2 scaled score outcomes.

KS2 SATS scaled scores	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score	Verbal SAS	Quantitative SAS	Nonverbal SAS	Spatial SAS
Mathematics	0.70	0.62	0.67	0.60	0.56
Reading	0.67	0.70	0.62	0.54	0.48
Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling	0.66	0.67	0.62	0.54	0.48

The correlations are all highly significant. The Mathematics outcomes tend to have their highest correlation with the mean *CAT4* SAS. The *CAT4* Verbal Reasoning score gives a slightly higher or similar correlation than the mean *CAT4* score for English Reading, and Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling.


The graph below illustrates the relationship between the mean *CAT4* score and the KS2 Mathematics scaled scores. It shows the most likely scaled score and the score if the student is challenged. We can see that the scaled scores increase as the *CAT4* scores increase.




For example, a student with a mean *CAT4* score of 90, the 'most likely' Mathematics scaled score is 99 and the 'if challenged' threshold is 103. Not all students with a mean *CAT4* score of 90 will get a Mathematics scaled score of 99. The 'most likely' score is an average, so around half of the students with mean *CAT4* scores of 90 will obtain a Mathematics scaled score below 99; 25% of the students will obtain a Mathematics scaled score of between 99 and 102; and 25% of the students will obtain an 'if challenged' score of 103 or above.

Likelihood of Key Stage 2 indicated standard


The graph below illustrates the proportion of students achieving a scaled score of 100 (the government's expected standard) or the high score of 110 for Mathematics for each mean *CAT4* score. We can see that the higher the mean *CAT4* score, the greater the proportion of students who achieve the government's benchmark or above. For example, 58% of students with a mean *CAT4* score of 90 obtained the expected standard of 100 or above in Mathematics; in contrast, about 95% of students with a mean *CAT4* score of 110 achieved this.



The chart below illustrates the relationship between the Verbal *CAT4* score and the KS2 English Reading benchmarks.



The chart below illustrates the relationship between the Verbal *CAT4* score and the KS2 English Spelling, and Grammar (*SPAG*) benchmarks.



KS2 indicators for groups of students

The table below illustrates how the group/class indicators have been calculated for a fictitious group of five students and shows the probability of obtaining different KS2 Mathematics benchmarks.

			Probability of s	tudents reaching
	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score	Most likely scaled score achieved in Mathematics	Expected standard = 100	High score = 110
Student 1	85	97	41%	2%
Student 2	95	102	73%	6%
Student 3	106	106	92%	23%
Student 4	109	108	95%	31%
Student 5	111	108	96%	37%
		Average	80%	20%
	Number o	of students achieving:	4	1

The individual student indicators do not show any of these five students likely to obtain a high scaled score benchmark of 110 or more. However, some students have a high chance of achieving this, e.g. student 5 has a 37% chance of obtaining a high score of 110 or more. Overall for this group of five students we expect 20% (i.e. one out of the five students) to achieve the high score. As an illustration, if your group has 10 students all with mean *CAT4* scores of 106, the most likely outcome for each of these 10 students individually is a scaled score of 106. However, it is likely that 23% of these students (i.e. two out of the 10 students) will achieve the high score.

The group level indicators are the average of the probabilities for all students in the group. Our research has shown that this method provides the most accurate set of group level indicators. However, group indicators are extremely sensitive to variations in the number of students in the group, and may be very unstable for groups of less than 30 students. Group indicators should only ever be taken as a rough guide to the possible future performance of a class.

Key Stage 2 National Test indicators: Wales

The *CAT4* KS2 reports for Wales show estimates of the Literacy and Numeracy National Tests age-standardised scores as well as estimates of teacher assessment levels.

The table below shows the correlations between *CAT4* and the Year 6 National Tests and teacher assessments. This is based on a study of around 2,500 students who completed *CAT4* and the National Tests in Wales.

Welsh test	Mean CAT4 score	Verbal SAS
Literacy	0.68	0.70
Numeracy (Procedural)	0.70	0.60
Numeracy (Reasoning)	0.64	0.54
English teacher assessment	0.66	0.67
Maths teacher assessment	0.69	0.62
Science teacher assessment	0.65	0.63
Welsh 2nd subject teacher assessment	0.54	0.55

The correlations are all highly significant. The Mathematics and Science outcomes tend to have their highest correlation with the mean *CAT4* SAS. The *CAT4* Verbal Reasoning score alone gives a slightly higher correlation than the mean *CAT4* score for Literacy, English and Welsh 2nd subject.

GCSE indicators

The GCSE indicators are derived from an analysis of the relationship between *CAT4* scores from Level D and above and GCSE examination results at age 16 for a large and nationally representative sample of around 91,000 students in 2019. These indicators are updated regularly as we get new data.

Correlations of CAT4 and GCSE grades

As already stated, the strength of the relationship between two variables can be measured by a statistic called the correlation coefficient. A value of zero indicates no relationship between the two measures, whereas a value of one indicates a perfect positive relationship. The table below shows the correlation coefficients between *CAT4* standard age scores and pupils' subsequent GCSE outcomes.

	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score	Verbal SAS	Quantitative SAS	Nonverbal SAS	Spatial SAS
Attainment 8*	0.72	0.67	0.64	0.61	0.57
Art and Design	0.48	0.44	0.38	0.41	0.42
Biology	0.62	0.57	0.53	0.49	0.47
Business Studies	0.56	0.45	0.52	0.49	0.40
Chemistry	0.57	0.50	0.50	0.45	0.43
Citizenship	0.51	0.52	0.45	0.41	0.35
Computer Studies	0.65	0.60	0.56	0.53	0.51
Design and Technology	0.55	0.47	0.51	0.45	0.46
Drama	0.55	0.55	0.45	0.47	0.42
English Language	0.62	0.62	0.53	0.51	0.46
English Literature	0.58	0.57	0.50	0.48	0.43
Food and Nutrition	0.61	0.59	0.53	0.51	0.47
French	0.53	0.54	0.45	0.43	0.38
Geography	0.68	0.65	0.59	0.56	0.52
German	0.53	0.54	0.45	0.42	0.38
History	0.60	0.59	0.52	0.48	0.43
ICT	0.52	0.43	0.49	0.46	0.38
Maths	0.78	0.66	0.72	0.66	0.63
Media Studies	0.50	0.41	0.48	0.42	0.38
Music	0.56	0.55	0.50	0.44	0.45
Physical Education	0.60	0.56	0.52	0.49	0.46
Physics	0.60	0.52	0.52	0.47	0.46
Religious Education	0.53	0.52	0.46	0.44	0.37
Science Combined	0.66	0.59	0.56	0.55	0.50
Sociology	0.48	0.39	0.48	0.40	0.34
Spanish	0.45	0.44	0.38	0.37	0.35
Statistics	0.72	0.60	0.60	0.67	0.57

^{*}Attainment 8 score is used in England; the Best 8 capped score is based on the previous scoring system of using $A^* = 58$ points, A = 52 points, etc.

The correlations are all highly significant. Most GCSE outcomes tend to have their highest correlation with mean *CAT4* score. The exceptions are English Language and English Literature where the *CAT4* Verbal Reasoning score gives a slightly higher correlation than mean *CAT4* score.


Likelihood of GCSE indicated grades

The example below illustrates the probabilities of achieving the various GCSE 9-1 grades in Mathematics (U is ungraded) for a student with a mean *CAT4* score of 100. The indicators are not precise: they indicate the outcomes expected for students with a particular *CAT4* score making average progress in a typical secondary school.

	Mean	Mathematics GCSE grades - probabilities								Most likely	
Student name	CAT4 score	U/1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	grade achieved
John Sims	100	2%	4%	11%	34%	30%	11%	5%	2%	0%	4.9

The 'most likely grade achieved' is reported to one decimal place. In this case the student is expected to be on the top end of grade 4 as he has a 52% chance of achieving grade 4 or below and a 48% chance of achieving grade 5 or above, so the expectation is that the student is near the grade 4/5 boundary.

The example below illustrates the probabilities of achieving the various GCSE A*-G grades in History (U is ungraded) for a student with a mean *CAT4* score of 100.



The 'most likely grade achieved' is grade C with the student having a 64% chance of achieving grade C or below and a 34% chance of achieving grade B or above.

GCSE grade indicators for groups of students

The table below illustrates how the group/class indicators have been calculated for a fictitious class with five students and shows the most likely grade achieved and the probabilities associated with getting different Mathematics 9-1 grades. The group indicator is an average of the individual student outcomes and probabilities. A similar method is used for subjects using the A*-G grades.


Using individual student grade estimates to provide information about the overall class or group grade outcomes will in most cases lead to underestimating the number of students likely to get both the higher and lower GCSE grades.

	Mean	Attain-		Mathe	matic	s GCS	E grad	des - p	robak	ilities	i	Most likely
Student	CAT4 score	ment 8 score	U/1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	grade achieved
1	70	11	78%	14%	5%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
2	85	29	21%	26%	27%	20%	5%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2.8
3	100	46	2%	4%	11%	34%	30%	11%	5%	2%	0%	4.9
4	115	63	0%	0%	1%	6%	17%	23%	28%	18%	6%	6.9
5	140	79	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	13%	83%	9
	ndicator rage)	46	20%	9%	9%	12%	11%	7%	7%	7%	18%	4.9

The group level indicators are the average of the probabilities for all students in the group. Our research has shown that this method provides the most accurate set of group level indicators. However, group indicators are extremely sensitive to variations in the number of students in the group, and may be very unstable for groups of less than 30 students. Group indicators should only ever be taken as a rough guide to the possible future performance of a class.

CAT4 and GCSE Attainment 8

The graph below illustrates the relationship between *CAT4* score and the Attainment 8 score.



For example, for a student with a mean *CAT4* score of 90, the most likely Attainment 8 is 42 and the 'if challenged' score is 49. Not all students with a mean *CAT4* score of 90 will get an Attainment 8 score of 35.


Around half the students will get an Attainment 8 score below 35, with around 25% of the students obtaining an Attainment 8 score of less than 26 - the bottom 25th percentile. Around 25% of students will obtain the 'if challenged' score of 43 and above.

CAT4 and GCSE grades A*-G

Wales is retaining the current A*-G grading system; but in Northern Ireland the GCSE grading system is currently the same as for England, using the mixture of A*-G and 9-1 grades. A new structure based on a revised A*-G grading system was implemented in Northern Ireland in summer 2019. The new A* aligns closely to grade 9, and a new C* grade is equivalent to grade 5.

The graph below illustrates the proportion of students achieving five+GCSE grades 9-4 (A*-C) including English and Mathematics for each mean *CAT4* score. We can see that the higher the mean *CAT4* score, the greater the proportion of students who achieve five or more A* to C grades. For example, only 17% of students with a mean *CAT4* score of 85 obtain five+ 9-4 (A*-C) grades; in contrast, about 89% of students with a mean *CAT4* score of 115 achieve five+ 9-4 (A*-C) grades.

Probability of five or more GCSEs at grades A*-C including English and Mathematics



Setting targets

The above confirms the need for suitably cautious interpretation when using the indicators with staff and parents, and particularly if sharing them with individual students. In the latter context, we would advise that school staff follow the established best practice of schools, using the results for mentoring and target-setting purposes by:

- ** stressing to students that the indicators are a statistical prediction, not a prophecy of their actual Leaving Certificate, Key Stage or GCSE results;
- emphasising to students the range of outcomes that could be achieved:
- emphasising the importance of the students' motivation and effort in determining the grade they obtain, identifying any areas in which the student requires greater support from the teacher;
- not using the indicators to label students as actual or potential 'failures':
- setting the indicators in the context of all other known relevant factors and other assessment information, thus making sure targets are reasonable.

CAT4 trialling

Pre-trials

Small-scale trials were conducted in autumn 2009 to check some of the new questions being developed for the *CAT4* Spatial Ability Battery. Three versions of the new spatial test were created and were trialled with approximately 850 students in Years 4, 6, 8 and 9. Results from this study were used to develop further spatial questions for the main trials.

Main trials

The main trials of all the questions in all four batteries of *CAT4* were carried out in autumn 2010.

The numbers of students taking part in the trials were as follows:

Trial sample						
Year	Number of students					
4	2,028					
6	1,870					
8	2,179					
10	2,114					
Total	8,191					

For the trials, 24 test booklets were created, that is six test booklets for each year group. All students took Verbal Classification and Figure Recognition plus two of the remaining six test types, so that all items were taken by at least 300 students. Some of the questions were duplicated in booklets across year groups.

The data from the trials were analysed to provide information on the difficulty level of each question, its ability to discriminate between high and low scorers, and the extent to which it proved equally difficult for both sexes, once each sex's general level of performance was taken into account. This information was then used to select and order the sequences of questions for the final standardisation version of *CAT4*.

CAT4 UK standardisation: levels Pre-A to G

The standardisation of *CAT4* took place between September and December 2011 in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. A national database of schools was created and schools were grouped into 10 categories – by country (Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland) and, for England, further grouped into independent or grammar, plus five categories of school intake based on the proportion of students taking free school meals.

Schools were selected by stratified random sampling procedures within these groupings. As this was a national sample, many schools taking part in the standardisation had never used *CAT4* before. For the standardisation, schools were asked to do one pre-selected *CAT4* test level and were given an option to do other levels. Schools were free to choose between the paper and digital version of the test. Primary schools were asked to test all students in the year group but secondary schools had the option either to test two randomly selected teaching groups if they tested by paper, or to test the whole year group if they chose the digital option.

The numbers of students taking part in the standardisation were as follows:

	Standardisation sample			
Country	Primary	Secondary	Total	
England	4,663	13,085	17,748	
Wales	269	2,169	2,438	
Scotland	259	2,439	2,698	
Northern Ireland	179	1,645	1,824	
Total	5,370	19,338	24,708	

These numbers were compared with the national population:

	S	e	National	
Country	Primary	Secondary	Total	population
England	87%	68%	72%	83%
Wales	5%	11%	10%	5%
Scotland	5%	13%	11%	8%
Northern Ireland	3%	9%	7%	3%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: Totals may not add up to 100% due to rounding

The primary school sample is slightly over-represented by students from England and under-represented by students from Scotland. The secondary school sample is over-represented by students from Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland and under-represented by students from England. The standardisation results were therefore weighted to account for sample bias.

The numbers of students doing the paper and digital editions are given below:

	Number of students in standardisation sample, by delivery method				
Delivery mode	Primary	Secondary	Total		
Digital	1,123 (21%)	13,412 (69%)	14,535 (59%)		
Paper	4,247 (79%)	5,926 (31%)	10,173 (41%)		
Total	5,370	19,338	24,708		

CAT4 UK standardisation: levels X and Y

Pre-trials

CAT4 Levels X and Y were developed after the main CAT4 Levels A-G were published. Small-scale trials were conducted in Autumn 2009 to check some of the new spatial questions being developed for the CAT4. Three versions of the spatial tests were created and were trialled with around 850 students in Years 4, 6, 8 and 9. Results from this study informed the development of further spatial questions for trialling.

Main trials

The main trials of the *CAT4* Levels X and Y questions were carried out in Autumn 2013. Approximately 1200 students in Years 2 and 3 took part in the trials.

Four test booklets were created - two test booklets for each year group. Around 300 pupils took each booklet, with the parallel booklets of each year group alternated within a class. All the questions used in *CAT4* Levels X and Y were used in the trialling with some of the questions duplicated in booklets across the two different year groups.

The data from the trials were analysed to provide information on the difficulty level of each question, its ability to discriminate between high and low scorers and the extent to which it proved equally difficult for both sexes, once overall score was taken into account. This information was then used to select and order the sequences of questions for the final standardisation version. Two versions of the test were created: Form X for 7 year-olds (Year 2 in England and Wales or equivalent) and Form Y for 8 year-olds (Year 3 in England and Wales or equivalent).

Standardisation

The standardisation of *CAT4* Levels X and Y took place between May and June 2014 in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. A national database of schools was created and schools were grouped into nine categories by country and within England. This was further grouped into 'Independent' plus five categories of maintained sector schools based on the proportion of students taking free school meals.

Schools were selected by stratified random sampling procedures within

these groupings. As this was a national sample, many schools taking part in the standardisation had never used *CAT4* before. Around 1900 students completed Form X and around 1100 students completed Form Y. The standardisation results were weighted to account for sample response bias.

The mean *CAT4* Levels X and Y standard age scores (SAS) for males and females for Levels X and Y are in the tables below.

Level X

G	ender	Nonverbal SAS	Verbal SAS	Quantita- tive SAS	Spatial SAS	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score
	Mean	102.4	102.4	100.1	100.5	101.5
Females	N	944	941	941	941	945
	Std. Deviation	14.9	15.0	14.0	14.8	11.4
	Mean	98.6	98.6	100.3	99.3	99.2
Males	N	981	966	967	962	984
	Std. Deviation	14.6	14.8	16.9	15.0	12.0
Total	Mean	100.5	100.5	100.2	100.0	100.4
including	N	1931	1913	1914	1909	1934
unknown	Std. Deviation	14.8	15.0	15.5	14.9	11.8

Level Y

G	ender	Nonverbal SAS	Verbal SAS	Quantita- tive SAS	Spatial SAS	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score
	Mean	102.8	103.2	100.4	100.6	101.8
Females	N	533	533	538	538	539
	Std. Deviation	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.2	11.9
	Mean	98.4	98.1	100.8	99.6	99.3
Males	N	550	550	548	548	550
	Std. Deviation	14.4	14.8	17.1	15.1	12.1
Total	Mean	100.5	100.6	100.6	100.1	100.6
including	N	1082	1083	1086	1086	1088
unknown	Std. Deviation	14.7	15.0	16.0	14.6	12.1

Overall, female mean *CAT4* scores are around 2 SAS points higher than for males for both Levels X and Y. In particular, the mean Verbal and Nonverbal scores are around 4 SAS points for females.

Note that the mean *CAT4* score is not a Standard Age Score but an average of the nonverbal, verbal, quantitative and spatial SAS. The standard deviation for the mean *CAT4* score is around 12, lower than the 15 that is expected for an SAS. This does not indicate the sample was unrepresentative in its spread of ability: rather, that the scores for the four components are correlated, so the spread narrows as scores are averaged.

CAT4 and teacher assessment levels

There is a significant and positive correlation between student's *CAT4* scores and their school performance, as measured by national tests or public examinations. The link may be assumed to exist because a lot of school activity is concerned with the application of reasoning abilities in the initial learning of curriculum content, and then building on and recombining existing knowledge as learning progresses.

During the standardisation, teachers in England and Wales were asked to provide information on students' current teacher assessment (TA) levels in English, Maths and Science for Level X.

The strength of a relationship between two measures can be expressed with a statistic termed a correlation coefficient. This coefficient goes from 0, indicating no relationship to 1 indicating a perfect relationship.

The table below shows the correlations between the *CAT4* standard age scores (SAS) and the TA levels. The mean *CAT4* score is the average of the verbal, quantitative, spatial and non-verbal reasoning SAS scores. The correlation coefficients are all highly significant. The figures in bold are the highest correlations for each test outcome. The mean of the scores on all three batteries gives the highest correlations for Maths and Science. For English, the verbal battery gives a slightly higher correlation than the mean *CAT4* score. Teachers reported sublevels for English and Maths but reported whole levels for Science. The correlations with Science are slightly lower because the Science TA levels are reported as whole levels and hence do not discriminate as well.

Level X	Correlation					
Level X	English level	Maths level	Science level			
Nonverbal SAS	0.41	0.39	0.37			
Verbal SAS	0.65	0.57	0.52			
Quantitative SAS	0.47	0.48	0.40			
Spatial SAS	0.42	0.43	0.43			
Mean CAT4 score	0.63	0.61	0.55			

Note: Figures in bold are the highest correlations for each outcome.

Technical Report 193

Evaluating differences between *CAT4* scores

Evaluating a difference between two scores, whether scores on two different tests or scores on the same test on two occasions, has to be a three-stage process.

Statistical significance of differences

First, it needs to be decided if the difference is large enough to be considered as 'real' rather than just a result of having imprecisely measured the two scores. This depends upon the test reliability of each of the two scores and, hence, the 'noise' around each one.

The measurement error when calculating a difference between two scores is evaluated using a coefficient called the standard error of measurement difference (SEM_{diff}).

The $SEM_{\rm diff}$ for CAT4 scores is approximately seven standard score points. Consequently, if two scores are more than seven SAS points apart, it is 68% likely that they are real, and if they are 11 points apart, the likelihood is 90% that the difference is a real one.

Rarity of differences

Second, if the difference is 'real' or statistically significant, then the **unusualness** or **rarity** of the difference has to be evaluated. A significant difference can sometimes be very common. For example, if you use a millimetre ruler to measure a boy's height when he is seven and then again when he is eight, the difference between these two heights can be measured very accurately to within two millimetres. Therefore 'real' or statistically significant differences will be very common in a sample of boys because the difference between the heights is likely to be substantially greater than two millimetres in almost all cases.

The spread of difference in scores can be determined either directly from the data or by a formula that takes into account the spread of scores on each test and the correlation between the two sets of scores. If the sample size is large enough, the two methods will produce very

similar results; this was the case for the standardisation of *CAT4*. The formula used is:

$$SEM_{diff} = \sqrt{(SD_1^2 + SD_2^2 - 2r_{12} SD_1SD_2)}$$

where SD_1 and SD_2 are the standard deviations of the scores on each test and r_{12} is the correlation between the two tests.

When looking at differences between a child's scores on the same battery on two occasions (e.g. Verbal in Year 7 and Verbal in Year 8) the table below can be used¹. For example, a score increase of 11 SAS points or more will occur with between 10% and 15% of children, but a decrease of 17 or more points will occur with only the most extreme 5%.

Difference in SAS scores from first to second occasion	Percentage of students obtaining this extent and direction of difference
Increases by >16	5%
Increases by >12	10%
Increases by >9	15%
Decreases by >9	15%
Decreases by >12	10%
Decreases by >16	5%

When looking at score differences between different batteries (e.g. Quantitative and Nonverbal), this table should be used instead². The SAS score differences are larger in this situation because the two measures are of different underlying mental processes and so tend to be less highly correlated than two scores on the same test.

Difference in SAS scores from Battery 1 to Battery 2	Percentage of students obtaining this extent and direction of difference
Higher by >19	5%
Higher by >15	10%
Higher by >12	15%
Lower by >12	15%
Lower by >15	10%
Lower by >19	5%

¹The figures in the table have assumed a mean correlation of 0.8 between the two occasions.

² The figures in the table have assumed a mean correlation of 0.7 between pairs of batteries.

Practical significance of differences

Finally, it needs to be remembered that a difference between two batteries which occurs commonly in the general population is not necessarily insignificant. It can indicate a real, albeit common, difference between the development of the cognitive abilities underlying the two battery scores, with implications for the ways in which the student concerned is likely to progress academically. Such differences need to be interpreted in the light of all that is known of a student's background and educational record. For example, students who have a background of poor socio-economic and educational opportunities who gain higher scores for Nonverbal Reasoning than for Verbal Reasoning may not have any real difference between their abilities to reason with words and with shapes. Instead, they may not have had the chance to acquire the basic reading and word knowledge needed to perform well on the verbal tasks. On the other hand, if they have good socio-economic and educational backgrounds, then the score difference may suggest that there is a genuine difference in abilities to think with words and with shapes.

Gender differences

The table below shows the mean SAS scores and standard deviation for each of the *CAT4* batteries and for primary and secondary schools. The results are based on 2,578 females and 2,792 males from primary schools; 9,471 females and 9,867 males from secondary schools.

School type	Ger	nder	Verbal Reasoning SAS	Quantitative Reasoning SAS	Nonverbal Reasoning SAS	Spatial Reasoning SAS	Mean <i>CAT4</i> SAS
		Mean	100.8	99.3	100.1	99.4	99.9
	Female	Std. Deviation	14.4	13.9	14.6	14.5	12.3
		Mean	99.3	100.9	99.9	100.8	100.2
Primary	Male	Std. deviation	15.4	15.9	15.3	15.3	13.4
		Mean	100.0	100.1	100.0	100.1	100.1
	Total	Std. deviation	14.9	15.0	15.0	14.9	12.9
		Mean	100.5	99.1	100.5	100.4	100.1
	Female	Std. deviation	14.4	13.4	14.2	14.2	12.1
		Mean	99.5	101.3	99.7	99.8	100.1
Secondary	Male	Std. deviation	15.5	16.1	15.6	15.4	13.6
		Mean	100.0	100.1	100.1	100.1	100.1
	Total	Std. deviation	15.0	14.8	14.9	14.8	12.8

Verbal Reasoning scores in primary schools are on average around 1.5 SAS points higher for females than for males. In contrast, Spatial and Quantitative Reasoning scores are around 1.5 SAS points higher for males than for females. There is not much of a gender difference for Nonverbal reasoning.

In secondary schools the Quantitative Reasoning scores are on average around two SAS points lower for females than for males. Average gender score differences for the other *CAT4* batteries are smaller – all within one SAS point.

The spread of scores as measured by the standard deviation is in general greater for males than for females. Therefore you are more likely to get proportionately more males than females having the extreme low or high SAS scores.

Verbal-Spatial profile

The table below shows the proportion of males and females within the verbal-spatial profile for primary and secondary schools.

	Primary			Secondary		
Verbal-Spatial Profile	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Total
Extreme spatial bias	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Moderate spatial bias	3%	6%	5%	3%	6%	5%
Mild spatial bias	9%	11%	10%	9%	14%	11%
No bias	68%	67%	68%	66%	63%	65%
Mild verbal bias	13%	9%	11%	13%	10%	11%
Moderate verbal bias	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%
Extreme verbal bias	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: Totals may not add up to 100% due to rounding

A total of 19% of females in primary schools have a verbal bias (mild, moderate and extreme categories) compared to 13% of males. In contrast, 19% of males in primary schools have a spatial bias compared with 13% of females.

A total of 20% of females in secondary schools have a verbal bias compared to 15% of males. In contrast, 22% of males in secondary schools have a spatial bias compared with 13% of females.

The gender difference among those with an extreme bias to spatial thinking are more striking. Overall, 2.3% of males show this profile, compared with only 0.8% of females. The bias is less differentiated by gender for those with an extreme bias to verbal thinking, with overall 1.8% of females and 1.3% of males being this category.

Paper-digital comparison study

Two studies were conducted to see if there was a difference in the way students scored between the paper and digital editions of *CAT4*.

- The overall numbers of students doing the digital and paper versions in the standardisation sample were large. This allowed a study to be undertaken looking at the relative difference in scores between those students doing paper and digital editions during the *CAT4* standardisation.
- The second study, also in autumn 2011, looked at the results of an equivalence study conducted in three year groups. Around 1,300 students in this study did both the paper and digital versions of the *CAT4* Nonverbal Battery for Levels A, B and E. To reduce practice effects, around half the students completed the paper edition first followed by the digital while the other half took the digital edition first followed by the paper.

The results of both studies have shown small differences in scores, with students completing the paper edition scoring slightly higher on average than on the digital edition. For example, the Nonverbal Reasoning Battery Level E paper raw score is, on average, half a mark higher than for the digital edition and around one mark higher for Level B.

The normative scores have therefore been adjusted to take into account any differences in the way students respond digitally or on paper.

CAT4 IRISH EDITION

CAT4 Irish standardisation

Irish age-based norms for the *CAT4* were derived from the administration of four levels of the tests (D to G) to students in random samples of primary and second-level schools nationwide in 2012. The Irish version of the tests has the same content as the UK edition and is aimed at the following students:

Test level	Suitable for	Age range
Level D	5th and 6th classes	10:06-12:11
Level E	End 6th/First Year	11:06-13:11
Level F	Second/Third Year	12:06-15:11
Level G	Fourth/Fifth Year	14:06-17:00+

The numbers of students used in the Irish standardisations were as follows:

Test level	Number of students
Level D	1,733
Level E	1,818
Level F	1,678
Level G	1,387
Total	6,617

Test reliability

The reliability of a test is a measure of the consistency of a student's test scores over repeated testing, assuming conditions remain the same – that is, there was no fatigue, learning effect or lack of motivation. Tests with poor reliability might result in very different scores for a student across two test administrations.

The test reliabilities of the Irish version are high and are similar to the UK edition.

	CAT4 reliability							
Test level	Verbal Reasoning Battery	Quantitative Reasoning Battery	Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>			
Level D	0.89	0.90	0.88	0.87	0.96			
Level E	0.89	0.88	0.86	0.87	0.95			
Level F	0.90	0.87	0.84	0.88	0.95			
Level G	0.91	0.86	0.83	0.88	0.95			
Average D-G	0.90	0.88	0.85	0.87	0.95			

For interpreting the score of an individual student, the standard error of measurement (*SEM*) is a more useful statistic than a reliability coefficient. It indicates how large, on average, the fluctuations in standard scores may be. The *SEM* for the Verbal Reasoning Battery is 4.8, which indicates that there is a 68% chance that the student's true verbal SAS will be in the range +/- 4.8. For example, for an average-performing student with a verbal SAS of 100, there is a 68% chance that his or her true verbal score is in a range from 95 to 105.

	CAT4 standard error of measurement (SEM)						
<i>CAT4</i> level	Verbal Reasoning Battery	Quantitative Reasoning Battery	Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>		
Average D-G	4.8	5.3	5.8	5.3	3.0		

However, most tests show the 90% chance or confidence bands. For values around the average, the 90% confidence band is as follows:

	CAT4 90% confidence band						
<i>CAT4</i> level	Verbal Reasoning Battery	Quantitative Reasoning Battery	Nonverbal Reasoning Battery	Spatial Ability Battery	Overall <i>CAT4</i>		
Average D-G	+/- 8	+/- 9	+/- 9	+/- 9	+/- 5		

For example, for an average-performing student with a verbal SAS of 100, there is a 90% chance that the true verbal score is in a range from 92 to 108.

Gender differences

The table below shows the average SAS scores for all the students who took part in the Irish standardisation, by gender.

Gei	nder	Verbal Reasoning SAS	Quantitative Reasoning SAS	Nonverbal Reasoning SAS	Spatial Reasoning SAS	Mean <i>CAT4</i> SAS
	Mean	100.4	98.9	100.6	99.5	99.8
Female	Number of students	3,750	3,745	3,750	3,715	3,766
	Mean	99.6	101.9	99.6	101.1	100.4
Male	Number of students	2,714	2,700	2,719	2,676	2,737
	Mean	100.0	100.1	100.2	100.2	100.1
Total	Number of students	6,574	6,556	6,578	6,499	6,617

Males were on average around three SAS points higher and around 1.5 SAS points higher for Spatial. Females were around one SAS point higher than for males the Verbal and Nonverbal Batteries.

Irish Leaving Certificate indicators

Results were collected from 870 students who completed *CAT4* and the Leaving Certificate. Subject grades were obtained as either Ordinary (O) or Higher (H) level. The equivalence between the Ordinary and Higher grades as set out in https://www.cao.ie/index.php?page=scoring&s=lcepointsgrid was used to combine results from the two levels to a common scale. For example, Higher 6 grade is equivalent to Ordinary 2 grade and both of these have 46 points.


The strength of the relationship between two variables can be measured by a statistic called the correlation coefficient. A value of zero indicates no relationship between the two measures, whereas a value of one indicates a perfect positive relationship. The correlations between *CAT4* scores and Leaving Certificate subjects grades are shown below. These show that the overall mean *CAT4* SAS has a moderate to strong association with the subject grades.

					1
	Mean <i>CAT4</i> score	Verbal SAS	Quantitative SAS	Nonverbal SAS	Spatial SAS
Art	0.55	0.52	0.42	0.48	0.47
Biology	0.60	0.63	0.43	0.48	0.44
Business	0.50	0.60	0.42	0.30	0.30
Chemistry	0.52	0.50	0.48	0.40	0.29
Construction Studies	0.52	0.44	0.31	0.40	0.45
English	0.58	0.67	0.42	0.43	0.35
French	0.54	0.59	0.39	0.41	0.35
Geography	0.60	0.63	0.42	0.43	0.45
History	0.46	0.52	0.35	0.30	0.33
Home Economics	0.43	0.54	0.42	0.32	0.20
Irish	0.40	0.46	0.29	0.31	0.23
Maths	0.65	0.57	0.53	0.53	0.47
Physics	0.53	0.50	0.46	0.44	0.38

The Leaving Certificate indicators for each subject are derived from the statistical relationship between *CAT4* scores and Leaving Certificate subject grades or points scores. Indicators are calculated from the mean *CAT4* Standard Age Score (*SAS*) for Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Art and Construction Studies and are based on verbal SAS for the other subjects.

Likelihood of Leaving Certificate grades


The example below shows the grades most likely to be achieved by one student. The most likely grade for Construction Studies is H3 but this student has an 18% chance of obtaining a grade higher than H3, a 26% chance of obtaining grade H4 and a 23% chance of obtaining a grade below H4. The indicators are not precise: they indicate the outcomes expected for students with a particular *CAT4* score making average progress in a typical school. They come with a margin of error which reflects the differences in progress that may be made by different students. This is reflected in the probabilities of obtaining each grade.



CAT4 and Leaving Certificate 'Best 6' score

A summary 'Best 6' indicator based on the total points score for Maths and the best of five other subjects was calculated for each student. The correlation between the 'Best 6' points score and the mean *CAT4* score was 0.61 and the relationship is displayed graphically below.

Relationship between Best 6 points score and mean CAT4 score



Leaving Certificate indicators for groups of students

The table below shows how the group/class indicators have been calculated for a fictitious class with five students and shows the most likely grade achieved and the probabilities associated with getting different Mathematics grades. The group indicator is an average of the individual student outcomes and probabilities.

Calculating group indicators for Mathematics for a fictitious class of five students

Mean	(Deet C)	Leaving Certificate grades - probabilities							Most likely			
Student	CAT4 score	'Best 6' score	<=05	04	03	H6/ O2	H5/ O1	Н4	Н3	H2	H1	grade achieved
1	70	99	95%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	O5
2	85	217	72%	14%	8%	4%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	O5
3	100	337	25%	20%	21%	16%	8%	5%	3%	2%	0%	О3
4	115	457	4%	5%	10%	17%	16%	17%	17%	11%	3%	H5/O1
5	140	600	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	10%	36%	47%	H2
	ndicator rage)	342	39%	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%	6%	10%	10%	









COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Assessment Insights support

If you have a question about *CAT4* data or your *CAT4* results, please contact our Assessment Insights team at Assessment.Insights@gl-assessment.co.uk. Our Assessment Insights team are assessment data experts with over 35 years combined classroom experience, here to help you make the best use of your data to inform in-school actions. You are also welcome to book a free one-to-one data consultation where the team will review your data in advance, provide an objective summary of key findings and help you to identify next steps.









COGNITIVE ABILITIES TEST

Contents

APPENDIX A: CAT4 Time Charts 20 Levels Pre-A to G 20 Levels X and Y 2	09
APPENDIX B: Sample letters and guidance for communicating with parents or carers	
APPENDIX C: Articles of interest to CAT4 users . 2 Recognizing Spatial Intelligence	216 219
ADDENDIY D. Group Header Sheet	77

APPENDIX A: CAT4 Time Charts

Levels A to G

Name of administrator:	Date:
School:	Class:

Test	Allocated time (mins)	Start time	Stop time
Figure Classification	10		
Figure Matrices	10		
Verbal Classification	8		
Verbal Analogies	8		
Number Analogies	10		
Number Series	8		
Figure Analysis	9		
Figure Recognition	9		

Notes

[Note information about the testing session, for example any interruptions, or any other relevant details.]



This Time Chart is part of *CAT4* and may be photocopied by the purchasing school or establishment. Published by GL Assessment, 1st Floor, Vantage London, Great West Road, Brentford TW8 9AG. www.gl-assessment.co.uk

GL Assessment is part of GL Education.

Copyright © 2019 GL Assessment. All rights reserved. 2(6.15)

Levels X and Y

Name of administrator:	Date:
School:	Class:

Test	Allocated time (mins)	Start time	Stop time
Part 1			
Figures	12		
Words	10		
Part 2			
Numbers	10		
Shapes	11		

CAT4 Time Chart - Level Pre-A

Name of administrator:	Date:	
School:	Class:	

Test	Allocated time (mins)	Start time	Stop time
Part 1			
Figure Classification	10		
Verbal Classification	10		
Part 2			
Number Series	8		
Figure Recognition	9		



This Time Chart is part of *CAT4* and may be photocopied by the purchasing school or establishment. Published by GL Assessment, 1st Floor, Vantage London, Great West Road, Brentford TW8 9AG. www.gl-assessment.co.uk

GL Assessment is part of GL Education.

Copyright © 2019 GL Assessment. All rights reserved. 2(6.15)

APPENDIX B: Sample letters and guidance for communicating with parents or carers

Guidance and sample letters are given here to support your communications with parents or carers both before and after testing with *CAT4*.

Pre-testing

Many schools and other establishments choose to communicate with parents or carers before testing takes place, to inform them of their plans and give an overview of what the students will be doing.

It is likely that any communication with parents or carers prior to testing will be kept intentionally brief, as shown in the pre-testing sample letter provided on the next page. However, the following list provides some guidelines to assist with your communications, whether orally or in writing.

- Stress the school's commitment to identifying and addressing the needs of each individual student in order to understand and maximise their potential.
- Explain that the test the students will take is delivered either in paper or digital format and that there are four parts to *CAT4*, each measuring the students' reasoning skills in a different area.
- Explain that testing with *CAT4* is part of the school's regular assessment regime and that all the

students in the year group(s) will be

tested.

Emphasise that no preparation can be done for the *CAT4* test and so it is important that the students do not become anxious as they all have an equal chance to demonstrate their reasoning ability.

Emphasise that no preparation can be done for the *CAT4* test

Parents or carers should understand that information from *CAT4* forms part of the process of supporting

their children and helping them achieve their potential. Other information, including teachers' own assessments, is very important. Results from *CAT4* will be used in combination with a range of data to set targets for learning and identify any particular need, for example a need for support in literacy.

Parents or carers should be made aware that they will be updated after the assessment so they know how their child has done and the school's plans, if any, for further follow-up.

Pre-testing sample letter

Dear Parent or Carer,

In school, we wish to assess all our students to see what their needs are and how we can best help them learn and achieve. As part of this process, we will be administering the Cognitive Abilities Test (*CAT4*) to all students in Year [X].

CAT4 is made up of a series of short tests which assess a student's reasoning (thinking) abilities in key areas that support educational development and academic attainment. No preparation is necessary; it is important that your child does not become anxious as no pre-learning or knowledge is needed to complete the tests.

CAT4 has many uses and can help your child and his/her teachers to plan appropriately and set targets for different Key Stages and for GCSE and A-level (or Standard and Intermediate Grade exams).

After the assessment is finished, we will be in touch again with results and our plans, if any, for further follow-up.

If you have any queries or concerns, please contact us.

Yours faithfully,

[School/establishment name]

Post-testing

An optional report on the individual student is available to support feedback to parents or carers.

This Individual report for parents strips away much of the technical detail that is included in the Group report for teachers and the Summary report for senior leaders, simply presenting the student's results as below average, average or above average for each part of *CAT4*. A series of statements, tailored for parents, is included to explain what the results mean (in terms of the profile of learning bias demonstrated by the student on the test) and how learning may be affected. Recommendations focus on how the parent or carer can work with the school to support the student at home.

In addition to the Individual report for parents, you may wish to

provide a supporting letter explaining the process and outcomes. The following list provides you with guidelines to assist with this communication, whether orally or in writing.

Our post-testing guidelines and post-testing sample letter provided below overlap significantly with those already provided for pre-testing. This is because many schools and establishments may choose not to contact parents or carers at all prior to testing taking place, meaning a full explanation is required post-testing. In the case of communication with parents or carers both before and after testing, you may choose to edit the post-testing sample letter to avoid such repetition.

- Stress the school's commitment to identifying and addressing the needs of each individual student in order to understand and maximise their potential.
- Explain that there are four component parts to *CAT4*, each measuring the child's reasoning skills in a different area.
- Explain that testing with *CAT4* is part of the school's regular assessment regime and that all students in the year group(s) have been tested.
- You may wish to summarise in the letter the specific outcomes and recommendations from the test for that individual student (which are also shown on the Individual report for parents).
- Parents or carers should be reassured that if they have any questions or concerns or would like any further advice on how best to support their child, they should contact the school.

Post-testing sample letter

Dear Parent or Carer,

In school, we wish to assess all our students to see what their needs are and how we can best help them learn and achieve.

As part of this process, your child has completed the Cognitive Abilities Test (CAT4), which is a series of short tests to assess a student's reasoning (thinking) abilities in key areas that support educational development and academic attainment.

A copy of the Individual report for parents is included for you to look at.¹ This shows your child's results and describes what these mean in terms of the ways in which he/she will learn best and how you can support him/her at home.

[If the report is not included, a relevant short extract can be included instead.]

If you have any queries or concerns please contact us.


Yours faithfully,

[School/establishment name]

¹ If possible, it is helpful to parents to discuss the report with them on a suitable occasion before sending it out.

APPENDIX C: Articles of interest to *CAT4* **users**

The first two articles in this Appendix (Appendix C) illustrate the relevance of spatial testing, thereby highlighting the importance of recognition and testing of spatial intelligence in assessing students' development in *CAT4*. The third looks at analysing *CAT4* data in an English as an additional language (EAL) context.



Recognizing Spatial Intelligence

Our schools, and our society, must do more to recognize spatial reasoning, a key kind of intelligence

By Gregory Park, David Lubinski and Camilla P. Benbow, *Scientific American*, November 2, 2010 online

Ninety years ago, Stanford psychologist Lewis Terman began an ambitious search for the brightest kids in California, administering IQ tests to several thousand of children across the state. Those scoring above an IQ of 135 (approximately the top 1 percent of scores) were tracked for further study. There were two young boys, Luis Alvarez and William Shockley, who were among the many who took Terman's tests but missed the cutoff score. Despite their exclusion from a study of young "geniuses", both went on to study physics, earn PhDs, and win the Nobel prize.

How could these two minds, both with great potential for scientific innovation, slip under the radar of IQ tests? One explanation is that many items on Terman's Stanford-Binet IQ test, as with many modern assessments, fail to tap into a cognitive ability known as spatial ability. Recent research on cognitive abilities is reinforcing what some psychologists suggested decades ago: spatial ability, also known as spatial visualization, plays a critical role in engineering and scientific disciplines. Yet more verbally loaded IQ tests, as well as many popular standardized tests used today, do not adequately measure this trait, especially in those who are most gifted with it.

Spatial ability, defined by a capacity for mentally generating, rotating, and transforming visual images, is one of the three specific cognitive abilities most important for developing expertise in learning and work settings. Two of these, quantitative and verbal ability, are quite familiar due to their high visibility in standardized tests like the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT). A spatial ability assessment may include items involving mentally rotating an abstract image or reasoning about how an illustrated mechanical device functions. All three abilities are positively correlated, such that someone with above average quantitative ability also tends to have above average verbal and spatial ability. However, the relative balance of specific abilities can vary greatly between individuals. While those with verbal and quantitative strengths have opportunities to be identified by standardized tests or school performance, someone with particularly strong spatial abilities can go unrecognized through these traditional means.

A recent review, published in the *Journal of Educational Psychology*, analyzed data from two large longitudinal studies. Duke University's Jonathan Wai worked with two of us (Lubinski and Benbow) and

showed how neglecting spatial abilities could have widespread consequences. In both studies, participants' spatial abilities, along with many others, were measured in adolescence. The participants with relatively strong spatial abilities tended to gravitate towards, and excel in, scientific and technical fields such as the physical sciences, engineering, mathematics, and computer science. Surprisingly, this was after accounting for quantitative and verbal abilities, which have long been known to be predictive of educational and occupational outcomes. In a time when educators and policy-makers are under pressure to increase the number of students entering these fields, incorporating knowledge of spatial ability into current practices in education and talent searches may be the key to improving such efforts.

The first source of data reviewed by Wai was a massive longitudinal study, Project Talent. While several studies have investigated the role of spatial abilities in tasks involving visual searching or path finding, Wai and colleagues focused on the relationship between spatial abilities and interests, finding that adolescents with strong spatial abilities also show greater interest than most in working with their hands, manipulating and tinkering with tangible things. While building, repairing, and working with inanimate objects might bore some, spatially gifted adolescents reported a preference for such activities. When those same individuals were contacted again in their late 20s, they had pursued and persisted in scientific and technical fields, earning bachelor's, Master's and doctoral degrees in these areas at higher rates than their peers. These findings suggest that the same child who likes to dismantle and reassemble old electronics may be particularly well-suited for doing the same in adulthood with electrons, molecules, or microchips.

While those with verbal and quantitative strengths enjoy reading, writing, and mathematics classes, there are currently few opportunities in the traditional high school to discover spatial strengths and interests. Instead, students who might benefit from hands-on, technical material must find an outlet on their own time, or just wait until their post-secondary education. And, in the worst case, they may drop out of the educational system altogether.

The second source of data reviewed by Wai came from a large-scale talent search. Talent searches, similar to Terman's project, use psychometric assessments to identify youths with exceptional talents, usually in quantitative or verbal ability, that might not be recognized in a traditional classroom setting. One of the goals of modern talent searches is to provide the additional educational opportunities and experiences needed by these students for optimal development. Adolescents with exceptionally high quantitative ability, for example, can benefit greatly by additional instruction or an accelerated

mathematics curriculum that provides them with developmentally appropriate material, such as advanced calculus rather than algebra. When youths identified by talent searches are appropriately accelerated according to their intellectual strengths, they report higher satisfaction with their education as adults.

The talent search data reviewed by Wai was collected from the Study of Mathematically Precocious Youth (SMPY), a talent search initiated at Johns Hopkins University in the early 1970s. SMPY identified intellectually precocious adolescents at or before age 13 based on scores on the quantitative and verbal subtests of the SAT. After identification, many of these same adolescents were administered measures of spatial ability. Although these participants were selected based on their exceptional quantitative and verbal ability, there was wide variability in the spatial abilities within the sample.

These participants have now been followed for over 25 years, and the variability in spatial abilities was found to be predictive of educational and occupational outcomes, even after accounting for verbal and quantitative abilities. Similar to the subjects from Project Talent, the SMPY participants who earned bachelors, Master's, and doctoral degrees in science and engineering fields had especially strong spatial abilities compared to the rest of the sample. The same trend was found among those who had occupations in these fields at age 33.

Due to the neglect of spatial ability in school curricula, traditional standardized assessments, and in national talent searches, those with relative spatial strengths across the entire range of ability constitute an under-served population with potential to bolster the current scientific and technical workforce. Alvarez and Shockley found their way despite being missed by the Terman search, and each had considerable impact on technology in the last century. But how many more Alvarezes and Shockleys have we missed? Given the potential of scientific innovations to improve almost all aspects of modern life, missing just one is probably one too many.

About the author(s)

Gregory Park is a PhD student in the Department of Psychology and Human Development at Vanderbilt University. David Lubinski is professor of psychology and co-director of the Study of Mathematically Precocious Youth (SMPY) at Vanderbilt University. Camilla P. Benbow is Patricia and Rodes Hart Dean of Peabody College of Education and Human Development and co-director of SMPY at Vanderbilt University.

Reproduced with permission. Copyright ©2010 Scientific American, a division of Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Picture This: Increasing Maths and Science Learning by Improving Spatial Thinking

The following article has been slightly adapted for a UK audience.

By Nora S. Newcombe

Nora S. Newcombe is a professor of psychology at Temple University and the principal investigator of the Spatial Intelligence and Learning Center (which is funded by the National Science Foundation). She has been a visiting professor at the University of Pennsylvania, Princeton University, and the Wissenschaftskolleg in Berlin. She is also a past president of the Developmental Psychology division of the American Psychological Association.

Albert Einstein's scientific accomplishments so impressed the world that his name is shorthand for intelligence, insight, and creativity. To be an Einstein is to be inconceivably brilliant, especially in maths and science. Yet Albert Einstein was famously late to talk, and he described his thinking processes as primarily non-verbal. 'The words or the language, as they are written or spoken, do not seem to play any role in my mechanism of thought,' he once said. '[There are] more or less clear images'.¹ Research on his brain, preserved after death, has seemed to support his claim of thinking in spatial images: Sandra Witelson, a neuroscientist in Canada, found that his parietal cortex, an area of the brain used for spatial and mathematical thinking, was unusually large and oddly configured,² and likely supported him in imagining the universe in innovative ways.

Einstein was unique, but he certainly was not the only scientist to depend on his ability to think spatially. Watson and Crick's discovery of the structure of DNA, for example, was centrally about fitting a three-dimensional spatial model to existing flat images of the molecule. The fact is, many people who work in the sciences rely on their ability to think spatially, even if they do not make grand discoveries. Geoscientists visualise the processes that affect the formation of the earth. Engineers anticipate how various forces may affect the design of a structure. And neurosurgeons draw on MRIs to visualise particular brain areas that may determine the outcome of a surgical procedure.

So, is spatial thinking really a key to science, technology, engineering, and mathematics – the so-called STEM disciplines? Yes. Scores of high quality studies conducted over the past 50 years indicate that spatial thinking is central to STEM success. One of the most important studies is called Project Talent; it followed approximately 400,000 people from their secondary school years in the late 1950s to today.³ It found that people who had high scores on spatial tests in secondary school were much more likely to major in STEM disciplines and go into STEM

Tests of Spatial Thinking


The following four tests were used in the Project Talent study.

Here, each is briefly described and a sample item is provided.


Answers for the sample items are given at the end of the article.

Editors

1. Three-dimensional spatial visualization: Each problem in this test has a drawing of a flat piece of metal at the left. At the right are shown five objects, only one of which might be made by folding the flat piece of metal along the dotted lines. You are to pick out the one of these five objects which shows just how the piece of flat metal will look when it is folded at the dotted lines. When it is folded, no piece of metal overlaps any other piece or is enclosed inside the object.




2. Two-dimensional spatial visualization: In this test each problem has one drawing at the left and five similar drawings to the right of it, but only one of the five drawings on the right exactly matches the drawing at the left if you turn it around. The rest of the drawings are backward even when they are turned around. For each problem in this test, choose the one drawing which, when turned around or rotated, is exactly like the basic drawing at the left.



Reprinted with permission from the Summer 2010 issue of *American Educator*, the quarterly journal of the American Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO.


220

3. Mechanical reasoning: This is a test of your ability to understand mechanical ideas. You will have some diagrams or pictures with questions about them. For each problem, read the question, study the picture above it, and mark the letter of the answer on your answer sheet.



While wheel X turns round and round in the direction shown, wheel W turns

- A. in direction A.
- B. in direction B.
- C. first in one direction and then in the other.
- 4. Abstract reasoning: Each item in this test consists of a set of figures arranged in a pattern, formed according to certain rules. In each problem you are to decide what figure belongs where the question mark is in the pattern.... The items have different kinds of patterns and different rules by which the drawings change.



Copyright © 2009 by the American Psychological Association. Reproduced with permission. Spatial ability for stem domains: aligning over 50 years of cumulative psychological knowledge solidifies its importance.

WAI, JONATHAN; LUBINSKI, DAVID; BENBOW, CAMILLA P. JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY. VOL 101 (4), NOV 2009, 817-835. DOI: 10.1037/A0016127. THE USE OF APA INFORMATION DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSEMENT BY APA.

careers than those with lower scores, even after accounting for the fact that they tended to have higher verbal and mathematical scores as well. Similar results have been found in other longitudinal studies: one began in the 1970s and tracked the careers of a sample of gifted students first studied in their early years at secondary school⁴; another began in the 1980s with observing the block play of preschoolers and followed their mathematics learning through secondary school.⁵

In short, the relation between spatial thinking and STEM is a robust one, emerging for ordinary students and for gifted students, for men and for women, and for people who grew up during different historical periods. Spatial thinkers are likely to be more interested in science and maths than less spatial thinkers, and are more likely to be good enough at STEM research to get advanced degrees.

So, would early attention to developing children's spatial thinking increase their achievement in maths and science, and even nudge them toward STEM careers? Recent research on teaching spatial thinking suggests the answer may be yes.

What Do We Mean by Spatial Thinking?

So far, we have been casual in using the term 'spatial thinking.' But what do we really mean by it? Spatial thinking concerns the locations of objects, their shapes, their relations to each other and the paths they take as they move. All of us think spatially in many everyday situations: when we consider rearranging the furniture in a room, when we assemble a bookcase using a diagram or when we relate a map to the road ahead of us. We also use spatial thinking to describe non-spatial situations, such as when we talk about being close to a goal or describe someone as an insider.

This general description is helpful but in conducting research, precise definitions are necessary. For the Project Talent study, spatial thinking was defined by the four tests used to assess it; a sample item from each of those four tests is shown in the box on page 13.6 The first test asks us to imagine folding a two-dimensional shape into a three-dimensional one. The second asks us to mentally rotate a two-dimensional shape. The third asks us to imagine mechanical motion. The fourth asks us to see spatial patterns and progressions.

Tests like these four have been around for a century or so, and they remain useful assessments of spatial ability. But they do not cover the full range of abilities that fall under the term 'spatial thinking,' so today's researchers are working on developing new assessments. For example, one very different kind of spatial thinking involves navigating around the wider world. Many people think that, to get where we are

heading, we need to be able to form a mental map of the environment.⁷ It appears that some of us are much better than others at forming these integrated representations.⁸ Spatial thinking of this kind may also be relevant to STEM success, but this idea has not yet been tested, largely because we lack good tests of navigation ability that can be given to large samples of students. Computer technology may soon allow such assessments.

To really understand what spatial thinking is, we must be clear about what it is not. First, spatial thinking is not a substitute for verbal or mathematical thinking. Those who succeed in STEM careers tend to be very good at all three kinds of thinking. Second, given the popularity of the notion that students have learning styles – i.e., that they are visual, auditory, or kinesthetic learners – it's important to understand that spatial thinking is not a learning style. The truth is that there is virtually no support for learning styles in the research literature. While students may have preferences, all of us (with very rare exceptions) learn by seeing, hearing, and doing.* Likewise, all of us (with very rare exceptions) think verbally, mathematically, and spatially. So teachers should be trying to provide students with the content knowledge, experiences, and skills that support development of all three ways of thinking.

Can Spatial Thinking Actually Be Improved?

Since spatial thinking is associated with skill and interest in STEM fields (as well as in other areas, such as art, graphic design, and architecture), the immediate question is whether it can be improved. Can we educate children in a way that would maximise their potential in this domain? Americans often believe that their abilities are fixed, perhaps even at birth;⁹ it is not uncommon to hear that a person was born with a gift for mathematics or a difficulty in learning foreign languages. But there is mounting evidence that this is not the case.¹⁰ Abilities grow when students, their parents, and their teachers believe that achievement follows consistent hard work and when anxiety about certain areas, such as maths, is kept low.[†]

What about spatial thinking in particular – is it malleable? Definitely. We have known for some time that primary school children's spatial

^{*} Instead of tailoring lesson to students' supposed learning styles, teachers should be concerned with tailoring their lessons to the content (e.g., showing pictures when studying art and reading aloud when studying poetry). For a thorough explanation of this, see 'Do Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic Learners Need Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic Instruction?' by Daniel T. Willingham in the Summer 2005 issue of *American Educator*, available at www.aft.org/newspubs/periodicals/ae/issues.cfm.

[†] Summing up 30 years of research, Daniel T. Willingham wrote, 'Intelligence can be changed through sustained hard work.' For his explanation of the genetic and environmental influences on intelligence, see the sidebar on page 10 of the Spring 2009 issue of *American Educator*, available at www.aft.org/newspubs/periodicals/ae/issues.cfm.

thinking improves more over the school year than over the summer months.11 A recent meta-analysis (which integrated the results of all the high quality studies of spatial malleability conducted over the past few decades) showed substantial improvements in spatial skill from a wide variety of interventions, including academic coursework, task-specific practice and playing computer games that require spatial thinking, such as Tetris (a game in which players rotate shapes to fit them together as they drop down the screen).¹² Furthermore, these improvements were durable, and transferred to other tasks and settings. For example, when undergraduates were given extended, semester-long practice on mental rotation, through taking the test repeatedly and also through weekly play of Tetris, training effects were massive in size, lasted several months, and generalised to other spatial tasks such as constructing three-dimensional images from two-dimensional displays.¹³ Along similar lines, undergraduates who practised either mental rotation or paper folding daily, for three weeks, showed transfer of practice gains to novel test items, as well as transfer to the other spatial tasks they had not practised. ¹⁴ Spatial training has also been found to improve educational outcomes, such as helping college students complete engineering degrees.¹⁵

While many studies have found that spatial thinking can be improved, researchers have found some important differences between high and low ability participants. For low ability participants, there is an initial hump to get over. They improve slowly, if at all, for the first half-dozen or so sessions.** But if they persevere, faster improvement comes, so it's important that students (and teachers) not give up.¹6 High ability participants do not have an initial hump, but they still can improve. Even people who are spatially proficient turn out to be not nearly as proficient as they could be, and they can attain even higher levels of excellence through fun activities like playing Tetris.¹7 While playing Tetris may not fit into the school day, it might be offered in after-school settings or be suggested to students as a weekend or summer activity (in moderation, of course). (Other spatial thinking activities that fit better into academic studies, such as why the earth has seasons, are discussed later.)

In addition to practising spatial thinking tasks like those shown in the box on pages 17-18, well-conceived symbolic representations, analogies and gestures are also effective in improving one's spatial thinking ability. Let's discuss each of these briefly.

^{** [}Researchers are not sure why this is. It could be that those who are not good at spatial thinking have not yet developed mental strategies for dealing with spatial problems. So, in the initial stage when it appears that they are not improving, they could be developing and testing strategies. Then, once they have hit on an effective strategy, they start to improve and continue improving as they practice. In contrast, high-ability participants already have effective mental strategies and are simply becoming better through practice.]

One of the distinctive characteristics of human beings is that they can use symbolic representations, such as language, maps, diagrams, sketches, and graphs. Spatial language is a powerful tool for spatial learning. Babies learn a spatial relation better when it is given a name, 18 preschoolers who understand spatial words like 'middle' perform better on spatial tasks than those who do not, 19 and preschool children whose parents use a greater number of spatial words (like outside, inside, under, over, around, and corner) show better growth in spatial thinking than children whose parents do not use such language.²⁰ Adults' spatial thinking is also enhanced by spatial language (e.g., the word parallel helps pick out an important spatial concept), as is their thinking about concepts, such as time, that are often described with spatial metaphors (e.g., far in the future).²¹ Along similar lines, the ability to use maps can transform our thinking,²² allowing us to draw conclusions that would be hard to arrive at without maps. A famous example is seeing the relation between drinking polluted water and getting cholera; in the 1800s, a map of water pumps in London superimposed on a map of cholera cases made the case for a relationship. Like maps, diagrams, sketches and graphs also allow us to make inferences by supporting our spatial thinking.²³ For example, a graph of how boys and girls change in height over childhood and adolescence shows us very clearly that, on average, girls have an earlier growth spurt and finish growing earlier.

In addition to being able to think symbolically, humans have a distinctive ability to think analogically, that is, to see relational similarities between one situation and another. People can learn through noticing analogies, that is, by comparing two situations and noting their common relational structure (as when we compare the structure of the atom to the structure of the solar system). This process facilitates learning in children,²⁴ including spatial learning,²⁵ mathematical insight,²⁶ and scientific reasoning.²⁷ Thus, an additional way to get children to develop spatial reasoning abilities is to point out and highlight key comparisons they should be making.

People also gesture as they think, and gesture has turned out to be not only a window into how thinking occurs,²⁸ but also a powerful tool for improving various kinds of learning. Gestures provide a window into learners' minds and offer information about whether a learner is ready to improve on a task.²⁹ But gesture can also play a more active role in

^{* [}Instead of tailoring lesson to students' supposed learning styles, teachers should be concerned with tailoring their lessons to the content (e.g., showing pictures when studying art and reading aloud when studying poetry). For a thorough explanation of this, see 'Do Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic Learners Need Visual, Auditory, and Kinesthetic Instruction?' by Daniel T. Willingham in the Summer 2005 issue of American Educator, available at www.aft.org/newspubs/periodicals/ae/issues.cfm.]

[†] [Summing up 30 years of research, Daniel T. Willingham wrote, 'Intelligence can be changed through sustained hard work.' For his explanation of the genetic and environmental influences on intelligence, see the sidebar on page 10 of the Spring 2009 issue of American Educator, available at www.aft.org/newspubs/periodicals/ae/issues.cfm.]

learning, in two ways. First, when teachers use gesture in instruction, children often learn better than when taught with speech alone.³⁰ Second, when children gesture as they explain a problem, either prior to³¹ or during³² instruction, they learn better than if they do not gesture. Gesture is a powerful means of reflecting and communicating about spatial knowledge. Gesture has the potential to be a particularly powerful instructional tool in the spatial domain because it is particularly good at capturing spatial relationships among objects. For example, when talking about how the earth turns and revolves around the sun, teachers can gesture to capture those relationships.

Overall, our bag of tricks for enhancing spatial thinking is quite full. But there is more to learn. We know that practice, symbolic representations, analogies and gestures all improve spatial thinking, but we don't know which of these approaches is most effective. Teachers will have to use their best judgment and fit spatial thinking into the school day as best they can. To help, I offer some suggestions at the end of this article.

What About Gender Differences?

Gender differences are often the first thing people want to talk about when they consider spatial thinking. Three big questions usually come to mind: Do gender differences exist? If so, how big are they? What causes them – are they biological or environmental? Research has found gender differences in spatial thinking ability, both among average men and women, and among the very highest achievers. For some spatial tests, these differences are large. However, while these differences do exist, we need to remember that average gender differences do not tell us about individual performance – some girls have strong spatial skills and some boys are lacking these skills. Gender differences in spatial thinking are no barrier to women's success in the STEM disciplines as long as educators take the steps to ensure that all students, of both sexes, acquire the spatial thinking skills they need.

The question about causes is a tricky one. The assumption behind this question is usually that, if biological, the difference is immutable, whereas if environmental, it could be reduced or even eradicated. There are two problems with the question, however. The first problem is with the assumption behind it: biological causation does not imply immutability and environmental causation does not guarantee changeability. The second problem is that we don't know the answer. A specially assembled team of experts with various takes on the problem recently concluded that there was evidence supporting both kinds of influences, with the additional possibility that the influences interacted (as when experience alters brain structures).³³

Since spatial thinking can be improved, the important fact is not the causation of gender differences but the fact that girls (and boys) can improve. Some have suggested special training for females to help them catch up to males,³⁴ but as educators we want all students to do their best. That means we may not close the gap: meta-analyses have found that the sexes generally improve in parallel and thus the gender difference continues even with training³⁵ (although some exceptions have been reported in which performance by men and women converged³⁶). Nevertheless, even if the gap does not close, many women (and men) can and will come to perform well above threshold levels for success in the STEM disciplines, at which point other factors such as persistence, communication and creativity may be more important than spatial ability.

What Does This Mean for Teachers?


Since spatial cognition is malleable, spatial thinking can be fostered with the right kind of instruction and technology. As we have seen, spatial thinking improves during the school year more than over the summer months,³⁷ showing that teachers are helping students already. But what exactly should we be doing to help them improve even more? Unfortunately, precise answers are not yet possible. The National Academies' report Learning to Think Spatially pointed out that we still lack specific knowledge of what kinds of experiences lead to improvement, how to infuse spatial thinking across the curriculum, or whether (and how best) to use new technologies such as Geographic Information Systems, especially with young children. What kinds of teaching best support spatial learning? Are these kinds of teaching different at different ages, at different socioeconomic status levels, or for girls and boys? Developing and testing curricula in a scientific way can be a slow process, and much remains to be done to be absolutely sure of our ground. However, we are beginning to have some good ideas about where to start, especially with preschool and primary school students.

1 Teachers (and parents) need to understand what spatial thinking is, and what kinds of pedagogical activities and materials support its development. Recall that spatial thinking involves noticing and remembering the locations of objects and their shapes and being able to mentally manipulate those shapes and track their paths as they move. Because spatial thinking is not a subject, not something in which children are explicitly tested, it often gets lost among reading, mathematics and all the other content and skills specified in state standards. Teachers need to be able to recognize where they can infuse it into the school day. For example, teachers could use the cardinal directions (north, south, east and west) to talk about how to get to the cafeteria or playground, or use words like

parallel and perpendicular when possible.


- Teachers at all levels need to avoid infusing students with anxiety **about spatial tasks.** In general, anxiety about doing a task can impede performance, at least in part by occupying valuable mental space in working memory.³⁸ When you spend a lot of time worrying that you won't do well, you lack the cognitive resources to actually concentrate on the work, a sad example of a self-fulfilling prophecy. Research with 6- to 8-year-olds in the Chicago Public Schools has recently shown that this vicious circle is evident for spatial thinking as well as for other areas like maths: children who worry about not doing well perform more poorly than children who do not have such anxiety.³⁹ Thus, as is also true for other areas in teaching, teachers should avoid presenting spatial tasks as difficult challenges on which some people may not do well, or presenting students' performance on these tasks as indicative of their underlying spatial abilities. Instead, teachers should emphasize that the tasks can be enjoyable and useful, and that they can be mastered with some effort and time.
- 3 In the preschool years, teachers (and parents) need to encourage, support and model engagement in age-appropriate spatial activities of a playful nature. Preschool children need a good balance of play and formal instruction.⁴⁰ Fortunately, there is a wealth of spatial material available for preschool play, much of which can be further leveraged by a teacher with knowledge of the processes of spatial learning. Here are some specific ideas that could fit into most preschool settings:
- Select spatially challenging books for young children. For example, Zoom ⁴¹ is a book in which attention continually zooms in to finer and finer levels of detail. Verbal and gestural support for children in dealing with the book's conceptual and graphic challenges is correlated with children's scores on spatial tests.⁴²
- Use odd-looking as well as standard examples when teaching the names of geometric shapes such as circle, square and triangle (e.g. a tipped, skinny, scalene triangle as well as an equilateral triangle pointing up). Showing these kinds of shapes supports learning that triangles are any closed figure formed by three intersecting straight lines.⁴³
- Teach spatial words such as out, in, outside, inside, middle, between, here, there, front, back, side, top, bottom, up, down, under, over, around, tall, high, short, low, line (it) up, row, next (to) and corner. Learning spatial words can be enhanced by using gestures that highlight the spatial properties being discussed.⁴⁴

- Encourage young children to gesture. Research has found that when children are asked whether two shapes can be fitted together to make another shape, they do significantly better when encouraged to move their hands to indicate the movements that would be made in pushing the shapes together. Some children do this spontaneously, but children who do not will perform better when asked to gesture.
- Ask children to imagine where things will go in simple 'experiments'. For example, preschoolers are prone to think that dropped objects will appear directly below where they were released, even when they are dropped into a twisting tube with an exit point far away. But, when asked to visualise the path before responding, they do much better. Simply being asked to wait before answering does not help visualization is key.⁴⁶
- Do jigsaw puzzles with children; they have been found to predict good spatial thinking, especially when coupled with spatial language (e.g., *Can you find all the pieces with a flat edge?*).⁴⁷ Similarly, play with blocks is a great activity in itself, and it increases use of spatial language.⁴⁸
- Use maps and models of the world with children as young as 3.49
- Develop analogies to help young children learn scientific ideas, such as the principle of how a brace supports a building. Oconsider the two photos below. In the one on top, comparing the two structures is relatively easy because the only difference is whether the brace is diagonal or horizontal, but on the bottom the comparison is more difficult because the two structures differ in several ways. When children shake these structures to see how much they wiggle, they are much more likely to conclude that a diagonal piece increases stability when interacting with the display on top.





- 4 In the primary school years, teachers need to supplement the kinds of activities appropriate for preschoolers with more focused instruction in spatial thinking. Playful learning of the sort that occurs in preschool can continue to some extent in primary school; activities such as block building, gesturing, reading spatially challenging books, etc., continue to develop spatial skills in older children too.⁵¹ But as children get older, they can also benefit from more focused lessons. Mathematics is a central subject in which spatial thinking is needed, because space provides a concrete grounding for number ideas, as when we use a number line, use base-10 blocks, or represent multiplication as area. Here are some specific ideas for children in nursery through Y6/7:
- Highlight spatial elements in mathematics lessons. Measurement, for example, can be difficult for children to master, especially when the object to be measured is not aligned with the end of a ruler. Children often make mistakes such as counting hash marks beginning with 1, thus getting an answer that is one unit too many. When teaching measurement in early primary, teachers can consider using a technique in which the unit between hash marks on a ruler is highlighted as the unit of measurement.⁵² As shown in the illustration below, children can work with small unit markers coordinated with larger pieces to highlight how to determine units.



- Add mapping skills, when possible, to geography lesson for older primary students. Some ideas can be found in Phil Gersmehl's book, *Teaching Geography*, which is based in part on cognitive science.⁵³
- Use well-crafted analogies so that comparisons will highlight essential similarities and differences. For example, students can compare diagrams of animal and plant cells to see similarities and differences.⁵⁴

- Ask children from around the ages of 9 to 14 to make sketches to elaborate on their understanding of topics such as states of matter, or force and motion.⁵⁵ For example, they can be asked to draw water molecules in the form of ice, liquid, or vapor.
- Suggest beneficial recreational activities, such as photography lessons (to develop a sense of shifting viewpoints and changes in scale⁵⁶), origami (to deepen their knowledge and skill in combining shapes) and JavaGami⁵⁷ (software for creating polyhedra) and video games like Tetris.⁵⁸

Spatial thinking is important, probably as important as verbal and mathematical thinking, for success in science, technology, engineering and mathematics. Furthermore, it can be taught and something we do in schools is already associated with improving it. Yet we can do better. The need to develop students' spatial thinking is currently not widely understood. We already have some excellent techniques for developing it, through practice, language, gesture, maps, diagrams, sketching and analogy. Systematically building these techniques into the curriculum could yield important dividends for education.

Bibliography

- 1. Albert Einstein, 'Letter to Jacques Hadamard,' in *The Creative Process: Reflections on Invention in the Arts and Sciences*, ed. Brewster Ghiselin (Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1980).
- 2. Sandra F. Witelson, Debra L. Kigar, and Thomas Harvey, 'The Exceptional Brain of Albert Einstein,' *Lancet* 353, no. 9170 (June 1999): 2149-2153.
- 3. Jonathan Wai, David Lubinski, and Camilla P. Benbow, 'Spatial Ability for STEM Domains: Aligning over 50 Years of Cumulative Psychological Knowledge Solidifies Its Importance,' *Journal of Educational Psychology* 101, no. 4 (2009): 817–835.
- 4. Daniel L. Shea, David Lubinski, and Camilla P. Benbow, 'Importance of Assessing Spatial Ability in Intellectually Talented Young Adolescents: A 20-Year Longitudinal Study,' *Journal of Educational Psychology* 93, no. 3 (2001): 604-614.
- 5. Charles H. Wolfgang, Laura L. Stannard, and Ithel Jones, 'Advanced Constructional Play with LEGOs among Preschoolers as a Predictor of Later School Achievement in Mathematics,' *Early Child Development and Care 173*, no. 5 (2003): 467-475.
- 6. Wai, Lubinski, and Benbow, 'Spatial Ability for STEM Domains.'
- 7. John O'Keefe and Lynn Nadal, *The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map* (Oxford, England: Oxford University Press, 1976).
- 8. Toru Ishikawa and Daniel R. Montello, 'Spatial Knowledge Acquisition from Direct Experience in the Environment: Individual Differences in the Development of Metric Knowledge and the Integration of Separately Learned Places,' *Cognitive Psychology* 52, no. 2 (2006): 93-129.
- 9. Carol S. Dweck and Ellen L. Leggett, 'A Social-Cognitive Approach to Motivation and Personality,' *Psychological Review* 95, no. 2 (1988): 256–273.
- 10. Stephen J. Ceci, 'How Much Does Schooling Influence General Intelligence and Its Cognitive Components? A Reassessment of the Evidence,' *Developmental Psychology* 27, no. 5 (1991): 703-722.
- 11. Janellen Huttenlocher, Susan Levine, and Jack Vevea, 'Environmental Input and Cognitive Growth: A Study Using Time-Period Comparisons,' *Child Development* 69, no. 4 (1998): 1012-1029.
- 12. Uttal, D. H., Meadow, N. G., Tipton, E., Hand, L. L., Alden, A. R., Warren, C., & Newcombe, N. S. (2013). The malleability of spatial skills: A meta-analysis of training studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 139*(2), 352-402. 'Malleability of Spatial Cognition: A Meta-Analytic Review' (under revision).
- 13. Melissa S. Terlecki, Nora S. Newcombe, and Michelle Little, 'Durable and Generalized Effects of Spatial Experience on Mental Rotation: Gender Differences in Growth Patterns,' *Applied Cognitive Psychology* 22, no. 7 (2008): 996-1013.
- 14. Rebecca Wright, William L. Thompson, Giorgio Ganis, Nora S. Newcombe, and Stephen M. Kosslyn, 'Training Generalized Spatial Skills,' *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* 15, no. 4 (2008): 763-771.

- 15. Sheryl Sorby, 'Assessment of a 'New and Improved' Course for the Development of 3-D Spatial Skills,' *Engineering Design Graphics Journal* 69, no. 3 (2005): 6-13.
- 16. Terlecki, Newcombe, and Little, 'Durable and Generalized Effects.'
- 17. Terlecki, Newcombe, and Little, 'Durable and Generalized Effects'; and Wight et al. Training Generalized spatial skills.'
- 18. Marianella Casasola, Jui Bhagwat, and Anne S. Burke, 'Learning to Form a Spatial Category of Tight-Fit Relations: How Experience with a Label Can Give a Boost,' *Developmental Psychology* 45, no. 3 (2009): 711–723.
- 19. Nina Simms and Dedre Gentner, 'Helping Children Find the Middle: Spatial Language Facilitates Spatial Reasoning' (poster presented at the *Society for Research in Child Development*, Denver, April 2009).
- 20. Elizabeth Albro, Julie Booth, Susan Levine, and Christine Massey, 'Making Cognitive Development Research Relevant in the Classroom' (paper presented at the Cognitive Development Society Conference, San Antonio, TX, October 2009).
- 21. Lera Boroditsky, 'Does Language Shape Thought? Mandarin and English Speakers' Conceptions of Time,' *Cognitive Psychology* 43, no. 1 (2001): 1-22; and Daniel Casasanto, 'Similarity and Proximity: When Does Close in Space Mean Close in Mind?' *Memory and Cognition* 36, no. 6 (2008): 1047-1056.
- 22. David H. Uttal, 'Seeing the Big Picture: Map Use and the Development of Spatial Cognition,' *Developmental Science* 3, no. 3 (2000): 247-264.
- 23. Barbara Tversky, 'Spatial Schemas in Depictions,' in *Spatial Schemas and Abstract Thought*, ed. Merideth Gattis (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001).
- 24. Dedre Gentner, 'Structure-Mapping: A Theoretical Framework for Analogy,' *Cognitive Science* 7 (1983): 155–170; Dedre Gentner and Arthur B. Markman, 'Structure Mapping in Analogy and Similarity,' *American Psychologist* 52 (1997): 45–56; and Keith J. Holyoak, Dedre Gentner, and Boicho N. Kokinov, 'The Place of Analogy in Cognition,' in *The Analogical Mind: Perspectives from Cognitive Science*, ed. Dedre Gentner, Keith J. Holyoak, and Boicho N. Kokinov (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2001).
- 25. Stella Christie and Dedre Gentner, 'Learning Novel Relations by Comparison,' *Journal of Cognition and Development* (forthcoming); Laura Kotovsky and Dedre Gentner, 'Comparison and Categorization in the Development of Relational Similarity,' *Child Development* 67, no. 6 (1996): 2797–2822; Jeffrey Loewenstein and Dedre Gentner, 'Spatial Mapping in Preschoolers: Close Comparisons Facilitate Far Mappings,' *Journal of Cognition and Development* 2, no. 2 (2001): 189–219; and Jordan R. Vosmik and Clark C. Presson, 'Children's Response to Natural Map Misalignment during Wayfinding,' *Journal of Cognition and Development* 5, no. 3 (2004): 317–336.
- 26. Bethany Rittle-Johnson and Jon R. Star, 'Does Comparing Solution Methods Facilitate Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge? An Experimental Study on Learning to Solve Equations,' *Journal of Educational Psychology* 99, no. 3 (2007): 561–574.
- 27. Zhe Chen and David Klahr, 'All Other Things Being Equal: Acquisition and Transfer of the Control of Variables Strategy,' *Child Development* 70, no. 5 (1999): 1098-1120; and Miriam Bassok and Keith J. Holyoak, 'Interdomain Transfer between Isomorphic Topics in Algebra and Physics,' *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 15, no. 1 (1989): 153-166.
- 28. R. Breckinridge Church and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'The Mismatch between Gesture and Speech as an Index of Transitional Knowledge,' *Cognition* 23, no. 1 (1986): 43-71.
- 29. Susan Goldin-Meadow and Melissa A. Singer, 'From Children's Hands to Adults' Ears: Gesture's Role in the Learning Process,' *Developmental Psychology* 39, no. 3 (2003): 509-520.
- 30. Melissa A. Singer and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'Children Learn When Their Teacher's Gestures and Speech Differ,' *Psychological Science* 16,no. 2 (2005): 85–89.
- 31. Sara C. Broaders, Susan Wagner Cook, Zachary Mitchell, and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'Making Children Gesture Brings Out Implicit Knowledge and Leads to Learning,' *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* 136, no. 4 (2007): 539-550.
- 32. Susan Wagner Cook, Zachary Mitchell, and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'Gesturing Makes Learning Last,' *Cognition* 106, no. 2 (2008): 1047-1058; and Susan Goldin-Meadow, Susan Wagner Cook, and Zachary A. Mitchell, 'Gesturing Gives Children New Ideas about Math,' *Psychological Science* 20, no. 3 (2009): 267-272.
- 33. Diane F. Halpern, Camilla P. Benbow, David C. Geary, Ruben C. Gur, Janet Shibley Hyde, and Morton Ann Gernsbacher, 'The Science of Sex Differences in Science and Mathematics,' *Psychological Science in the Public Interest* 8, no. 1 (2007): 1–51.
- 34. Diane F. Halpern, Joshua Aronson, Nona Reimer, Sandra Simpkins, Jon R. Star, and Kathryn Wentzel, *Encouraging Girls in Math and Science* (Washington, DC: National Center for Education Research, Institute of Education Sciences, 2007).
- 35. Uttal DH, Meadow NG, Tipton E, Hand LL, Alden AR, Warren C, Newcombe NS. The malleability of spatial skills: a meta-analysis of training studies. *Psychological Bulletin* 139, no. 2 (2013): 352 402.
- 36. Ian Spence, Jingjie Jessica Yu, Jing Feng, and Jeff Marshman, 'Women Match Men When Learning a Spatial Skill,' *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 35, no. 4 (2009): 1097–1103.
- 37. Huttenlocher J, Levine S, Vevea J. Environmental input and cognitive growth: a study using time-period comparisons. *Child Development* 69, no. 4 (1998): 1012-1129.

- 38. Sian L. Beilock, 'Math Performance in Stressful Situations,' Current Directions in Psychological Science 17, no. 5 (2008): 339–343; and Sian L. Beilock, Elizabeth A. Gunderson, Gerardo Ramirez, and Susan C. Levine, 'Female Teachers' Math Anxiety Impacts Girls' Math Achievement,' *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (forthcoming) 107, no. 5 (2010): 1860-1863.
- 39. Gerardo Ramirez, Elizabeth A. Gunderson, Susan C. Levine, and Sian L. Beilock, 'Spatial Ability, Spatial Anxiety, and Working Memory in Early Elementary School' (paper presented in the *Spatial Intelligence and Learning Center* Showcase, October 2009).
- 40. Kathy Hirsh-Pasek, Laura E. Berk, Dorothy G. Singer, and Roberta M. Golinkoff, *A Mandate for Playful Learning in Preschool: Presenting the Evidence* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2008).
- 41. Zoom, Istvan Banyai, Viking Children's Books, 1995.
- 42. Lisa E. Szechter and Lynn S. Liben, 'Parental Guidance in Preschoolers' Understanding of Spatial-Graphic Representations,' *Child Development* 75, no. 3 (2004): 869-885.
- 43. Eric Satlow and Nora S. Newcombe, 'When Is a Triangle Not a Triangle? Young Children's Developing Concepts of Geometric Shape,' *Cognitive Development* 13, no. 4 (1998): 547-559; and Kelly Fisher, Bertha Nash, Kathy Hirsh-Pasek, Nora S. Newcombe, and Roberta M. Golinkoff, 'Breaking the Mold: Altering Preschoolers' Concepts of Geometric Shapes' (poster presented at the Society for Research in Child Development, Denver, April 2009).
- 44. Erica Cartmill, Shannon M. Pruden, Susan C. Levine, and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'The Role of Parent Gesture in Children's Spatial Language Development' (presentation given at the 34th annual Boston University Conference on Language Development, Boston, November 2009).
- 45. Stacy Ehrlich, Susan L. Levine, and Susan Goldin-Meadow, 'Gestural Training Effects on Children's Mental Rotation Skills' (poster presented at the Society for Research in Child Development, Denver, April 2009).
- 46. Amy Joh, Vikram Jaswal, and Rachel Keen, 'Imagining a Way Out of the Gravity Bias: Preschoolers Can Visualize the Solution to a Spatial Problem,' *Child Development* (forthcoming) 82, no. 3 (2011): 744-750.
- 47. Susan Levine, Kristin Ratliff, Janellen Huttenlocher, and Joanna Cannon, 'Early Puzzle Play: A Predictor of Preschooler's Mental Rotation Skill,' *Developmental Psychology* (under review) 48, no. 2 (2012): 530-542.
- 48. Wendy L. Shallcross, Tilbe Göksun, Roberta Golinkoff, Kathy Hirsh-Pasek, Marianne E. Lloyd, Nora S. Newcombe, and Sarah Roseberry, 'Building Talk: Parental Utterances during Construction Play' (poster presented at the International Conference on Infant Studies, Vancouver, March 2008).
- 49. Judy S. DeLoache, 'Young Children's Understanding of Models,' in *Knowing and Remembering in Young Children*, ed. Robyn Fivush and Judith A. Hudson (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 94-126; and Anna Shusterman, Sang Ah Lee, and Elizabeth S. Spelke, 'Young Children's Spontaneous Use of Geometry in Maps,' *Developmental Science* 11, no. 2 (2008): F1-F7.
- 50. Dedre Gentner, Susan Levine, Sonica Dhillon, and Ashley Poltermann, 'Using Structural Alignment to Facilitate Learning of Spatial Concepts in an Informal Setting' (paper presented at the 2nd International Analogy Conference, Sofia, Bulgaria, July 2009).
- 51. Beth M. Casey, Nicole Andrews, Holly Schindler, Joanne E. Kersh, Alexandra Samper, and Juanita Copley, 'The Development of Spatial Skills through Interventions Involving Block Building Activities,' *Cognition and Instruction* 26, no. 3 (2008): 269–309.
- 52. Susan C. Levine, Mee-kyoung Kwon, Janellen Huttenlocher, Kristin Ratliff, and Kevin Dietz, 'Children's Understanding of Ruler Measurement and Units of Measure: A Training Study,' in Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, ed. Niels A. Taatgen and Hedderik van Rijn (Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society, 2009), 2391-2395.
- 53. Phil Gersmehl, *Teaching Geography* (New York: Guilford Press, 2008).
- 54. See Rittle-Johnson and Star, 'Does Comparing Solution Methods Facilitate Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge?' *Journal of Educational Psychology* 99, no. 3 (2007): 561 574; and Jon R. Star and Bethany Rittle-Johnson, 'It Pays to Compare: An Experimental Study on Computational Estimation,' *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 102, no. 4 (2008): 408-426.
- 55. Nancy L. Stein, Florencia K. Anggoro, and Marc W. Hernandez, 'Making the Invisible Visible: Conditions for the Early Learning of Science,' in *Developmental Cognitive Science Goes to School*, ed. Nancy L. Stein and Stephen Raudenbush (New York: Taylor and Francis, forthcoming).
- 56. Lynn S. Liben and Lisa E. Szechter, 'A Social Science of the Arts: An Emerging Organizational Initiative and an Illustrative Investigation of Photography,' *Qualitative Sociology* 25, no. 3 (2002): 385-408.
- 57. Michael Eisenberg, Ann Eisenberg, Susan Hendrix, Glenn Blauvelt, Diana Butter, Jeremy Garcia, Ryan Lewis, and Tyler Nielsen, 'As We May Print: New Directions in Output Devices and Computational Crafts for Children,' in *Proceedings of Interaction Design and Children* 2003 (Preston, UK: ACM, 2003); and Michael Eisenberg, 'Mindstuff: Educational Technology Beyond the Computer,' *Convergence* 9, no. 2 (2003): 29–53.
- 58. Kaveri Subrahmanyam and Patricia M. Greenfield, 'Effect of Video Game Practice on Spatial Skills in Girls and Boys,' in *Interacting with Video*, vol. 11, ed. Patricia M. Greenfield and Rodney R. Cocking (Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation, 1996); and Jing Feng, Ian Spence, and Jay Pratt, 'Playing an Action Video Game Reduces Gender Differences in Spatial Cognition,' *Psychological Science* 18, no. 10 (2007): 850–855.

The Middle Child: Analysing Data in an EAL Context

By Nicola Lambros, Deputy Head, King's College Madrid Published on: 29 Sep 2017

The importance of maintaining a focus on literacy within the curriculum has never been far away from the government's agenda and anyone working within education would agree that developing strong literacy skills are key to a student's success, particularly as external examinations consist of written papers.

Despite this, incorporating effective literacy strategies into a lesson can, at times, be challenging particularly if staff do not have clear data informing them of each student's literacy capabilities. Furthermore, for some teachers, teaching literacy effectively within their lessons, especially those which are not literacy based, may not be an area of expertise. However, our classrooms are becoming progressively more globalised with increasing numbers of students having English as an Additional Language (EAL).

Some of these students are quickly identified for extra support as they present with very low levels of language acquisition; often these students are then tested further to establish specific areas of need and teachers are then provided with increased information and data to effectively differentiate their teaching which ensures these students make good progress. However, the majority of EAL students, in an international school environment, present with a good level of speaking and listening skills; they effectively communicate within the classroom and actively participate in learning activities. These students rarely raise concerns or are considered to be underachieving, particularly if their attitude to learning is good.

Should the Cognitive Abilities Test (*CAT4*) or a similar aptitude test, be completed these students will often sit within stanines 4-7 for their overall *CAT4* score, results which are seen to confirm the fact that they are cognitively able and do not require extra support for literacy. Closer analysis of the *CAT4* batteries can however reveal a very different picture.

Analysing *CAT4* data from cohorts of primary and secondary students in two international schools in differing areas of the world, most if not all students with EAL have a significant verbal deficit (the difference between their standardised age score for the verbal and non-verbal batteries, any deficit larger than minus 10 being statistically significant). It is crucial that literacy development is a key focus in every lesson for students with a deficit of minus 10 or more if they are to achieve their very best across the curriculum. Therefore, every teacher must be or

become a confident teacher of both their subject area and literacy, even if their subject is not literacy based.

When these students are further tested with the New Group Reading Test many of them often have good comprehension skills but significantly weaker word knowledge and vocabulary skills. This in practice means they can comprehend and rote learn information but lack the depth and breadth of vocabulary, in particular subject specific technical vocabulary, to explain in their own words what they have learned. This inhibits them from cognitively processing new information in a manner reflective of their non-verbal score which can reduce their ability to engage higher order thinking skills and therefore limit their progress and achievement. Furthermore, unless explicitly taught, grammar skills may also be lacking especially in older students who joined secondary school with little English.

Compounding these issues are the increasingly complex academic demands students face as they move through school and unless schools address the verbal deficit and close the literacy gap students with a verbal deficit will often struggle and underachieve. Notably, at first glance many of these students appear to be achieving good academic grades, but teachers should understand that if their verbal deficit is addressed much higher academic success is possible, particularly in the later stages of their education, university and beyond.

So what can we do? Very often it is as simple as making the implicit explicit. We need to explicitly teach literacy skills in context when the opportunity arises in the classroom. To name but a few:

- Consistently applying the school's marking for literacy policy and giving students the opportunity to improve their writing when they have made mistakes;
- Explicitly teaching reading strategies such as skimming and scanning and taking time to teach students how to use diagrams, pictures, headings and topic sentences in text books to gather meaning and identify key points and ideas;
- Explicitly teaching writing strategies that are important for your subject such as effective note taking or writing a practical report in science;
- Always providing and referring to key word glossaries and giving opportunities for the use of technical language to be practiced;
- Scaffolding writing activities for students and incorporating opportunities to use writing strategies such as Point, Evidence, Explain wherever possible;

Providing explicit success criteria for writing; presenting students with information in a variety of styles, e.g. research papers or more advanced text books and teaching information gathering strategies and encouraging the use of talk partners and providing scaffolds such as 'Thought Stems' to enable students to effectively discuss and clarify their ideas with a partner before writing them down.

If we provide teaching staff with key data with which to identify their students' literacy needs and provide professional development to arm them with a number of tools to effectively teach literacy within all subjects, we can enable all teachers to become effective teachers of literacy. This, I believe, is one of the key components required to ensure every student realises their true potential and an important investment in the future of our young people.

236 Appendix C **236**

APPENDIX D: Group Header Sheet

This Appendix shows a sample Group Header Sheet. This is for reference only and is not suitable to send with a batch of Answer Sheets. Group Header Sheets are supplied with your Answer Sheets.



GROUP HEADER SHEET



Scoring and Analysis Service

Introduction

A properly completed Group Header Sheet must accompany each group of Answer Sheets sent for scoring. Its purpose is to identify the school/college and the group of students to which the Answer Sheets relate, and to indicate which features of the Scoring and

Analysis Service the school/college wishes to use. If you should require additional Group Header Sheets, please contact our Customer Support Team on 0330 123 5375 (option 1) and we will supply them to you.

What is available?

The Scoring and Analysis Service offers you a variety of ways of combining and presenting the scores that your students have achieved in the Cognitive Abilities Test. The basic price entitles you to the standard service, but there are also various chargeable options available (see below).

The use of the word 'group' in the following listings refers to the batch of test papers that accompanies each Group Header Sheet. A 'group' consists of all the CAT4 Answer Sheets for which a separate analysis is required. This is likely to be either a class or year group within a single school/college, but other groupings, such as tutor groups, are permissible; the aim is for the school/college to choose a system which best suits their own further use of the data.

You will automatically receive an additional standard service report for all students who have taken the same level. If you do not require separate analysis for groups, you only need to complete a single Group Header Sheet for all students. All Answer Sheets within a group must be for the same CAT4 level.

The reports include introductory pages and explain in detail the information that is provided. For sample reports please visit www.cat4support.com.

Please note that levels Pre-A, A and B cannot have KS3, GCSE, AS/A level or Scottish indicator options.

Scores and indicators are age dependent, so the date of the test is vital, as are all the students' dates of birth.

The standard service

Title of report	Description and scores provided
Group report for teachers	Student listing of all scores with analysis by battery. Student profiles with listing of students in each profile category. Indicators as appropriate for each level of CAT4. SAS, GR

Options

Option	Description and scores provided
Individual report for teachers	Breakdown of scores for each student. Individual student profile with narrative description of profile and implications for teaching and learning. Indicators as appropriate for each level of CAT4. SAS, GR, NPR, ST
Individual report for students	Presentation of scores as below average, average and above average. Narrative description of profile and its implications for the student's learning. Indicators as appropriate for each level of CAT4, displayed in a student-friendly way.
Individual report for parents	Presentation of scores as below average, average and above average. Narrative description of profile and recommendations for supporting the student at home. Indicators as appropriate for each level of CAT4, displayed in a parent-friendly way.
Summary report for senior leaders	Tabular and graphical analysis of scores by battery, gender and other criteria specified by the customer. Distribution of profile types within the group and explanation of each profile type. Summary indicators and likely distribution of levels/grades across the group as appropriate for each level of CAT4. SAS
Summary presentation for senior leaders	Extracted from Summary report for senior leaders to create a ready-made PowerPoint® presentation for whole staff, governors and other interested parties. SAS
CSV report	CSV version of all raw/core data ideal for import into other systems. This will enable further analysis to be done by the customer. SAS, GR, NPR, ST

Key to terminology

SAS: The Standard Age Score (SAS) is based on the student's raw score which has been adjusted for age and placed on a scale that makes a comparison with a nationally representative sample of students of the same age across the UK. The average score is 100.

GR: The Group Rank (GR) shows how each student has performed in comparison to those in the defined group. The symbol = represents joint ranking with one or more other students.

NPR: The National Percentile Rank (NPR) relates to the SAS and indicates the percentage of students obtaining any particular score. NPR of 50 is average. NPR of 5 means that the student's score is within the lowest 5% of the national sample; NPR of 95 means that the student's score is within the highest 5% of the national sample.

ST: The Stanine (ST) places the student's score on a scale of 1 (low) to 9 (high) and offers a broad overview of his or her performance.

Copyright © 2012 GL Assessment Limited

Page 1





Completing the Group Header Sheet

Assembling and dispatching the Answer Sheets

- Ensure that all the Answer Sheets to be included in this group are the same way up. Check that student's answers are marked clearly in pencil (the scanner may not pick them up otherwise), that there are no extraneous marks (the scanner may read these as 'answers') and that the 'date of birth' block has been filled in as instructed (we cannot calculate standardised scores if this information is inaccurate or missing).
- 2. Using an HB pencil, complete the Group Header Sheet opposite. Make sure that you follow the checklist below of information required. After you have filled in the Group Header Sheet, carefully tear down the perforated fold to separate it from the information about the Scoring and Analysis Service, which you may keep for future reference.
- Securely package the groups of Answer Sheets, with each Group Header Sheet uppermost on the relevant pile. Please ensure that the edges of the sheets are not crumpled or torn in any way, and that there are no paper clips or staples.
- To print your parcel label(s) please go to the following URL -

https://reports.testwise.net/print.my.cat.label

Please ensure the Answer Sheets are returned by a secure

Please note that GL Assessment cannot accept responsibility for loss or damage to Answer Sheets occurring in the post.

Checklist for completion of the Group Header Sheet

Always use an HB pencil. Do NOT use ink pen/biro. The scanner

- 1. Print clearly the name, full postal address and telephone number of the school/college, giving the name of the person who may be contacted in the event of a query. Ensure that you mark the update changes box if your details have changed. Alternatively, login to the Testwise Reporting Service at https://reports.testwise.net to update any information on your
- 2. Print date of test in the space provided; mark corresponding
- Mark one of the boxes to indicate the level of the test

- 4. Mark the box that identifies the year group to which the group of
- 5. Print the title of the class/group in the space provided; choose an appropriate number/letter/number identifier and mark the
- 6. Print the number of Answer Sheets in the group; mark corresponding boxes
- 7. Mark any options required. If none is marked, only the standard service will be provided. All options are provided at extra cost.
- 8. The GL Assessment Scoring and Analysis Service reserves the right to return abused sheets unread.
- 9. Please do NOT use photocopies, ink pad stampers or post-its/labels

Supply of scores to the school/college

All Answer Sheets received by the service will be scored and reports are returned to the school or college, within seven to ten working days. Please note that the service is closed over the Christmas and New Year period and may take up to 15 working days during the peak period of September and October.

All reports are accessed via our Testwise Reporting Service (TRS) at https://reports.testwise.net.

Please ensure your account details are up-to-date online so that there is no delay to your reports

If you are new to CAT and require an account to be set up please contact catscoringservice@gl-assessment.co.uk.

In case of loss or damage to the original report, partly processed data files will be retained in complete confidentiality by the service for six months or the duration of the current academic year, whichever is the longest, after which they will be destroyed.

Cost of scoring

Details of the Scoring and Analysis Service prices are given in the current edition of GL Assessment's catalogue



Please contact GL Assessment's Customer Support Team on 0330 123 5375 (option 1) for all queries about:

- technical aspects (such as analysis and interpretation of the scores);
- costs and options;
- ordering Answer Sheets;
- how to complete the Group Header Sheet; and
- any other general enquiries about the service.

Answer Sheets will be retained for six months or the duration of the current academic year, whichever is the longest, after which they will be destroyed.

Schools or colleges will be invoiced by GL Assessment after the reports are made available

Answer Sheets that have not been completed using an HB pencil cannot be processed and will be returned with charge.

Page 2

Update your contact details on the Testwise Reporting Service (TRS) Contact person: School/callege name: Address: Postcode: Email: Telephone: Fax: School no. 3. Test level administered Pre-A A B C D E F G CATAS	2. Date of testi Doy (1) (13) (29) (21) (44) (28) (33) (45) (27) (44) (46) (28) (57) (47) (48) (67) (48) (20) (69) (21) (40) (22) (41) (23) (42) (42) (42) (43) (42) (44)	Month January February March May July May May July May May	Yeor 2012 == 2013 == 2014 == 2015 == 2016 == 2017 == 2018 == 2019 == 2020 == 2021 == 2022 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2020 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2024 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2024 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2024 == 2024 == 2023 == 2023 == 2023 == 2024 == 2024 == 2024 == 2023 == 2023 == 2024 ==
Contact person: School/college name: Address: Postcode: Postcode:	(1) (13) (23) (22) (23) (14) (28) (23) (15) (27) (28) (26) (16) (30) (7) (18) (21) (8) (20) (21) (10) (22) (11) (23) (12) (24)	January	2012 — 2013 — 2014 — 2015 — 2016 — 2017 — 2018 — 2020 — 2021 — 2022 — 20
Postcode: Postcode:	(1) (13) (23) (22) (23) (14) (28) (23) (15) (27) (28) (26) (16) (30) (7) (18) (21) (8) (20) (21) (10) (22) (11) (23) (12) (24)	January	2012 — 2013 — 2014 — 2015 — 2016 — 2017 — 2018 — 2020 — 2021 — 2022 — 20
Postcode:	(2) (4) (2) (3) (1) (2) (4) (1) (2) (2) (4) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (1) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2	February Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard Hard	2013 == 2014 == 2015 == 2017 == 2019 == 2020 == 2021 == 2022 =
Postcode: Email:	(3) (15) (27) (41) (16) (28) (5) (17) (29) (65) (18) (30) (7) (18) (31) (8) (20) (9) (21) (10) (22) (11) (23) (12) (24)	March April May June July September October November	2014 — 2015 — 2016 — 2017 — 2018 — 2019 — 2020 — 2021 — 2022 — 20
Telephone: School no. Sch	(5) (17) (28) (6) (18) (30) (7) (18) (20) (8) (20) (9) (21) (10) (22) (11) (23) (12) (24)	May June July August September October November	2016 == 2017 == 2018 == 2020 == 2021 == 2022 =
Telephone: School no. Sch	(7) dis dis (8) (20) (9) (21) d0 (22) d1) (23) d2 (24)	July August September October November	2018 == 2019 == 2020 == 2021 == 2022 ==
Telephone: School no. Sch	18: 20 19: 21: 10: 22: 11: 23: 12: 24:	August == September == November ==	2019 == 2020 == 2021 == 2022 ==
School no. Sch	d0 22 d1 23 d2 24	October =	2021 == 2022 ==
3. Test level administered Pre-A A B C D E F G CATAS 4. Year group Age England & Scotland Northern Republic of Ireland Irel	11) 23 12 24	November ==	2022 🖂
Near group S. Class S. Class S. Class S. Class		December :	2023 🖂
4. Year group Age England & Scotland Northern Republic of Ireland Ire	s/group name		
Age England & Scotland Northern Republic of Ireland Ireland	group name		
12-13+	o more E01 EA E111 EB E21 EC E23 ED E42 EE E51 EF E61 EG E73 EH E7	0 dWb dWb c23 0 dWb cX3 c33 0 dO cY2 c43 0 dO cY3 c53 0 dO c73 c63 1 dRa c73 1 c83 c81	\$\text{cos to cos cos}\$ \[\text{cos cos cos cos}\$ cos
(a) Report options Options required (b) Ad	ditional Indicat	tors	Western All
Individual report for teachers 🖂 Ret	rospective KS2	(Levels)	
Individual report for students Individual report for parents	or teachers only)	(D) ==
Summary report for senior leaders GC	SE		(C) =
Summary presentation for senior leaders CSV report AS	A level		(E, F, G) 🖂
depend	ling on report ty n. The options o	ically be generated i pe, level taken and g utlined above show t ted for each level.	jeographical



GROUP HEADER SHEET



Further use of data

In order to provide as up-to-date information as possible, GL Assessment would like to use your data to monitor the CAT4 norms and explore the relationship between CAT4 scores and other assessments. This will ensure that future users (including yourself) will benefit from reliable contemporary comparisons and improved services. In accordance with the requirements of the Data Protection Act, we guarantee to treat your data confidentially and will never identify any student or school/college by name in any report which may be produced. If you are not willing for your data to be used in this way, please mark the appropriate box on the Group Header Sheet.

Copyright © 2012 GL Assessment

Published by GL Assessment 389 Chiswick High Road, 9th Floor, London, W4 4AJ www.gl-assesment.co.uk GL Assessment is part of GL Education

All rights reserved, including translation. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, recording or duplication in any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers, and may not be photocopied or otherwise reproduced even within the terms of any licence granted by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd.

Page 4


Page 26 Appendix D

Figure Classification

Directions

In each of these questions the first three figures are similar in some way. Decide how they are the same. Then choose the figure from the answer choices that goes with them. Look at the example below.

Example




Think about how the first three figures are similar. Each figure is shaded and has four sides. Now look at the answer choices. Find the one that is shaded and also has four sides. The correct answer is **D**. This is how you would show the answer:


cAjcBjcCjcDjcEj

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1



Practice 2



Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.


You will have 10 minutes to work on this test.



Directions

In each of these questions there are figures arranged in a large square. One figure is missing and its place is shown by a question mark. You have to choose which figure is the missing one. Look at the example below.

Example




Look at the top pair of figures – a large square and a small square. They are the same shape but the second figure is smaller.


Look at the bottom figure – a large circle. Find the figure from the answer choices that completes the pair in the same way. The correct answer is **D** because this is a small circle. This is how you would show the answer:

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.


Practice 1



Practice 2



Practice 3



Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 10 minutes to work on this test.

Verbal Classification

Directions

In each of these questions there are three words in bold type. These three words are similar in some way. Decide how they are the same. Then choose the word from the answer choices that goes with the first three words. Look at the example below.

Example

green blue red

A colour

B crayon

C paint

D yellow

E rainbow

The first three words are **green**, **blue** and **red**. Green, blue and red are all colours. Look for the answer choice that is also a colour. The correct answer is **D**, **yellow**. This is how you would show the answer:

cAjcBjcCjcDjcEj

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

rain fog sunshine

F winter **G** snow H weather J dark

K night

Practice 2

sad frightened happy

L tall

M feel

N think

P new

Q angry

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 8 minutes to work on this test.

Verbal Analogies

Directions

In each of these questions there are three words in bold type. The first two words go together. The third word goes together with one of the answer choices. Choose the word from the answer choices that goes with the third word. Look at the example below.

Example

 $new \rightarrow old : wet \rightarrow$

A rain

B drip

C hot

D sun

E dry

Look at the first two words, **new** and **old**. New is the opposite of old. Now look at the third word, **wet**. The word 'wet' must go with the answer in the same way that new goes with old. Since new is the opposite of old, you have to find the word that is the opposite of wet. Answer **E**, **dry**, is the opposite of wet. This is how you would show the answer:

cVacBacCacDacEa

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

cow → milk : chicken →

F feather G dinner

H egg

J hen

K bird

Practice 2

carpet → floor : curtain →

L window

M shade

N hang

P drapes

Q cloth

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 8 minutes to work on this test.

Number Series

Directions

Each of these questions shows a series of numbers. You have to work out the rule or rules used to arrange the numbers. Then decide which number should come next in the series. Look at the example below.

Example

15 14 13 12 →

E 14 **B** 10 C 11 D 13

Look at the numbers and work out how the series is arranged. Each number is one lower than the number before it. Using this rule, think about which number should come after 12. As 12 minus 1 is 11, the right answer is C, 11. This is how you would show the answer:

cA⊐cB⊐cGcD⊐cE⊃

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1

5 10 15 20 →

F 25 **G** 30

H 35

J 40

K 45

Practice 2

18 5 17 7 16 9 →

L 11 M 12

N 13

P 14

Q 15

Do all of the questions in this test the same way. Try to answer every question.

You will have 8 minutes to work on this test.

Figure Recognition

Directions

This test is about hidden shapes. Each question has a target shape. The target is hidden in one of five designs.


You have to find where the target is hidden and mark the letter for that design.

The target will be exactly the same size and way round.

You won't need to imagine it turned around or flipped over.

All the sides of the target have to be shown on the design.

Example



Can you see the target in the example is hidden in design ${\bf B}$? Here it is.




This is how you would show the answer:


cVacPacCacDacEa

Now try some practice questions. Mark your answer choices by filling in the correct box on the answer sheet. Remember, if you want to change your answer, rub out your first choice and mark your new letter choice.

Practice 1



Practice 2



Remember to look for:

- the same shape
- the same size
- the same way round
- and check that all the edges are shown on the design.

You will have 9 minutes to work on this test.